



Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision

General Information

Requestor Name
PEAK INTEGRATED

Respondent Name
TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY

MFDR Tracking Number
M4-23-2014-01

Carrier's Austin Representative
Box Number 54

DWC Date Received
April 14, 2023

Summary of Findings

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
December 19, 2022	97750-GP	\$502.08	\$385.44
Total		\$502.08	\$385.44

Requestor's Position

"The correct modifier for a PHYSICAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION is GP. The appropriate modifier was used. Please see attached 10/17/2022 payment for previous PPE... All necessary and supporting documentation is included with this reconsideration to properly justify/support the administered treatment still needing to be paid."

Amount in Dispute: \$502.08

Respondent's Position

"The documentation submitted by the provider did not include a position statement for the disputed issue(s) as required by Rule 133.307(N)... The provider did not fully comply per Rule 133.307(J) which states "a copy of all medical bills related to the dispute, as described in §133.10 of this chapter (concerning Required Billing Forms/Formats) or §133.500 (concerning Electronic Formats for Electronic Medical Bill Processing) as originally submitted to the insurance carrier in accordance with this chapter, and a copy of all medical bills submitted to the insurance carrier for an appeal in accordance with §133.250 of this chapter (concerning Reconsideration for Payment of Medical Bills)."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Company

Findings and Decision

Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

Statutes and Rules

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 TAC §134.203, sets out the fee guidelines for reimbursement of professional medical services provided in the Texas workers' compensation system.
3. 28 TAC §133.250, sets out the guidelines for reconsideration for payment of medical bills.

Denial Reasons

The insurance carrier reduced or denied the payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:

- 225 - CLARIFICATION IS NEEDED -IS THIS A PPE OR AN FCE?
- CAC-W3 & 350 - IN ACCORDANCE WITH TDI-DWC RULE 134.804, THIS BILL HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED AS A REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OR APPEAL.
- CAC-16 - CLAIM/SERVICE LACKS INFORMATION OR HAS SUBMISSION/BILLING ERROR(S) WHICH IS NEEDED FOR ADJUDICATION.
- CAC-193 - ORIGINAL PAYMENT DECISION IS BEING MAINTAINED, UPON REVIEW, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THIS CLAIM WAS PROCESSED PROPERLY.
- CAC-4 - THE PROCEDURE CODE IS INCONSISTENT WITH THE MODIFIER USED QR A REQUIRED MODIFIER IS MISSING.
- 225 - THE SUBMITTED DOCUMENTATION DOES NOT SUPPORT THE SERVICE BEING BILLED. WE WILL RE-EVALUATE THIS UPON RECEIPT OF CLARIFYING INFORMATION.
- 739 - DOCUMENTATION SUBMITTED INDICATES AN FCE WAS PERFORMED. UTILIZE THE APPROPRIATE MODIFIER.
- 891 - NO ADDITIONAL PAYMENT AFTER RECONSIDERATION.

Issues

1. Did the requestor submit sufficient documentation to support that a reconsideration was completed prior to the filing of the MDR?
2. Is the Insurance Carrier's denial reason supported?
3. What rules apply to the reimbursement of CPT Code 97750-GP?
4. Is the Requestor entitled to reimbursement for CPT Code 97750-GP?

Findings

1. The requestor seeks reimbursement for CPT Code 97750-GP rendered on December 19, 2022. The insurance carrier states, "The documentation submitted by the provider did not include a position statement for the disputed issue(s) as required by Rule 133.307(N)."

Review of the submitted documentation supports that the requestor submitted a position

summary to support the reasons for dispute resolution. The insurance carrier's denial is therefore not supported.

The insurance carrier states, "The provider did not fully comply per Rule 133.307(J) which states a copy of all medical bills related to the dispute, as described in §133.10 of this chapter (concerning Required Billing Forms/Formats) or §133.500 (concerning Electronic Formats for Electronic Medical Bill Processing) as originally submitted to the insurance carrier in accordance with this chapter, and a copy of all medical bills submitted to the insurance carrier for an appeal in accordance with §133.250 of this chapter (concerning Reconsideration for Payment of Medical Bills)."

The requestor submitted a copy of an EOB with audit date, January 20, 2023 and a second copy of an EOB (reconsideration) with audit date, February 15, 2023.

The DWC finds that the requestor submitted sufficient documentation to support that the disputed service was submitted to the insurance carrier for reconsideration in accordance with 28 TAC §133.250. The DWC finds that the denial reasons raised by the insurance carrier are not supported.

2. The insurance carrier denied the disputed service with denial reasons codes, "225, CAC-16, CAC-4, 739," descriptions identified above.

Review of the submitted documentation supports that the requestor billed for a physical performance evaluation (PPE). The requestor appended modifier -GP to identify that a PPE was performed.

The requestor billed for a PPE, as a result the division finds that the insurance carrier's denial reasons are not supported. The requestor is therefore entitled to reimbursement for 97750-GP.

3. 28 TAC §134.203(b)(1) states, "For coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of professional medical services, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply the following: (1) Medicare payment policies, including its coding; billing; correct coding initiatives (CCI) edits; modifiers; bonus payments for health professional shortage areas (HPSAs) and physician scarcity areas (PSAs); and other payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided with any additions or exceptions in the rules."

CPT Code 97750-GP is defined as "Physical performance test or measurement (e.g., musculoskeletal, functional capacity), with written report, each 15 minutes."

The requestor appended the "GP" modifier to both codes. The "GP" modifier is described as "Services delivered under an outpatient physical therapy plan of care."

Per CMS' Billing and Coding: Outpatient Physical and Occupational Therapy Services, Article ID A56566, effective October 1, 2020:

These tests and measurements are beyond the usual evaluation services performed. Examples of physical performance tests or measurements include isokinetic testing, Functional Capacity Evaluation (FCE) and Tinetti. This code may be used for the 6-minute walk test, with a computerized report of the patient's oxygen saturation levels with increasing stress levels, performed under a PT or OT plan of care on pulmonary rehabilitation patients.

The therapy evaluation and re-evaluation codes are for a comprehensive review of the patient including, but not limited to, history, systems review, current clinical findings, establishment of a therapy diagnosis, and estimation of the prognosis and determination and/or revision of further treatment. CPT 97750 is intended to focus on patient performance of a specific activity or group of activities (CPT Assistant, December 2003).

There must be written evidence documenting the problem requiring the test, the specific test performed, and a separate measurement report. This report may include torque curves and other graphic reports with interpretation.

97750 should not be used to bill for patient assessments/re-assessments such as ROM testing or manual muscle testing completed at the start of care (as this is typically part of the examination included in the initial evaluation) and/or as the patient progresses through the episode of treatment.

CPT code 97750 is not covered on the same day as CPT codes 97161-97168 (due to CCI edits).

Supportive Documentation Requirements (required at least every ten visits) for 97750

- Problem requiring the test and the specific test performed
- Separate measurement report, including any graphic reports
- Application to functional activity
- How the test impacts the plan of care

The DWC finds that the requestor billed and documented a physical performance test. As a result, the insurance carrier's denial reasons are not supported, and the requestor is entitled to reimbursement pursuant to 28 TAC §134.203.

4. The fee guidelines for disputed service 97750-GP (x 8) is found at 28 TAC §134.203.

28 TAC §134.203 (c)(1) states, "To determine the MAR for professional services, system participants shall apply the Medicare payment policies with minimal modifications. (1) For service categories of Evaluation & Management, General Medicine, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Radiology, Pathology, Anesthesia, and Surgery when performed in an office setting, the established conversion factor to be applied is \$52.83..."

On the disputed dates of service, the requestor billed CPT code 97550-GP (x8). The multiple procedure rule discounting applies to the disputed service.

Medicare Claims Processing Manual Chapter 5, 10.3.7-effective June 6, 2016, titled Multiple Procedure Payment Reductions for Outpatient Rehabilitation Services, states:

Full payment is made for the unit or procedure with the highest PE payment. For subsequent units and procedures with dates of service prior to April 1, 2013, furnished to the same patient on the same day, full payment is made for work and malpractice and 80 percent payment is made for the PE for services submitted on professional claims (any claim submitted using the ASC X12 837 professional claim format or the CMS-1500 paper claim form) and 75 percent payment is made for the PE for services submitted on institutional claims (ASC X12 837 institutional claim format or Form CMS-1450).

For subsequent units and procedures with dates of service on or after April 1, 2013, furnished to the same patient on the same day, full payment is made for work and malpractice and 50 percent payment is made for the PE for services submitted on either professional or institutional claims.

To determine which services will receive the MPPR, contractors shall rank services according to the applicable PE relative value units (RVU) and price the service with the highest PE RVU at 100% and apply the appropriate MPPR to the remaining services. When the highest PE RVU applies to more than one of the identified services, contractors shall additionally sort and rank these services according to highest total fee schedule amount, and price the service with the highest total fee schedule amount at 100% and apply the appropriate MPPR to the remaining services.

The MPPR Rate File that contains the payments for 2022 services is found at <https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Billing/TherapyServices/index.html>.

- The date of service is December 19, 2022.
- The DWC conversion factor for 2022 is 62.46.
- The Medicare conversion factor for 2022 is 34.6062.
- MPPR rates are published by carrier and locality.
- Review of Box 32 on the CMS-1500 finds that the services were rendered in zip code 75211; therefore, the Medicare locality is "Dallas."
- The Medicare participating amount for CPT code 97750 at this locality is \$34.77 for the first unit, and \$25.54 for the subsequent seven units.

To determine the MAR the following formula is used: (DWC Conversion Factor/Medicare Conversion Factor) X Medicare Payment = Maximum Allowable Reimbursement (MAR).

Using the above formula, the MAR is \$62.76 for the first unit, and \$46.10 x 7 units = \$322.68 for the subsequent units, for a total of \$385.44. The respondent paid \$0.00. The difference between the MAR and amount paid is \$385.44; this amount is recommended for reimbursement.

The DWC finds that the requestor is entitled to reimbursement in the amount of \$385.44.

Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

The DWC finds the requester has established that reimbursement of \$385.44 is due.

Order

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031 and 413.019, DWC has determined the requestor is entitled to reimbursement for the disputed services. It is ordered that the Respondent must remit to the Requestor \$385.44 plus applicable accrued interest within 30 days of receiving this order in accordance with 28 TAC §134.130.

Authorized Signature

_____	_____	<u>June 20, 2023</u>
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

Your Right to Appeal

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html. DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office managing the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option 3 or email CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** with any other required information listed in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción 3 o correo electrónico CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.