

January 24, 2003

David Martinez  
TWCC Medical Dispute Resolution  
MS-48  
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100  
Austin, TX 78744-1609

MDR Tracking #: M2-03-0249-01  
IRO #: 5251

\_\_\_ has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance as an Independent Review Organization. The Texas Worker's Compensation Commission has assigned this case to \_\_\_ for independent review in accordance with TWCC Rule 133.308 which allows for medical dispute resolution by an IRO.

\_\_\_ has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. In performing this review, all relevant medical records and documentation utilized to make the adverse determination, along with any documentation and written information submitted, was reviewed.

The independent review was performed by a matched peer with the treating doctor. This case was reviewed by a licensed Doctor of Chiropractic. The \_\_\_ health care professional has signed a certification statement stating that no known conflicts of interest exist between the reviewer and any of the treating doctors or providers or any of the doctors or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to the referral to \_\_\_ for independent review. In addition, the reviewer has certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party to the dispute.

#### CLINICAL HISTORY

\_\_\_ was injured on his job with \_\_\_ on \_\_\_ when he suffered a sprain/strain injury to the mid back and left shoulder. The documentation of this file is very minimal, consisting of almost no medial records and 2 letters of medical necessity from the treating doctor explaining the efficacy of Surface EMG. The one office note that was included was from June 20, 2002 and indicated the patient had somatic injuries and was undergoing passive care at that point. The first letter from the treating doctor indicates the necessity of the SEMG by describing the test equipment used. The second describes the theory behind SEMG and the reasons it is used.

#### REQUESTED SERVICE

The carrier has declined a Kinesiologic Surface EMG.

#### DECISION

The reviewer agrees with the prior adverse determination.

### BASIS FOR THE DECISION

There is no documentation from the treating doctor about the condition of the patient and why such testing is needed for this patient. There is no description of what treatment or testing has been performed nor of why this particular test was chosen to be utilized. Most importantly, there is not one piece of information in the minimal documentation that would indicate what result this test would have on the patient's treatment plan and how the information gleaned from this test would be integrated into the patient's future medical program. This patient apparently has a sprain/strain and I can think of no reason to perform this test on a patient with that type of injury lacking a clear direction of a treatment plan.

\_\_\_ has performed an independent review solely to determine the medical necessity of the health services that are the subject of the review. \_\_\_ has made no determinations regarding benefits available under the injured employee's policy.

As an officer of \_\_\_, I certify that there is no known conflict between the reviewer, \_\_\_ and/or any officer/employee of the IRO with any person or entity that is a party to the dispute.

\_\_\_ is forwarding by mail and, in the case of time sensitive matters by facsimile, a copy of this finding to the treating doctor, payor and/or URA, patient and the TWCC.

Sincerely,

### **YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING**

Either party to medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

**If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision**, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **10** (ten) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

**If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions**, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed or the date of fax (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing and a **copy of this decision** must be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings / Appeals Clerk  
P.O. Box 17787  
Austin, Texas 78744  
Fax: 512-804-4011

The party appealing this decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to other party involved in this dispute.

**I hereby certify, in accordance with TWCC Rule 102.4 (h), that a copy of this Independent Review Organization decision was sent to the carrier, requestor, claimant (and/or the claimant's representative) and the TWCC via facsimile, U.S. Postal Service or both on this 28<sup>th</sup> day of January 2004.**