

THIS DECISION HAS BEEN APPEALED, THE  
FOLLOWING IS THE RELATED SOAH DECISION NUMBER"  
SOAH DOCKET NO. 453-04-3681.M2

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

February 3, 2004

RE: MDR Tracking #: M2-04-0604-01  
IRO Certificate #: IRO4326

\_\_\_ has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) as an independent review organization (IRO). The Texas Workers' Compensation Commission (TWCC) has assigned the above referenced case to \_\_\_ for independent review in accordance with TWCC Rule §133.308 which allows for medical dispute resolution by an IRO.

\_\_\_ has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. In performing this review, relevant medical records, any documents utilized by the parties referenced above in making the adverse determination, and any documentation and written information submitted in support of the appeal was reviewed.

The independent review was performed by a \_\_\_ physician reviewer who is board certified in anesthesiology which is the same specialty as the treating physician. The \_\_\_ physician reviewer has signed a certification statement stating that no known conflicts of interest exist between him or her and any of the treating physicians or providers or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to the referral to \_\_\_ for independent review. In addition, the reviewer has certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party to this case.

Clinical History

This patient sustained an injury to her right shoulder on \_\_\_, mechanism unknown. She has had anti-inflammatory, muscle relaxant, and narcotic medications and numerous injections. She has been using the RS4i unit on a trial basis for two months.

Requested Service(s)

Purchase of an RS4i sequential 4-channel combination interferential and muscle stimulator unit

Decision

It is determined that the purchase of an RS4i sequential 4-channel combination interferential and muscle stimulator unit is not medically necessary to treat this patient's condition.

Rationale/Basis for Decision

The records on this patient do not show any improvement from the stimulator. The visual analog scale (VAS) has remained the same. The nerve blocks which were performed have

helped the patient much more than the RS4i stimulator. There is no evidence that the stimulator has decreased the amount of pain medication, improved activities of daily living (ADLs), or improved range of motion or strength.

Under treatment goals on the prescription form, the indications for use of the unit are:

1. Relieve acute pain (This patient does not have acute pain by definition.)
2. Relax muscle spasms (There is no evidence supplied showing that this is being achieved.)
3. Prevent disuse atrophy (There is no evidence this patient has a nerve injury or severe limitation of range of motion (ROM) as to produce disuse atrophy.)
4. Increase ROM (There is no evidence that the unit has any effect on ROM.)
5. Increase focal circulation (There is no indication that this patient has a problem with circulation.)

Therefore, it is determined that the purchase of an RS4i sequential 4-channel combination interferential and muscle stimulator unit is not medically necessary.

This decision by the IRO is deemed to be a TWCC decision and order.

### **YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING**

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

**If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision** a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **10** (ten) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5 (c)).

**If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions** a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed or the date of fax (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.5(d)). A request for hearing and a **copy of this decision** must be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, Texas Workers' Compensation Commission, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744, Fax: 512-804-4011.

The party appealing this decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to all other parties involved in this dispute.

Sincerely,

In accordance with Commission Rule 102.4 (h), I hereby verify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) Decision was sent to the carrier, the requestor and claimant via facsimile or U.S. Postal Service from the office of the IRO on this 3 <sup>rd</sup> day of February 2004.
--