



## Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision

### General Information

**Requestor Name**

St Lukes Baptist Hospital

**Respondent Name**

State Farm Fire & Casualty Co

**MFDR Tracking Number**

M4-23-1957-01

**Carrier's Austin Representative**

Box Number 01

**DWC Date Received**

April 6, 2023

### Summary of Findings

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
September 9, 2022	A9150	\$0.00	\$0.00
September 9, 2022	C1781	\$0.00	\$0.00
September 9, 2022	49653	\$2968.02	\$0.00
September 9, 2022	01999	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Total	\$2968.02	\$0.00

### Requestor's Position

The requestor did not submit a position statement with this request for MFDR. They did submit a copy of their reconsideration that states, "According to our contract with Sedgwick, we are entitled to receive \$12,317.40. We have received a payment of \$9,349.38. We are requesting an additional payment in the amount of \$2,968.02."

**Amount in Dispute:** \$2968.02

### Respondent's Position

The Austin carrier representative for State Farm Fire & Casualty Co is JT Parker & Associates. The representative was notified of this medical fee dispute on April 18, 2023.

Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(1), if the DWC does not receive the response within

14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then the DWC may base its decision on the available information.

As of today, no response has been received from the insurance carrier or its representative. We will base this decision on the information available.

## **Findings and Decision**

### Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to [Texas Labor Code §413.031](#) and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

### Statutes and Rules

1. [28 Texas Administrative Code \(TAC\) §133.307](#) sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. [28 TAC §134.403](#) sets out the fee guidelines for outpatient hospital services.

### Denial Reasons

The insurance carrier reduced or denied the payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:

- 4915 – The charge for the services represented by the code is included/bundled into the total facility payment and does not warrant a separate payment or the payment status indicator determines the service is packaged or excluded from payment.
- 797 – Service not paid under Medicare OPPS.
- 802 – Charge for this procedure exceeds the OPPS schedule allowance.
- 96 – Non-covered charge(s).
- 97 – Payment adjusted because the benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated.
- P12 – Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.

### Issues

1. Did the requestor support contracted rate?
2. What rule is applicable to reimbursement?
3. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

## Findings

1. The requestor is seeking additional payment of outpatient hospital services rendered in September of 2022. They state in their reconsideration request, "According to our contract with Sedgwick..." Insufficient evidence was found to support a contract between the two parties. The requestor's position statement will not be considered in this review. The maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) will be based on applicable fee guidelines.
2. DWC Rule 28 TAC §134.403 (d) requires Texas workers' compensation system participants when coding, billing, reporting and reimbursement to apply Medicare payment policies in effect on the date of service.

The Medicare payment policy applicable to the services in dispute is found at [www.cms.gov](http://www.cms.gov), Claims processing Manual, Chapter 4, Section 10.1.1. Specifically, Payment Status Indicators and Ambulatory Payment Category (APC).

DWC Rule 28 TAC 134.403 (e) states in pertinent part, regardless of billed amount, when no specific fee schedule or contract reimbursement shall be the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount under subsection (f) of this section including any applicable outlier payment amounts and reimbursement for implantables.

DWC Rule 28 TAC 134.403 (f) states in pertinent part the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the *Federal Register*.

The Medicare facility specific amount is calculated when the APC payment rate is multiplied by 60% to determine the labor portion. This amount is multiplied by the facility wage index for the date of service. The non-labor amount is determined when the APC payment rate is multiplied by 40%. The sum of the labor portion multiplied by the facility wage index and the non-labor portion determines the Medicare specific amount. Review of the submitted medical bill and the applicable fee guidelines referenced above is shown below.

- Procedure code 49653 This code is assigned APC 5361.

The OPPS Addendum A rate is \$5,167.69 multiplied by 60% for an unadjusted labor amount of \$3,100.61, in turn multiplied by facility wage index 0.841 for an adjusted labor amount of \$2,607.61.

The non-labor portion is 40% of the APC rate, or \$2,067.08.

The sum of the labor and non-labor portions is \$4,674.69.

The Medicare facility specific amount is \$4,674.69 multiplied by 200% for a MAR of \$9,349.38.

3. The total recommended reimbursement for the disputed services is \$9,349.38. The insurance carrier paid \$9,349.38. Additional payment is not recommended.

Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

DWC finds the requester has not established that additional reimbursement is due.

**Order**

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031 and 413.019, DWC has determined the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 additional reimbursement for the disputed services.

**Authorized Signature**

		July 27, 2023
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

**Your Right to Appeal**

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at [www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html](http://www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html). DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option 3 or email [CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov](mailto:CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov).

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** with any other required information listed in [28 TAC §141.1\(d\)](#).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción 3 o correo electronico [CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov](mailto:CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov).