

MEDICAL REVIEW OF TEXAS

[IRO #5259]

3402 Vanshire Drive

Austin, Texas 78738

Phone: 512-402-1400

FAX: 512-402-1012

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DETERMINATION

TWCC Case Number:	
MDR Tracking Number:	M2-05-1110-01
Name of Patient	
Name of URA/Payer:	Fidelity & Guaranty Insurance Company
Name of Provider: (ER, Hospital, or Other Facility)	R S Medical
Name of Physician: (Treating or Requesting)	David Singleton, MD

April 26, 2005

An independent review of the above-referenced case has been completed by a medical physician board certified in family practice. The appropriateness of setting and medical necessity of proposed or rendered services is determined by the application of medical screening criteria published by Texas Medical Foundation, or by the application of medical screening criteria and protocols formally established by practicing physicians. All available clinical information, the medical necessity guidelines and the special circumstances of said case was considered in making the determination.

The independent review determination and reasons for the determination, including the clinical basis for the determination, is as follows:

See Attached Physician Determination

Medical Review of Texas (MRT) hereby certifies that the reviewing physician is on Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Approved Doctor List (ADL). Additionally, said physician has certified that no known conflicts of interest exist between him and any of the treating physicians or providers or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed the case for determination prior to referral to MRT.

Sincerely,

Michael S. Lifshen, MD
Medical Director

April 26, 2005
Notice of Independent Review Determination
Page 2

cc: R S Medical
David Singleton, MD
Texas Workers Compensation Commission

RE:

CLINICAL HISTORY

The following records were submitted for review:

- Non-authorization letters from Genex Services;
- Medical records from Dr. Singleton;'
- Prescriptions from R S Medical;
- A patient progress report;
- Reprints of CMS and ACOEM guidelines;
- The Philadelphia Panel Study, and various articles; and
- Non-authorization letters from various IRO's.

This patient sustained a work related injury on _____. He was treated with medications, physical therapy, a muscle stimulator, medications and injections.

REQUESTED SERVICE(S)

Purchase of an interferential muscle stimulator.

DECISION

Uphold prior non-authorization.

RATIONALE/BASIS FOR DECISION

No objective evidence was submitted to justify the medical necessity of this device for this patient. Furthermore, this type of device is generally used as an adjunctive therapy in the acute phase of treatment for chronic pain patients such as _____. This view is the standard of care and supported by CMS, NASS, ACOEM guidelines and the Philadelphia Panel Study. Therefore, the prior non-authorization is upheld.

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **10** (ten) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (20 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5©).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed or the date of fax (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing and a **copy of this decision** must be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk
Texas Workers' Compensation Commission
P.O. Box 17787
Austin, Texas 78744

Or fax the request to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this decision must be attached to the request.

The party appealing the decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

In accordance with Commission Rule 102.4(h), I hereby verify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) Decision was sent to the carrier, the requestor and claimant via facsimile or U.S. Postal Service from the office of the IRO on this 26th day of April 2005.

Signature of IRO Employee: _____

Printed Name of IRO Employee: Cindy Mitchell