

IRO America Inc.

An Independent Review Organization

(IRO America Inc. was formerly known as ZRC Services Inc. DBA ZiroC)

7626 Parkview Circle

Austin, TX 78731

Phone: 512-346-5040

Fax: 512-692-2924

September 6, 2005

TWCC Medical Dispute Resolution

Fax: (512) 804-4868

Patient: _____
TWCC #: _____
MDR Tracking #: M2-05-1825-01
IRO #: 5251

IRO America Inc. (IRO America) has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance as an Independent Review Organization. The Texas Worker's Compensation Commission (TWCC) has assigned this case to IRO America for independent review in accordance with TWCC Rule 133.308 which allows for medical dispute resolution by an IRO.

IRO America has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. In performing this review, all relevant medical records and documentation utilized to make the adverse determination, along with any documentation and written information submitted, was reviewed.

The independent review was performed by a matched peer with the treating doctor; the Reviewer is a credentialed Panel Member of IRO America's Medical Knowledge Panel who is a licensed MD, board certified and specialized in Orthopedic Surgery. The reviewer is on the TWCC Approved Doctor List (ADL).

The IRO America Panel Member/Reviewer is a health care professional who has signed a certification statement stating that no known conflicts of interest exist between the Reviewer and any of the treating doctors or providers or any of the doctors or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to the referral to IRO America for independent review. In addition, the reviewer has certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party to the dispute.

RECORDS REVIEWED

Notification of IRO assignment, information provided by Requestor, Respondent, and Treating Doctor(s) including:

Office notes of Dr. Westfield, 07/20/00, 08/24/00, 10/05/00, 01/04/01, 05/31/01, 08/16/01, 10/11/01, 12/20/01, 01/24/02, 04/11/02, 06/13/02, 03/06/03, 06/26/03, 09/25/03, 09/25/03, 06/03/04, 07/01/04, 07/08/04, 08/05/04, 10/06/04, 03/24/05
Office note of Dr. Hirsch, 08/17/02, and 04/06/05
EMG/NCS, 01/21/03

EMG, 06/10/03'

Office notes of Dr. Freiberg, 08/14/0k, 09/09/03, 10/30/03, 01/02/04, 02/17/04, 05/18/04, and 06/08/04

Record Review, Dr. Vo, 10/13/03

MRI right shoulder, 06/08/04

X-ray right elbow, 06/10/04

Office note of Dr. Burdin, 06/11/04, 07/21/04, 08/10/04, 10/05/04, 10/26/04, 11/29/04, 12/29/04, 01/25/05, 03/29/05, 04/27/05, 05/27/05, 06/29/05, 07/27/05, and 08/10/05

Office note of Dr. Lampert with Dr. Freiberg), 06/24/04, 07/15/04, 10/05/04, 11/30/04, 01/27/05, 02/10/05, 06/07/05, and 07/14/05

Operative report, 11/10/04

Thoracic x-ray, 12/20/04

Cervical MRI, 02/11/05

Narrative summary, Dr. Lampert, 05/03/05

Request reconsideration concerning elbow surgery, 05/06/05

Surgical request, 05/13/05

Pre-authorization, Dr. Burdin, 06/13/05

Record review, Dr. Fahey, 07/23/05

CLINICAL HISTORY

The patient is a 41-year-old right-handed female who sustained a bruise of the right hand on ___ when a shelf of glasses fell. The patient also had complaints of right elbow and shoulder pain. She treated for right carpal tunnel syndrome, multiple trigger fingers, cervical strain, myofascial pain, reflex sympathetic dystrophy, and shoulder impingement. The patient has persistent complaints of pain and numbness in the ulnar distribution with a diagnosis of cubital tunnel syndrome. An ulnar nerve transposition has been recommended.

DISPUTED SERVICE(S)

Under dispute is prospective medical necessity of Right elbow ulnar nerve transposition flexor carpal ulnaris fascial flaps

DETERMINATION/DECISION

The Reviewer agrees with the determination of the insurance carrier.

RATIONALE/BASIS FOR THE DECISION

The Reviewer would not recommend approval of the ulnar nerve transposition as being medically necessary. Although the EMG/NCV studies show evidence of cubital tunnel syndrome, the patient is a poor surgical candidate because of her history of reflex sympathetic dystrophy of her right upper extremity. The applicable evidence in the medical records does not indicate that this patient is going to be a good surgical candidate. She has had ongoing complaints of her right upper extremity and it is unlikely that the transposition of the ulnar nerve will give her significant sustained relief and could, in fact, cause her symptoms to significantly worsen rather than improve. In the Reviewer's medical opinion, the risk of the surgery and poor outcome significantly outweigh the potential benefits of the proposed surgery. Therefore, the Reviewer would not recommend the right elbow ulnar nerve transposition flexor carpal ulnaris fascial flaps as medically necessary.

Screening Criteria

General:

In making his determination, the Reviewer had reviewed medically acceptable screening criteria relevant to the case, which may include but is not limited to any of the following: Evidence Based Medicine Guidelines (Helsinki, Finland); Texas Medical Foundation: Screening Criteria Manual (Austin, Texas); Texas Chiropractic Association: Texas Guidelines to Quality Assurance (Austin Texas); Texas Medical Foundation: Screening Criteria Manual (Austin, Texas); Mercy Center Guidelines of Quality Assurance; any and all guidelines issued by TWCC or other State of Texas Agencies; standards contained in Medicare Coverage Database; ACOEM Guidelines; peer-reviewed literate and scientific studies that meet nationally recognized standards; standard references compendia; and findings; studies conducted under the auspices of federal government agencies and research institutes; the findings of any national board recognized by the National Institutes of Health; peer reviewed abstracts submitted for presentation at major medical associates meetings; any other recognized authorities and systems of evaluation that are relevant.

CERTIFICATION BY OFFICER

IRO America has performed an independent review solely to determine the medical necessity of the health services that are the subject of the review. IRO America has made no determinations regarding benefits available under the injured employee's policy.

As an officer of IRO America Inc., I certify that there is no known conflict between the Reviewer, IRO America and/or any officer/employee of the IRO with any person or entity that is a party to the dispute.

IRO America is forwarding by mail or facsimile, a copy of this finding to the TWCC, the Injured Employee, the Respondent, the Requestor, and the Treating Doctor.

Sincerely,

IRO America Inc.



Dr. Roger Glenn Brown

President & Chief Resolutions Officer

Cc: [Claimant]

Brad Burdin DC

Attn: Jessica

Fax: 210-690-0399

Continental Casualty

Attn: Joe Anderson w/ Burns, Anderson, Jury & Brenner

Fax: 512-338-5363

Brad Burdin DC

Fax: 210-690-6952

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **10** (ten) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed or the date of fax (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing and a **copy of this decision** must be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings / Appeals Clerk
P.O. Box 17787
Austin, Texas 78744
Fax: 512-804-4011

The party appealing this decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to other party involved in this dispute.

Name/signature

I hereby certify, in accordance with TWCC Rule 102.4 (h), that a copy of this Independent Review Organization decision was sent to the carrier, requestor, claimant (and/or the claimant's representative) and the TWCC via facsimile, U.S. Postal Service or both on this 7th day of September, 2005.

Name and Signature of Ziroc Representative:

Sincerely,
ZRC Services Inc



Dr. Roger Glenn Brown
Chairman & CEO