



Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision

General Information

Requestor Name

Victoria ED

Respondent Name

Victoria ISD

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-24-0066-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 21

DWC Date Received

September 1, 2023

Summary of Findings

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
June 7, 2022	99283	\$2100.00	\$0.00
June 7, 2022	90715	\$250.00	\$0.00
June 7, 2022	90471	\$100.00	\$0.00
June 7, 2022	A4207	\$7.00	\$0.00
June 7, 2022	A4208	\$15.00	\$0.00
June 7, 2022	A4217	\$16.00	\$0.00
Total		\$1198.00	\$0.00

Requestor's Position

The requestor did not submit a position statement with this request for MFDR.

Amount in Dispute: \$1198.00

Respondent's Position

The Austin carrier representative for Victoria ISD is Thornton Biechlin Reynolds & Guerra LC. The representative was notified of this medical fee dispute on September 19, 2023.

Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(1), if the DWC does not receive the response within 14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then the DWC may base its decision on the available

information.

As of today, no response has been received from the insurance carrier or its representative. We will base this decision on the information available

Response submitted by: xx,

Findings and Decision

Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to [Texas Labor Code §413.031](#) and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

Statutes and Rules

1. [28 Texas Administrative Code \(TAC\) §133.307](#) sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.

Denial Reasons

The insurance carrier denied the payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:

- 370 – This hospital outpatient allowance was calculated according to the APC rate plus a markup.
- P12 – Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.
- 616 – This code has a status Q APC indicator and is packaged into other APC codes that have been identified by CMS.

Issues

1. Did the requestor waive the right to medical fee dispute resolution?

Findings

1. The requestor is seeking additional payment for professional medical services rendered on June 7, 2022. The insurance carrier reduced the disputed services based on workers' compensation fee schedule and packaging.

DWC Rule 28 TAC §133.307(c)(1) states:

"Timeliness. A requestor shall timely file with the Division's MDR Section or waive the right to MDR. The Division shall deem a request to be filed on the date the division receives the request.

- (A) A request for medical fee dispute resolution that does not involve issues identified in subparagraph (B) of this paragraph shall be filed no later than one year after the date(s) of service in dispute.

- (B) A request may be filed later than one year after the date(s) of service if:
- (i) a related compensability, extent of injury, or liability dispute under Labor Code Chapter 410 has been filed, the medical fee dispute shall be filed not later than 60 days after the date the requestor receives the final decision, inclusive of all appeals, on compensability, extent of injury, or liability;
 - (ii) a medical dispute regarding medical necessity has been filed, the medical fee dispute must be filed not later than 60 days after the date the requestor received the final decision on medical necessity, inclusive of all appeals, related to the health care in dispute and for which the insurance carrier previously denied payment based on medical necessity; or
 - (iii) the dispute relates to a refund notice issued pursuant to a division audit or review, the medical fee dispute must be filed not later than 60 days after the date of the receipt of a refund notice.

The date of the service in dispute is June 7, 2022. The request for medical dispute resolution was received at the Division on September 1, 2023.

Review of the submitted documentation found insufficient evidence to support an exception as detailed above. The requestor has waived their right to MFDR.

Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

DWC finds the requester has not established that reimbursement is due.

Order

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031 and 413.019, DWC has determined the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

November 15, 2023
Date

Your Right to Appeal

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the

instructions on the form. You can find the form at www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html. DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option 3 or email CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** with any other required information listed in [28 TAC §141.1\(d\)](#).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción 3 o correo electrónico CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.