



Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision General Information

Requestor Name

Joel Joselevitz, M.D.

Respondent Name

New Hampshire Insurance Co.

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-24-1210-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 19

DWC Date Received

January 30, 2024

Summary of Findings

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
June 2, 2023	99205	\$433.11	\$0.00
June 2, 2023	95886	\$0.00	\$0.00
June 2, 2023	95911	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total		\$433.11	\$0.00

Requestor's Position

"Please note that an office consultation/examination was performed and documented separately on this date of service and billed accordingly with the appropriate modifier... as you can see from the attached report an examination was performed and documented as a Detailed Examination component and billed as 99202... all components are met in our documentation for CPT Code 99202..."

Amount in Dispute: \$433.11

Respondent's Position

"The provider billed the amount of \$1,233.73. He acknowledged that he was paid \$800.62 based upon payments of CPT codes 95886 AND 95911. The provider is seeking additional payment for an office visit under CPT code 99205. However, the office visit is part of the exam for which the referral was made. He was asked to do an EMG and Nerve Conduction Velocity studies which he did and for which he was paid. However, he is seeking additional payment of \$433.11 for an office visit that is considered part of the testing... Accordingly, the provider is not entitled to

separate payment for CPT code 99205.”

Response Submitted by: Flahive, Ogden & Latson

Findings and Decision

Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to [Texas Labor Code §413.031](#) and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers’ Compensation (DWC).

Statutes and Rules

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code ([TAC](#)) [§133.307](#) sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. [28 TAC §134.203](#) sets out the fee guideline for professional medical services.
3. [28 TAC §133.210](#) sets out medical documentation requirements for reimbursement of medical services.

Denial Reason

The insurance carrier denied the payment for the disputed service with the following claim adjustment codes:

- 63 – THE EVALUATION AND MANAGEMENT VISIT IS NOT BEYOND THE USUAL PRE/POST SERVICE.
- 47 – OFFICE VISIT / EVALUATION INCLUDED IN THE VALUE OF ANOTHER PROCEDURE.
- 193 – ORIGINAL PAYMENT DECISION IS BEING MAINTAINED. UPON REVIEW, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THIS CLAIM WAS PROCESSED PROPERLY.

Issues

1. What service(s) are in dispute?
2. What rules apply to the disputed service?
3. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement for CPT Code 99205?

Findings

1. CPT Codes 95886 and 95911, which were included on the DWC60 form and on the same bill with disputed service code 99205, have been reimbursed by the respondent and are not in dispute. DWC finds the only service in dispute is CPT code 99205. Therefore, only 99205 will be addressed and adjudicated.
2. The dispute concerns an evaluation and management service (E/M) billed under CPT code 99205.

DWC finds that 28 TAC §133.210(c)(1) applies to documentation requirements of CPT code 99205. 28 (TAC) §133.210(c)(1) sets out medical documentation requirements, stating in pertinent part “In addition to the documentation requirements of subsection (b) of this

section, medical bills for the following services shall include the following supporting documentation: the two highest Evaluation and Management (E/M) office visit codes for new and established patients: office visit notes/report satisfying the American Medical Association requirements for use of those CPT codes...”

As CPT code 99205 is one of the two highest E/M codes, DWC finds that (TAC) §133.210(c)(1) required the requestor to submit supporting documentation to satisfy American Medical Association requirements.

DWC finds that 28 TAC §134.203(b)(1) applies to the billing and reimbursement of CPT code 99205. 28 TAC §134.203(b)(1) states, “For coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of professional medical services, Texas workers’ compensation system participants shall apply the following: (1) Medicare payment policies, including its coding; billing; correct coding initiatives (CCI) edits; modifiers; bonus payments for health professional shortage areas (HPSAs) and physician scarcity areas (PSAs); and other payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided with any additions or exceptions in the rules.”

3. The requestor is seeking reimbursement in the amount of \$433.11 for CPT Code 99205 rendered on June 2, 2023.

- CPT Code 99205 is defined as, “Office or other outpatient visit for the evaluation and management of a new patient, which requires a medically appropriate history and/or examination and high level of medical decision making (MDM). When using time for code selection, 60-74 minutes of total time is spent on the date of the encounter.”
- The American Medical Association (AMA) CPT Code and Guideline Changes, effective January 1, 2021, can be found at: <https://www.ama-assn.org/system/files/2019-06/cpt-office-prolonged-svs-code-changes.pdf>. In summary, CPT 99205 documentation must contain two out of three of the following elements: 1) high level of number and complexity of problems addressed 2) extensive level of amount and/or complexity of data to be reviewed and analyzed 3) high risk of morbidity/mortality of patient management OR must document 60-74 minutes of total time spent on the date of patient encounter.
- An interactive Evaluation and Management (E/M) scoresheet tool is available at: www.novitas-solutions.com/webcenter/portal/MedicareJL/EMScoreSheet

A review of submitted medical documentation finds that a high level of MDM was not met in the elements of 1) number and complexity of problems addressed 2) extensive level of amount and/or complexity of data to be reviewed 3) high risk of morbidity/mortality of patient management. DWC finds no documentation of time spent specifically on a separately identifiable E/M service in the submitted medical record.

- Per CMS article, found at: [Article - Billing and Coding: Nerve Conduction Studies and Electromyography \(A57478\) \(cms.gov\)](https://www.cms.gov/medicare/coverage/dmcsa/2018/10/2018-10-01-billing-and-coding-nerve-conduction-studies-and-electromyography-a57478), “I. Coding Guidelines A.) Evaluation/Management (E/M) 1) Usually an E&M service is included in the exam performed just prior to and during nerve conduction studies and/or electromyography. If the E&M service is a separate and identifiable

service, the medical record must document medical necessity and the CPT code must be bill with a modifier 25.”

- DWC applies Medicare’s coding and billing policies in accordance with 28 TAC §134.203 as indicated above. Per Medicare Fee Schedule, CPT code 95911 has a global period of XXX.

According to National Correct Coding Initiative Policy Manual for Medicare Services, revised 5/1/2022, “... Many of these ‘XXX’ procedures are performed by physicians and have inherent pre-procedure, intra-procedure, and post-procedure work usually performed each time the procedure is completed. This work shall not be reported as a separate E&M code... With most ‘XXX’ procedures, the physician may, however, perform a significant and separately identifiable E&M service on the same date of service which may be reported by appending modifier 25 to the E&M code. This E&M service may be related to the same diagnosis necessitating performance of the ‘XXX’ procedure but cannot include any work inherent in the ‘XXX’ procedure, supervision of others performing the ‘XXX’ procedure, or time for interpreting the result of the ‘XXX’ procedure...”

Review of the submitted medical documentation finds that disputed CPT code 99205 rendered on June 2, 2023, was inherent to the performance of CPT code 95911 billed on the same date. The requestor did not document a distinct and separately identifiable office visit.

For these reasons, DWC finds that the requestor is not entitled to reimbursement for CPT code 99205 rendered on June 2, 2023.

Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

DWC finds the requestor has not established that reimbursement is due for the disputed service.

ORDER

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031, DWC has determined the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed service.

Authorized Signature

_____	_____	February 23, 2023
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

Your Right to Appeal

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html. DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option 3 or email CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** with any other required information listed in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción 3 o correo electrónico CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.