IRO Certificate #4599

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

October 27, 2003

Re: IRO Case # M2-04-0116-01

Texas Worker's Compensation Commission:

___ has been certified as an independent review organization (IRO) and has been authorized to perform independent reviews of medical necessity for the Texas Worker's Compensation Commission (TWCC). Texas HB. 2600, Rule133.308 effective January 1, 2002, allows a claimant or provider who has received an adverse medical necessity determination from a carrier's internal process, to request an independent review by an IRO.

In accordance with the requirement that TWCC assign cases to certified IROs, TWCC assigned this case to ___ for an independent review. ___ has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. For that purpose, ___ received relevant medical records, any documents obtained from parties in making the adverse determination, and any other documents and/or written information submitted in support of the appeal.

The case was reviewed by a physician who is Board Certified in Neurological Surgery, and who has met the requirements for the TWCC Approved Doctor List or who has been granted an exception from the ADL. He or she has signed a certification statement attesting that no known conflicts of interest exist between him or her and any of the treating physicians or providers, or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to referral to ___ for independent review. In addition, the certification statement further attests that the review was performed without bias for or against the carrier, medical provider, or any other party to this case.

The determination of the ___ reviewer who reviewed this case, based on the medical records provided, is as follows:

> History
> The patient is a 51-year-old male who was injured in ___ while stepping from a curb. He developed low back and left lower extremity pain. Physical therapy was unsuccessful in relieving the pain. An EMG was positive, showing left lumbar radiculopathy, and an MRI suggested that pathology as the source of the patient's trouble. The patient underwent lumbar laminectomy with diskectomy on the left side at L5-S1 on 4/3/00. The patient did well until around July 2001 when his pain returned, once again extending from the back into the left lower extremity. Epidural steroids helped the leg, but the pack pain continues. A repeat MRI showed enhancing scar tissue around the S1 nerve root.

Requested Service(s)
Left Facet Medial Branch Block with fluoroscopy and sedation

Decision
I disagree with the carrier's decision to deny the requested treatment.

Rationale
The patients examination shows findings suggestive of facet pain as the patient's problem. Epidural steroid injections relieved his leg pain, but his back pain persists. A diagnostic block to determine whether a more permanent rhizotomy will be helpful is a reasonable approach.

This medical necessity decision by an Independent Review Organization is deemed to be a Commission decision and order.

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **10** (ten) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed or the date of fax (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing and a **copy of this decision** must be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings / Appeals Clerk
P.O. Box 17787
Austin, Texas 78744

Fax: 512-804-4011

The party appealing this decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to other party involved in this dispute.

Sincerely,

In accordance with Commission Rule 102.4 (b), I hereby certify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) decision was sent to the carrier and the requestor or claimant via facsimile or US Postal Service from the office of the IRO on this 29th day of October 2003.