

August 3, 2004

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

MDR Tracking #: M2-04-1570-01

___ has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) as an independent review organization (IRO). The ___ IRO Certificate Number is 5348. Texas Worker's Compensation Commission (TWCC) Rule §133.308 allows for a claimant or provider to request an independent review of a Carrier's adverse medical necessity determination. TWCC assigned the above-reference case to ___ for independent review in accordance with this Rule.

___ has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine whether or not the adverse determination was appropriate. Relevant medical records, documentation provided by the parties referenced above and other documentation and written information submitted regarding this appeal was reviewed during the performance of this independent review.

This case was reviewed by a practicing physician on the ___ external review panel. The reviewer has met the requirements for the ADL of TWCC or has been approved as an exception to the ADL requirement. This physician is board certified in anesthesiology and is familiar with the condition and treatment options at issue in this appeal. The ___ physician reviewer signed a statement certifying that no known conflicts of interest exist between this physician and any of the treating physicians or providers or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed this case for a determination prior to the referral to ___ for independent review. In addition, the ___ physician reviewer certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party in this case.

Clinical History

This case concerns a 58 year-old female who sustained a work related injury on ___. The patient reported that while at work she fell and injured her left ankle. On 6/25/02 the patient underwent an EMG that showed mild to moderate polyneuropathy in the lower extremities, and no evidence of a radiculopathy or focal mononeuropathy. On 7/5/02 the patient underwent an MRI of the lower extremity. Treatment for this patient's condition has included physical therapy and medications. The patient returned to her pain management specialist on 4/7/04 almost one year after her last visit. The patient has continued complaints of chronic left foot pain. The patient has been referred for a MRI of the left foot for evaluation.

Requested Services

MRI left foot.

Documents and/or information used by the reviewer to reach a decision:

Documents Submitted by Requestor:

1. No documents submitted

Documents Submitted by Respondent:

1. Pain Management notes 5/13/02 – 4/14/04
2. EMG report 6/25/02
3. MRI report 7/5/02

Decision

The Carrier's denial of authorization for the requested services is upheld.

Rationale/Basis for Decision

The ___ physician reviewer noted that this case concerns a 58 year-old female with a history of diabetes who sustained a work related injury to her left foot and ankle on ____. The ___ physician reviewer indicated that the patient had been initially treated with immobilization in a midcalf cam walker followed by a course of physical therapy and pain management. The ___ physician reviewer noted that an MRI on 7/5/02 did not demonstrate any bony abnormality and that an EMG revealed neuropathy. The ___ physician reviewer also noted that the patient had been treated with medical therapy and continues with 6/10 left foot pain. The ___ physician reviewer explained that the patient's symptoms are consistent with diabetic neuropathy and that a bone scan obtained also indicated degenerative joint disease changes in the foot. The ___ physician reviewer noted that on follow up exam the patient had no deformity. The ___ physician reviewer also noted that the patient had not seen her pain management specialist in over a year. Therefore, the ___ physician consultant concluded that the requested MRI of the left foot is not medically necessary to treat this patient's condition at this time.

This decision is deemed to be a TWCC Decision and Order.

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **10 (ten)** days of your receipt of this decision. (20 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20 (twenty)** days of your receipt of this decision. (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3)

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed. (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk
P.O. Box 17787
Austin, TX 78744
Fax: 512-804-4011

A copy of this decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing the decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute. (Commission Rule 133.308(t)(2))

Sincerely,

I hereby verify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) Decision was sent to the carrier, the requestor and claimant via facsimile or U.S. Postal Service from the office of the IRO on this 3rd day of August 2004.