

April 7, 2004

MDR Tracking #: M2-04-0919-01

IRO #: 5251

___ has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance as an Independent Review Organization. The Texas Worker's Compensation Commission has assigned this case to ___ for independent review in accordance with TWCC Rule 133.308 which allows for medical dispute resolution by an IRO.

___ has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. In performing this review, all relevant medical records and documentation utilized to make the adverse determination, along with any documentation and written information submitted, was reviewed.

The independent review was performed by a matched peer with the treating doctor. This case was reviewed by a licensed Medical Doctor board certified and specialized in Orthopedic Surgery. The reviewer is on the TWCC Approved Doctor List (ADL). The ___ health care professional has signed a certification statement stating that no known conflicts of interest exist between the reviewer and any of the treating doctors or providers or any of the doctors or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to the referral to ___ for independent review. In addition, the reviewer has certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party to the dispute.

CLINICAL HISTORY

___ is a 42-year-old man who slipped and fell while working at ___ on ___. He sustained injury to his neck and lower back and has been treated by ___. He has received two epidural steroid injections and his neck is reportedly much better. He has continued to have lower back pain and the treating physician, ___, has obtained MRI studies that demonstrate three-level disc degeneration, mild degree, without evidence of nerve root compression. These levels of disc degeneration are L3/4, L4/5 and L5/S1. ___ has now suggested obtaining a provocative lumbar discogram to better determine the joints to treat or do surgery on.

REQUESTED SERVICE

A lumbar discogram with CT is requested for this patient.

DECISION

The reviewer agrees with the prior adverse determination.

BASIS FOR THE DECISION

It has been shown that discography is highly unreliable in determining the pain generator in a painful lower back. There is no good evidence to support that provocative discography is going to be useful to promote better treatment outcomes in this patient. He has multiple changes on the MRI and it is very likely that he may have multiple areas in his back that could be producing pain. The ___ reviewer finds that the information provided by the discogram would not be reliable. This conclusion is noted by Caragee et al in Spine 1999 to 2001.

___ has performed an independent review solely to determine the medical necessity of the health services that are the subject of the review. ___ has made no determinations regarding benefits available under the injured employee's policy.

As an officer of ____, Inc, dba ____, I certify that there is no known conflict between the reviewer, ____ and/or any officer/employee of the IRO with any person or entity that is a party to the dispute.

____ is forwarding by mail and, in the case of time sensitive matters by facsimile, a copy of this finding to the treating doctor, payor and/or URA, patient and the TWCC.

Sincerely,

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **10** (ten) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed or the date of fax (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing and a **copy of this decision** must be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings / Appeals Clerk
P.O. Box 17787
Austin, Texas 78744
Fax: 512-804-4011

The party appealing this decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to other party involved in this dispute.

I hereby certify, in accordance with TWCC Rule 102.4 (h), that a copy of this Independent Review Organization decision was sent to the carrier, requestor, claimant (and/or the claimant's representative) and the TWCC via facsimile, U.S. Postal Service or both on this 7th day of April 2004.