

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

Date: August 12, 2003

RE: MDR Tracking #: M2-03-1523-01-ss
IRO Certificate #: 5242

_____ has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) as an independent review organization (IRO). The Texas Workers' Compensation Commission (TWCC) has assigned the above referenced case to _____ for independent review in accordance with TWCC Rule §133.308 which allows for medical dispute resolution by an IRO.

_____ has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. In performing this review, relevant medical records, any documents utilized by the parties referenced above in making the adverse determination and any documentation and written information submitted in support of the appeal was reviewed.

The independent review was performed by an Orthopedic Surgeon physician reviewer who is board certified in Orthopedic Surgery. The Orthopedic Surgeon physician reviewer has signed a certification statement stating that no known conflicts of interest exist between him or her and any of the treating physicians or providers or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to the referral to for independent review. In addition, the reviewer has certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party to this case.

Clinical History

The claimant allegedly sustained a low back injury from a compensable work event on ___ and ultimately underwent an L5/S1 interbody fusion. There is a history of re-injury following a fall. CT scan report dated 1/2/03 indicates a solid interbody fusion at L5/S1 as well as a prior posterolateral fusion mass at L4/5.

Requested Service(s)

Re-do lumbar laminectomy and possible repair of pseudoarthrosis.

Decision

I agree with the insurance carrier that the requested intervention is not medically necessary.

Rationale/Basis for Decision

There is no documentation of pseudoarthrosis to indicate the necessity of exploration. There is no documentation of electromyogram/nerve conduction velocity study indicating significant radicular process at the L5/S1 level. Generally a pain generator site is specifically identified by electrodiagnostic studies if a neurocompressive lesion is suspected. A diagnosis of radiculopathy has been made but has not been confirmed by independent electrodiagnostic evaluation.

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **10** (ten) days of your receipt of this decision (20 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3).

This Decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5(d)). A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Workers' Compensation Commission, P.O. Box 40669, Austin, Texas, 78704-0012. **A copy of this decision should be attached to the request.**

The party appealing the decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute (Commission Rule 133.308 (t)(2)).

This decision by the IRO is deemed to be a TWCC decision and order.