

July 6, 2006

VIA FACSIMILE  
Texas Mutual Insurance Company  
Attention: Latrice Giles

**NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION**

**RE: MDR Tracking #: M2-06-1324-01**  
**DWC #:**  
**Injured Employee: \_\_\_\_**  
**Requestor: \_\_\_\_**  
**Respondent: Texas Mutual Insurance Company**  
**MAXIMUS Case #: TW06-0084**

MAXIMUS has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) as an independent review organization (IRO). The MAXIMUS IRO Certificate Number is 5348. The TDI, Division of Workers Compensation (DWC) has assigned this case to MAXIMUS in accordance with Rule §133.308, which allows for a dispute resolution by an IRO.

MAXIMUS has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine whether or not the adverse determination was appropriate. Relevant medical records, documentation provided by the parties referenced above and other documentation and written information submitted regarding this appeal was reviewed during the performance of this independent review.

This case was reviewed by a practicing physician who is board certified in neurosurgery on the MAXIMUS external review panel who is familiar with the condition and treatment options at issue in this appeal. The reviewer has met the requirements for the approved doctor list (ADL) of DWC or has been approved as an exception to the ADL requirement. A certification was signed that the reviewing provider has no known conflicts of interest between that provider and the injured employee, the injured employee's employer, the injured employee's insurance carrier, the utilization review agent, or any of the treating doctors or insurance carrier health care providers who reviewed the case for decision before referral to the IRO, was signed. In addition, the MAXIMUS physician reviewer certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party in this case.

Clinical History

This case concerns an adult female who had a work related injury on \_\_\_\_\_. The patient reported that while lifting a 2000-pound steel plate with a hand hoist to the level of a table saw, she felt a sharp pain when she bent over. Diagnoses included lumbosacral strain-contusion syndrome, lumbar disc radiculopathy, and spinal stenosis. Evaluation and treatment have included an MRI, a CT scan, a myelogram, chiropractic services and medications.

Requested Services

Preauthorization for a discogram with CT.

Documents and/or information used by the reviewer to reach a decision:

*Documents Submitted by Requestor:*

1. Records and Correspondence from Robert H. LeGrand, MD – 12/20/04-3/27/06

*Documents Submitted by Respondent:*

1. Determination Notices – 3/21/06, 4/6/06, 6/21/06
2. Records and Correspondence from Robert H. LeGrand, MD – 12/30/04-3/13/06
3. Diagnostic Studies (e.g., MRI, CT scan, myelogram, etc) – 1/13/05, 2/21/06
4. Records and Correspondence from Lance D. Moore, DC – 9/7/05
5. Designated Doctor Evaluation – 5/31/06

Decision

The Carrier's denial of authorization for the requested services is upheld.

Standard of Review

This MAXIMUS determination is based upon generally accepted standard and medical literature regarding the condition and services/supplies in the appeal.

Rationale/Basis for Decision

The MAXIMUS physician consultant indicated there is no clear indication for the requested discogram. The MAXIMUS physician consultant also noted the patient has low back pain with fairly non-descript changes at L3-4, L4-5 and L5-S1. The MAXIMUS physician consultant indicated that no clear level has been identified that is the source of her pain. The MAXIMUS physician consultant noted that a discogram in this case appears does not appear to use for the purpose of ruling out problems adjacent to a clearly abnormal disc. The MAXIMUS physician consultant explained that there is no clear medical necessity for the requested discogram.

Therefore, the MAXIMUS physician consultant concluded that the requested preauthorization for a discogram with CT is not medically necessary for treatment of the member's condition.

**Your Right To Appeal**

If you are unhappy with all or part of this decision, you have the right to appeal the decision. The decision of the Independent Review Organization is binding during the appeal process.

If you are disputing the decision (other than a spinal surgery prospective decision), the appeal must be made directly to a district court in Travis County (see Texas Labor Code §413.031). An appeal to District Court must be filed not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision that is the subject of the appeal is final and appealable. If you are disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision, a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the Division of Workers' Compensation, Chief Clerk of Proceedings, within ten (10) days of your receipt of this decision.

Sincerely,  
**MAXIMUS**

Lisa Gebbie, MS, RN  
State Appeals Department

cc: Division of Workers Compensation

I hereby verify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) Decision was sent to the carrier, the requestor and claimant via facsimile or U.S. Postal Service from the office of the IRO on this 6th day of July 2006.

Signature of IRO Employee: \_\_\_\_\_  
External Appeals Department