

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision

General Information

Requestor Name

Peak Integrated
Healthcare

Respondent Name

Starr Indemnity & Liability Co

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-23-2171-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 19

DWC Date Received

May 3, 2023

Summary of Findings

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
March 13, 2023	E0730	\$167.38	\$167.38
March 13, 2023	A4595	\$73.68	\$0.00
March 13, 2023	E0731	\$162.93	\$162.93
March 13, 2023	A4558	\$49.28	\$0.00
March 13, 2023	E0215	\$94.21	\$94.21
March 13, 2023	L3671	\$299.99	\$299.99
Total		\$847.47	\$724.51

Requestor's Position

The requestor did not submit a position statement with this request for MFDR but did submit a copy of their reconsideration that states, "Please note item submitted for payment falls within the following guidelines for payment and should be processed for payment immediately, as pre-authorization is not required for this item(s) & it is medically necessary and reasonable, as it was prescribed by the treating doctor..."

Amount in Dispute: \$847.47

Respondent's Position

"The provider's position is that since the DME was billed separately per item, the equipment did not require preauthorization. However, most of the DME was related to a tens unit and the application of that tens unit. Without all of the DME, the tens unit under CPT code E0730 would not be usable. Accordingly, it is the carrier's position that the DME should be billed as a whole and not separated out cents [sic] they were integral to each other."

Response submitted by: Flahive, Ogden & Latson

Findings and Decision

Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to [Texas Labor Code §413.031](#) and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

Statutes and Rules

1. [28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307](#) sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. [28 Texas Administrative Code §134.600](#) sets out the prior authorization requirements for disputed services.
3. [28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203](#) sets out the reimbursement guidelines for professional medical services.

Denial Reasons

The insurance carrier denied the payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:

- 109 – Claim not covered by this payer/contractor. You must send the claim to the correct payer/contractor.
- 197 – Payment denied/reduced for absence of precertification/authorization.

Issues

1. Is the insurance carrier's position supported?
2. Are the insurance carrier's reasons for denial or reduction of payment supported?
3. What is the rule applicable to reimbursement?
4. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

1. The respondent states in their position statement, "...the DME should be billed as a whole and not separated..." Review of DWC Rule TAC 134.600 (p)(9) states in pertinent part, "all durable medical equipment (DME) in excess of \$500 billed charges **per item**..." The respondent's position that the DME should not be separated is not supported and will not be considered in this review.

2. The requestor is seeking reimbursement for durable medical equipment provided in March of 2023. The insurance carrier denied the service for lack of prior authorization and not covered by this payer/contractor. Insufficient evidence was found to support that Starr Indemnity & Liability is not the correct payer/contractor.

DWC Rule TAC §134.600 (p)(9) states (9) "Non-emergency health care requiring preauthorization includes: all durable medical equipment (DME) in excess of \$500 billed charges per item (either purchase or expected cumulative rental);

Review of the submitted documentation found insufficient evidence to support the requirement for prior authorization exists based on the submitted medical bill. The insurance carrier's denial is not supported. The disputed services will be reviewed per applicable fee guidelines.

3. DWC Rule TAC §134.203(d)(1) states in pertinent part, the MAR for Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) Level II codes A, E, J, K, and L shall be determined as 125 percent of the fee listed for the code in the Medicare Durable Medical Equipment, Prosthetics, Orthotics and Supplies (DMEPOS) fee schedule.

The DMEPOS fee schedule amount for the applicable date of service found at www4.palmettogba.com, found the following.

- E0730 -NU - Tens four lead, Texas, $\$167.38 \times 125\% = \209.22
- A4595 – DWC Rule TC 134.203 (b)(1) states in pertinent part, "For coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of professional medical services, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply the Medicare payment policies, including its coding, billing..."

CMS LCD L33802 at www.cms.gov, states, "Separate allowance will be made for replacement supplies."

Review of the submitted bill indicates the supplies were dispensed with a new unit not as replacement. No payment is recommended.

- E0731 – Conductive Garment, Texas $\$162.93 \times 125\% = \203.66
- A4558 – The applicable Medicare payment policy article A52520 at www.cms.gov states, "There should be no billing and there will be no separate allowance for replacement electrodes (A4556), conductive past or gel (A4558), replacement batteries (A4630), or a battery charger used with a TENS unit. No payment is recommended.
- E0215 -NU - Electric heating pad, Texas $\$94.21 \times 125\% = \117.76
- L3671 – So cap design w/o joints custom fabricated, Texas $\$945.45 \times 125\% = \$1,181.81$

4. The MAR for the disputed services as shown above is \$1712.45. The requestor is seeking \$724.51 for the items that are eligible for payment. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requestor

and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

DWC finds the requester has established that additional reimbursement is due.

Order

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031 and 413.019, DWC has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. It is ordered that Starr Indemnity & Liability Co must remit to Peak Integrated Healthcare \$724.51 plus applicable accrued interest within 30 days of receiving this order in accordance with [28 TAC §134.130](#).

Authorized Signature

_____	_____	May 31, 2023
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

Your Right to Appeal

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html. DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option 3 or email CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** with any other required information listed in [28 TAC §141.1\(d\)](#).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción 3 o correo electrónico CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.