

March 9, 2004

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

RE: MDR Tracking #: M2-04-0632-01

___ has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) as an independent review organization (IRO). The ___ IRO Certificate Number is 5348. Texas Worker's Compensation Commission (TWCC) Rule §133.308 allows for a claimant or provider to request an independent review of a Carrier's adverse medical necessity determination. TWCC assigned the above-reference case to ___ for independent review in accordance with this Rule.

___ has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine whether or not the adverse determination was appropriate. Relevant medical records, documentation provided by the parties referenced above and other documentation and written information submitted regarding this appeal was reviewed during the performance of this independent review.

This case was reviewed by a practicing physician on the ___ external review panel. The reviewer has met the requirements for the ADL of TWCC or has been approved as an exception to the ADL requirement. This physician is board certified in physical medicine and rehabilitation. The ___ physician reviewer signed a statement certifying that no known conflicts of interest exist between this physician and any of the treating physicians or providers or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed this case for a determination prior to the referral to ___ for independent review. In addition, the ___ physician reviewer certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party in this case.

Clinical History

This case concerns a male who sustained a work related injury on ___. The diagnoses for this patient have included lumbosacral neuritis, lumbago and muscle spasm. Treatment for this patient's condition has included physical therapy, injections and oral medications. The patient was prescribed an RS4i sequential stimulator to decrease muscle spasms, decrease pain, reeducate muscles and increase blood circulation.

Requested Services

Purchase of an RS4i sequential stimulator (4 channel combination interferential & muscle stimulator unit).

Decision

The Carrier's denial of authorization for the requested services is upheld.

Rationale/Basis for Decision

The ___ physician reviewer noted that this case concerns a male who sustained a work related injury to his back on ___. The ___ physician reviewer indicated that the patient experiences chronic back pain with radiculopathy and has been receiving treatment with an RS4i Sequential Stimulator from 5/28/03 through 10/30/03. The ___ physician reviewer explained that there is no documentation of the patient's level of pain relief with treatment from the RS4i Sequential Stimulator Unit. The ___ physician reviewer indicated that a note from the treating doctor dated 7/28/03 stated that the patient is reporting that the muscle stimulator is helping with pain.

However, the ___ physician reviewer explained that the pain level is documented as a 7/10 but that it is not clear what the patient's pain level is reduced to after being treated with the RS4i Sequential Stimulator Unit. The ___ physician reviewer also explained that the documentation provided did not show that a course of treatment with a traditional TENS Unit has been tried and failed. The ___ physician reviewer further explained that there is no documentation provided that would indicate treatment with an RS4i Sequential Stimulator Unit would be more beneficial for this patient than a traditional TENS Unit. Therefore, the ___ physician consultant concluded that the requested purchase of an RS4i sequential stimulator (4 channel combination interferential & muscle stimulator unit) is not medically necessary to treat this patient's condition at this time.

This decision is deemed to be a TWCC Decision and Order.

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **10 (ten)** days of your receipt of this decision. (20 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20 (twenty)** days of your receipt of this decision. (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed. (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk
P.O. Box 17787
Austin, TX 78744
Fax: 512-804-4011

A copy of this decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing the decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute. (Commission Rule 133.308(t)(2)).

Sincerely,

I hereby verify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) Decision was sent to the carrier, the requestor and claimant via facsimile or U.S. Postal Service from the office of the IRO on this 9th day of March 2004.