

September 1, 2006

VIA FACSIMILE
RS Medical
Attention: Joe Basham

VIA FACSIMILE
ECAS
Attention: Neal Moreland

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

RE: MDR Tracking #: M2-06-1786-01
DWC #: _____
Injured Employee: _____
Requestor: RS Medical
Respondent: ECAS
MAXIMUS Case #: TW06-0122

MAXIMUS has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) as an independent review organization (IRO). The MAXIMUS IRO Certificate Number is 5348. The TDI, Division of Workers Compensation (DWC) has assigned this case to MAXIMUS in accordance with Rule §133.308, which allows for a dispute resolution by an IRO.

MAXIMUS has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine whether or not the adverse determination was appropriate. Relevant medical records, documentation provided by the parties referenced above and other documentation and written information submitted regarding this appeal was reviewed during the performance of this independent review.

This case was reviewed by a practicing physician who is board certified in orthopedic surgery on the MAXIMUS external review panel who is familiar with the condition and treatment options at issue in this appeal. The reviewer has met the requirements for the approved doctor list (ADL) of DWC or has been approved as an exception to the ADL requirement. A certification was signed that the reviewing provider has no known conflicts of interest between that provider and the injured employee, the injured employee's employer, the injured employee's insurance carrier, the utilization review agent, or any of the treating doctors or insurance carrier health care providers who reviewed the case for decision before referral to the IRO, was signed. In addition, the MAXIMUS physician reviewer certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party in this case.

Clinical History

This case concerns an adult female who had a work related injury on _____. Records do not indicate the circumstances of the injury. Diagnosis has included closed fibula shaft fracture. Evaluation and treatment for this injury has included plating and grafting procedures and a trial of stimulation therapy with an RS4i stimulator.

Requested Services

Preauthorization for an RS4i 4 channel combination unit interferential and muscle stimulator.

Documents and/or information used by the reviewer to reach a decision:

Documents Submitted by Requestor:

1. RS Medical Prescription and Patient Usage Report – 3/13/06-7/19/06
2. Reagan Crossnoe, MD correspondence – 5/1/06
3. Patient Correspondence – 7/18/06

Documents Submitted by Respondent:

1. Determination Notices – 6/6/06, 6/23/06
2. RS Medical Prescription – 5/5/06

Decision

The Carrier's denial of authorization for the requested services is upheld.

Standard of Review

This MAXIMUS determination is based upon generally accepted standard and medical literature regarding the condition and services/supplies in the appeal.

Rationale/Basis for Decision

The MAXIMUS physician consultant indicated there is no class I data to suggest that the RS4i stimulation is medically necessary or beneficial in the treatment of fibula fractures and non-unions that have healed. The MAXIMUS physician consultant noted that this treatment is purely experimental treatment for the member's condition. The MAXIMUS physician consultant explained that there is no role for this device for treatment of this member's condition.

Therefore, the MAXIMUS physician consultant concluded that the requested preauthorization for an RS4i 4 channel combination unit interferential and muscle stimulator is not medically necessary for treatment of the patient's condition.

Your Right To Appeal

If you are unhappy with all or part of this decision, you have the right to appeal the decision. The decision of the Independent Review Organization is binding during the appeal process.

If you are disputing the decision (other than a spinal surgery prospective decision), the appeal must be made directly to a district court in Travis County (see Texas Labor Code §413.031). An appeal to District Court must be filed not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision that is the subject of the appeal is final and appealable. If you are disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision, a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the Division of Workers' Compensation, Chief Clerk of Proceedings, within ten (10) days of your receipt of this decision.

Sincerely,
MAXIMUS

Lisa Gebbie, MS, RN
State Appeals Department

cc: Division of Workers Compensation

—

I hereby verify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) Decision was sent to the carrier, the requestor and claimant via facsimile or U.S. Postal Service from the office of the IRO on this 1st day of September 2006.

Signature of IRO Employee: _____
External Appeals Department