

MDR Tracking Number: M5-04-4048-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305 titled Medical Dispute Resolution - General and 133.308 titled Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organizations, the Medical Review Division assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent. The dispute was received on 07-26-04. Dates of service 07-21-03 through 07-24-03 were not timely filed per Rule 133.308(e)(1) and will not be reviewed.

The Medical Review Division has reviewed the IRO decision and determined that **the requestor prevailed** on the issues of medical necessity. Therefore, upon receipt of this Order and in accordance with §133.308(r)(9), the Commission hereby orders the respondent and non-prevailing party to **refund the requestor \$650.00** for the paid IRO fee. For the purposes of determining compliance with the order, the Commission will add 20 days to the date the order was deemed received as outlined on page one of this order.

In accordance with §413.031(e), it is a defense for the carrier if the carrier timely complies with the IRO decision.

Based on review of the disputed issues within the request, the Medical Review Division has determined that **medical necessity was the only issue** to be resolved. The ultrasound, electrical stimulation, therapeutic exercises, manual therapy technique, mechanical traction and therapeutic activities were found to be medically necessary. The respondent raised no other reasons for denying reimbursement for the above listed services.

On this basis, and pursuant to §§402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 of the Act, the Medical Review Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to pay the unpaid medical fees in accordance with the fair and reasonable rate as set forth in Commission Rule 133.1(a)(8) plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the requestor within 20 days of receipt of this order. This Order is applicable to dates of service 07-28-03 through 04-16-04 in this dispute.

The respondent is prohibited from asserting additional denial reasons relative to this Decision upon issuing payment to the requestor in accordance with this Order (Rule 133.307(j)(2)).

This Findings and Decision and Order are hereby issued this 27th day of September 2004.

Debra L. Hewitt
Medical Dispute Resolution Officer
Medical Review Division

September 21, 2004

David Martinez
TWCC Medical Dispute Resolution
7551 Metro Center Suite 100
Austin, TX 78744

Patient:
TWCC #:
MDR Tracking #: M5-04-4048-01
IRO #: 5284

Specialty IRO has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance as an Independent Review Organization. The Texas Worker's Compensation Commission has assigned this case to Specialty IRO for independent review in accordance with TWCC Rule 133.308, which allows for medical dispute resolution by an IRO.

Specialty IRO has performed an independent review of the care rendered to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. In performing this review, all relevant medical records and documentation utilized to make the adverse determination, along with any documentation and written information submitted, was reviewed.

This case was reviewed by a licensed Doctor of Osteopathy with a specialty in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. The reviewer is on the TWCC ADL. The Specialty IRO health care professional has signed a certification statement stating that no known conflicts of interest exist between the reviewer and any of the treating doctors or providers or any of the doctors or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to the referral to Specialty IRO for independent review. In addition, the reviewer has certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party to the dispute.

CLINICAL HISTORY

This is a 26 year old female who sustained a work related injury on _____. She underwent a left L4-5 microdiscectomy on 12-19-2002 with good results. She was treated postoperatively with physical therapy. She then developed new symptomatology and a work up revealed another herniated disc at L3-L4. On 6-15-2004 she underwent a left L3-L4 microdiscectomy. According to the records the patient did well after both surgeries.

DISPUTED SERVICES

The items in dispute are the retrospective medical necessity of ultrasound, electrical stimulation, therapeutic exercises, manual therapy technique, mechanical traction and therapeutic activities.

DECISION

The reviewer disagrees with the previous adverse determination.

BASIS FOR THE DECISION

Reference: United Health Foundation “Clinical Evidence”, Issue 6

Systematic reviews and randomized trials have found that exercise programs, manual therapies and modalities are beneficial for low back pain. Three systematic reviews identified 23 randomized clinical trials that included 2,240 people and found that exercise therapy versus conservative treatment improved pain, disability and physical outcomes, including strength and flexibility.

Specialty IRO has performed an independent review solely to determine the medical necessity of the health services that are the subject of the review. Specialty IRO has made no determinations regarding benefits available under the injured employee’s policy. Specialty IRO believes it has made a reasonable attempt to obtain all medical records for this review and afforded the requestor, respondent and treating doctor an opportunity to provide additional information in a convenient and timely manner.

As an officer of Specialty IRO, Inc, dba Specialty IRO, I certify that there is no known conflict between the reviewer, Specialty IRO and/or any officer/employee of the IRO with any person or entity that is a party to the dispute.

Sincerely,