

Parker Healthcare Management Organization, Inc.

4030 N. Beltline Road, Irving, TX 75038

972.906.0603 972.255.9712 (fax)

Certificate # 5301

March 13, 2006

ATTN: Program Administrator

Texas Department of Insurance/Workers Compensation Division

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100

Austin, TX 78744

Delivered by fax: 512.804.4868

Notice of Determination

MDR TRACKING NUMBER: M2-06-0751-01
RE: Independent review for ____

The independent review for the patient named above has been completed.

- Parker Healthcare Management received notification of independent review on 2.10.06.
- Faxed request for provider records made on 2.13.06.
- The case was assigned to a reviewer on 2.28.06.
- The reviewer rendered a determination on 3.10.06.
- The Notice of Determination was sent on 3.13.06.

The findings of the independent review are as follows:

Questions for Review

Medical necessity of the proposed anterior cervical discectomy and fusion at C4-5 and C6-7 with Bengal cages, eagle plating, neuraxis monitoring, bone morphogenetic protein and explanation of cervical plating at C5-6.

Determination

PHMO, Inc. has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. After review of all medical records received from both parties involved, the PHMO, Inc. physician reviewer has determined to **uphold the denial** on the requested service(s).

Summary of Clinical History

Mr. ____ sustained a work related on the job injury on ____, while employed with _____.

Clinical Rationale

This patient has no objective neurological deficits on his clinical exam except for decreased neck range of motion. There are multiple levels of mild disc abnormalities that are not apparently causing cord or nerve root pressure. The proposed fusion surgery from C4 to C7 would be juxtaposed to the C3-4 disc which is

also not normal. Per Dr. Aranibar's 6.17.05 office note, Mr. ___ pain is primarily in the nuchal region with radiation to the shoulders. The patient has hypesthesia in the upper extremities to the dorsum of his hands but without specific dermatomal deficit or motor or reflex change.

Thus, the proposed multi-level fusion is not approved as requested and as it may result in further debility and more rapid breakdown of C3-4.

Clinical Criteria, Utilization Guidelines or other material referenced

- Spine 2, Orthopedic Knowledge Update, American Academy Orthopedic Surgeons.

The reviewer for this case is a Medical Doctor licensed by the Texas State Board of Medical Examiners. The reviewer is a diplomate of the American Board of Orthopedic Surgery, and is engaged in the full time practice of medicine.

The review was performed in accordance with Texas Insurance Code 21.58C and the rules of Texas Department of Insurance /Division of Workers' Compensation. In accordance with the act and the rules, the review is listed on the DWC's list of approved providers or has a temporary exemption. The review includes the determination and the clinical rationale to support the determination. Specific utilization review criteria or other treatment guidelines used in this review are referenced.

The reviewer signed a certification attesting that no known conflicts-of-interest exist between the reviewer and the treating and/or referring provider, the injured employee, the injured employee's employer, the injured employee's insurance carrier, the utilization review agent, or any of the treating doctors or insurance carrier health care providers who reviewed the case for decision before referral to the IRO. The reviewer also attests that the review was performed without any bias for or against the patient, carrier, or other parties associated with this case.

Your Right To Appeal

If you are unhappy with all or part of this decision, you have the right to appeal the decision. The decision of the Independent Review Organization is binding during the appeal process.

If you are disputing the decision (other than a spinal surgery prospective decision), the appeal must be made directly to a district court in Travis County (see Texas Labor Code §413.031). An appeal to District Court must be filed not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision that is the subject of the appeal is final and appealable.

If you are disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision, a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the Division of Workers' Compensation, Chief Clerk of Proceedings, within ten (10) days of your receipt of this decision. The address for the Chief Clerk of Proceedings would be: P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744.

I hereby verify that a copy of this Findings and Decision was faxed to the Texas Department of Insurance /Division of Workers Compensation, the requestor (if different from the patient) and the respondent. I hereby verify that a copy of this Findings and Decision was mailed to the injured worker (the requestor) applicable to Commission Rule 102.5 this 13th day of March, 2006.

Meredith Thomas
Administrator
Parker Healthcare Management Organization, Inc.

CC: Dr. Roberto Arnabar
Attn: Michelle Hernandez
Fax: 210.949.0171

TX Workers Comp
Attn: Augustina Rivas
Fax: 830.693.2729