

MDR Tracking Number: M5-04-4020-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305 titled Medical Dispute Resolution - General and 133.308 titled Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organizations, the Medical Review Division assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent. The dispute was received on 7-22-04.

The Medical Review Division has reviewed the IRO decision and determined that **the requestor prevailed** on the issues of medical necessity. For the purposes of determining compliance with the order, the Commission will add 20 days to the date the order was deemed received as outlined on page one of this order.

In accordance with §413.031(e), it is a defense for the carrier if the carrier timely complies with the IRO decision.

Based on review of the disputed issues within the request, the Medical Review Division has determined that **medical necessity was the only issue** to be resolved. The Amitriptyline HCL 25 mg and Neurontin 300 mg were found to be medically necessary. The respondent raised no other reasons for denying reimbursement for the above listed services.

On this basis, and pursuant to §§402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 of the Act, the Medical Review Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to pay the unpaid medical fees in accordance with the fair and reasonable rate as set forth in Commission Rule 133.1(a)(8) plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the requestor within 20 days of receipt of this order. This Order is applicable to date of service 3-17-04 in this dispute.

The respondent is prohibited from asserting additional denial reasons relative to this Decision upon issuing payment to the requestor in accordance with this Order (Rule 133.307(j)(2)).

This Order is hereby issued this 16th day of September, 2004.

Donna Auby
Medical Dispute Resolution Officer
Medical Review Division

DA/da

Envoy Medical Systems, LP
1726 Cricket Hollow
Austin, Texas 78758

Ph. 512/248-9020
IRO Certificate #4599

Fax 512/491-5145

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

September 10, 2004

Re: IRO Case # M5-04-4020

Texas Worker's Compensation Commission:

Envoy Medical Systems, LP (Envoy) has been certified as an independent review organization (IRO) and has been authorized to perform independent reviews of medical necessity for the Texas Worker's Compensation Commission (TWCC). Texas HB. 2600, Rule 133.308 effective January 1, 2002, allows a claimant or provider who has received an adverse medical necessity determination from a carrier's internal process, to request an independent review by an IRO.

In accordance with the requirement that TWCC assign cases to certified IROs, TWCC assigned this case to Envoy for an independent review. Envoy has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. For that purpose, Envoy received relevant medical records, any documents obtained from parties in making the adverse determination, and any other documents and/or written information submitted in support of the appeal.

The case was reviewed by a physician who is Board Certified in Anesthesiology and Pain Management, and who has met the requirements for TWCC Approved Doctor List or has been approved as an exception to the Approved Doctor List. He or she has signed a certification statement attesting that no known conflicts of interest exist between him or her and any of the treating physicians or providers, or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to referral to Envoy for independent review. In addition, the certification statement further attests that the review was performed without bias for or against the carrier, medical provider, or any other party to this case.

The determination of the Envoy reviewer who reviewed this case, based on the medical records provided, is as follows:

Medical Information Reviewed

1. Table of disputed service
2. Explanation of benefits
3. M.D. evaluation 3/26/02
4. Treating M.D. physician record, notes, reports 2000-2004

History

The patient is a 55-year-old female who has had chronic pain in her shoulders and upper extremities since a _____ repetitive use injury. Bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome has been diagnosed, but the patient has widespread pain in her arms and legs.

Requested Service(s)

Amitriptyline, Neurontin 3/17/04

Decision

I disagree with the carrier's decision to deny the requested medication.

Rationale

The patient has CRPS, with findings of swelling, mottling, hyperhidrosis and hyperesthesia. These findings are consistent with the diagnosis of CRPS. The disputed medications are non narcotic and control the patient's symptoms. It is reasonable and necessary to continue the medications. It is unclear from the records provided for this review whether there was preexisting pain, but it is not unusual for CRPS to develop from a repetitive use injury.