Notice of Independent Review Decision

SENT TO: Texas Department of Insurance
         Managed Care Quality Assurance Office (MCQA) MC 103-5A
         E-mail IRODecisions@tdi.texas.gov

[FOR EACH INVOLVED PARTY PROVIDE:
NAME OF PARTY AND US MAIL ADDRESS
or (as applicable)
NAME OF PARTY AND OTHER MEANS of TRANSMISSION]

(Date notice sent to all parties):

RE: IRO Case #: [TDI Assigned Number]
    Name: [of Patient]
    Type of Review: Health Care

[NAME OF IRO] has been certified, certification number [IRO Cert #], by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) as an Independent Review Organization (IRO). TDI has assigned this case to the IRO for independent review in accordance with the Texas Insurance Code and applicable regulations.

The IRO has performed an independent review of the proposed/rendered care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. In the performance of the review, the IRO reviewed the medical records and documentation provided to the IRO by involved parties.

This case was reviewed by a [SPECIALTY OF REVIEWING PHYSICIAN or HEALTH CARE PROVIDER]. The reviewer has signed a certification statement stating that no known conflicts of interest exist between the reviewer and the patient, the patient’s insurance carrier, the utilization review agent (URA), any of the treating physicians or health care providers who provided care to the patient, or the URA or insurance carrier health care providers who reviewed the case for a decision regarding medical necessity before referral to the IRO. In addition, the reviewer has certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party to the dispute.
As an officer of [NAME OF IRO] I certify that:

1. There is no known conflict between the reviewer, the IRO and/or any officer employee of the IRO with any person or entity that is a party to the dispute; and

2. A copy of this IRO decision was sent to all of the parties via U.S. Postal Service or otherwise transmitted in the manner indicated above on [DATE].

Sincerely,

[NAME OF IRO REPRESENTATIVE]
[TITLE]
[Date notice sent to all parties]:

IRO CASE #:

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION:

REVIEW OUTCOME:

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

☐ Upheld (Agree)
☐ Overturned (Disagree)
☐ Partially Overturned (Agree in part/Disagree in part)

Provide a description of the review outcome that clearly states whether medical necessity exists for each of the health care services in dispute.

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW:

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:
A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

- ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
- AHRQ- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
- DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
- EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
- INTERQUAL CRITERIA
- MEDICAL JUDGMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
- MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
- MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
- ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
- PRESLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
- TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
- TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES
- TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
- PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
- OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)