

April 8, 2004

David Martinez
TWCC Medical Dispute Resolution
MS-48
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100
Austin, TX 78744-1609

MDR Tracking #: M2-04-1053-01
IRO #: 5251

___ has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance as an Independent Review Organization. The Texas Worker's Compensation Commission has assigned this case to ___ for independent review in accordance with TWCC Rule 133.308 which allows for medical dispute resolution by an IRO.

___ has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. In performing this review, all relevant medical records and documentation utilized to make the adverse determination, along with any documentation and written information submitted, was reviewed.

The independent review was performed by a matched peer with the treating doctor. This case was reviewed by a licensed Doctor of Chiropractic. The reviewer is on the TWCC Approved Doctor List (ADL). The ___ health care professional has signed a certification statement stating that no known conflicts of interest exist between the reviewer and any of the treating doctors or providers or any of the doctors or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to the referral to ___ for independent review. In addition, the reviewer has certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party to the dispute.

CLINICAL HISTORY

This patient was injured on his job in ___ while working as a fireman for the ___. He has a past history that includes 2 lumbar surgeries. In the injury we discuss today, he was working in EMT training at the ___ and moved from the walkway of an emergency employee at a hospital in a sudden manner. He had an immediate onset of pain in the low back. MRI of the lumbar spine in 1992 revealed bulges at L1/2, L2/3, L3/4 and L4/5. Records on this case are very minimal and indicate that the patient has apparently undergone significant treatment over the years, but documentation consists of narrative reports by the treating doctors, a psychosocial evaluation and some physical capacity information from the J-Tech system.

REQUESTED SERVICE

A 30-session chronic pain management program is requested for this patient.

DECISION

The reviewer agrees with the prior adverse determination.

BASIS FOR THE DECISION

The reviewer had viewed the records which were submitted by the requestor and there is no indication that this patient is a chronic pain patient in the classic sense. While the patient has had ongoing episodic pain over the years, certainly this would be expected for a person with his history. The evaluation of the clinic for the CPMP indicates that the patient is actually fairly well adjusted for an individual with this type of ongoing lumbar spine difficulty and there is no indication from any provider on this case that such a program would be expected to improve this patient's condition in any way. As a result, the reviewer does not find it is medically necessary for this patient to undergo a chronic pain program.

___ has performed an independent review solely to determine the medical necessity of the health services that are the subject of the review. ___ has made no determinations regarding benefits available under the injured employee's policy.

As an officer of ___, I certify that there is no known conflict between the reviewer, ___ and/or any officer/employee of the IRO with any person or entity that is a party to the dispute.

___ is forwarding by mail and, in the case of time sensitive matters by facsimile, a copy of this finding to the treating doctor, payor and/or URA, patient and the TWCC.

Sincerely,

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **10** (ten) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed or the date of fax (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing and a **copy of this decision** must be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings / Appeals Clerk
P.O. Box 17787
Austin, Texas 78744
Fax: 512-804-4011

The party appealing this decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to other party involved in this dispute.

I hereby certify, in accordance with TWCC Rule 102.4 (h), that a copy of this Independent Review Organization decision was sent to the carrier, requestor, claimant (and/or the claimant's representative) and the TWCC via facsimile, U.S. Postal Service or both on this 8th day of April 2004.