

MDR Tracking Number: M2-04-1061-01  
IRO Certificate # 5259

April 7, 2004

An independent review of the above-referenced case has been completed by a chiropractic doctor. The appropriateness of setting and medical necessity of proposed or rendered services is determined by the application of medical screening criteria published by \_\_\_ or by the application of medical screening criteria and protocols formally established by practicing physicians. All available clinical information, the medical necessity guidelines and the special circumstances of said case was considered in making the determination.

The independent review determination and reasons for the determination, including the clinical basis for the determination, is as follows:

See Attached Physician Determination

\_\_\_ hereby certifies that the reviewing physician is on Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Approved Doctor List (ADL). Additionally, said physician has certified that no known conflicts of interest exist between him and any of the treating physicians or providers or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed the case for determination prior to referral to \_\_\_.

#### CLINICAL HISTORY

Patient received extensive physical medicine treatments, including a work hardening program, after an on-the-job injury on \_\_\_.

#### REQUESTED SERVICE (S)

Chronic Pain Management Program x 30 Sessions

#### DECISION

Denied.

#### RATIONALE/BASIS FOR DECISION

Although the patient may indeed be in need of limited psychological help (as suggested by the carrier's reviewer), the proposed chronic pain management program is medically unnecessary since the previously attempted work hardening program (see \_\_\_ letter dated April 16, 2001) had within it the exercises and modalities that are inherent in and central to the proposed CPMP. In other words and for all practical purposes, most of the proposed program has already been attempted and failed. Therefore, since the patient is not likely to benefit in any meaningful way from repeating physical treatments already rendered, the chronic pain management program is medically unnecessary.

## YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

**If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision** a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **10** (ten) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (20 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5©)

**If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions** a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3)

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed or the date of fax (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing and a **copy of this decision** must be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk  
Texas Workers' Compensation Commission  
P.O. Box 17787  
Austin, Texas 78744

Or fax the request to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this decision must be attached to the request.

The party appealing the decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

In accordance with Commission Rule 102.4(h), I hereby verify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) Decision was sent to the carrier, the requestor and claimant via facsimile or U.S. Postal Service from the office of the IRO on this 12<sup>th</sup> day of April 2004.