

November 25, 2003

David Martinez
TWCC Medical Dispute Resolution
MS-48
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100
Austin, TX 78744-1609

MDR Tracking #: M2-04-0330-01
IRO #: 5251

___ has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance as an Independent Review Organization. The Texas Worker's Compensation Commission has assigned this case to ___ for independent review in accordance with TWCC Rule 133.308 which allows for medical dispute resolution by an IRO.

___ has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. In performing this review, all relevant medical records and documentation utilized to make the adverse determination, along with any documentation and written information submitted, was reviewed.

The independent review was performed by a matched peer with the treating doctor. This case was reviewed by a licensed Doctor of Chiropractic. The reviewer is on the TWCC Approved Doctor List (ADL). The ___ health care professional has signed a certification statement stating that no known conflicts of interest exist between the reviewer and any of the treating doctors or providers or any of the doctors or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to the referral to ___ for independent review. In addition, the reviewer has certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party to the dispute.

CLINICAL HISTORY

This patient was injured on the job when he was working on some pipe attempting to put a gasket in between the 2 and was striking them with a hammer when the hammer slipped, hitting him in the left wrist. A few days later he saw ___, who noted restrictions in ROM and swelling with pain. Radiography was negative. He began seeing ___ on June 4, 2003 and was noted to have a level 9 pain in that region, out of a possible 10. He was diagnosed with a sprain/contusion of the wrist. A FCE was performed by the treating doctor which indicated moderate-to-serious restriction in wrist ranges of motion as well as an inability to perform the work level required by the patient.

REQUESTED SERVICE

A work conditioning program for 10 sessions is requested for this patient.

DECISION

The reviewer disagrees with the prior adverse determination.

BASIS FOR THE DECISION

The treatment requested is defined in the letter of explanation as to address the needs of the patient through a specific program. This does meet the goals of a work hardening program. The patient clearly has specific need to increase his strength in the left hand after this traumatic incident and the program which is proposed would accomplish that goal.

The requestor's program specifically would target reconditioning the patient's wrist for his type of work. The FCE clearly shows an inability for the patient to do such work. As a result, the care is found to be reasonable and necessary.

___ has performed an independent review solely to determine the medical necessity of the health services that are the subject of the review. ___ has made no determinations regarding benefits available under the injured employee's policy.

As an officer of ___, I certify that there is no known conflict between the reviewer, ___ and/or any officer/employee of the IRO with any person or entity that is a party to the dispute.

___ is forwarding by mail and, in the case of time sensitive matters by facsimile, a copy of this finding to the treating doctor, payor and/or URA, patient and the TWCC.

Sincerely,

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **10** (ten) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed or the date of fax (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing and a **copy of this decision** must be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings / Appeals Clerk
P.O. Box 17787
Austin, Texas 78744
Fax: 512-804-4011

The party appealing this decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to other party involved in this dispute.

I hereby certify, in accordance with TWCC Rule 102.4 (h), that a copy of this Independent Review Organization decision was sent to the carrier, requestor, claimant (and/or the claimant's representative) and the TWCC via facsimile, U.S. Postal Service or both on this 25th day of November 2003.