



Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision

General Information

Requestor Name

Crescent Medical Center

Respondent Name

Federal Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-23-1096-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 17

DWC Date Received

January 11, 2023

Summary of Findings

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
April 27 – May 2, 2020	Rev 0278/Implants	\$21521.50	\$0.00
April 27 – May 2, 2020	DRG 460	\$23747.29	\$0.00
Total		\$45268.79	\$0.00

Requestor's Position

The requestor did not submit a position statement with this request for MFDR but did submit a copy of their reconsideration request that states, "Our first reconsideration was faxed on 7/17/20. I have attached proof that the reconsideration was faxed. The bill should have been reprocessed and paid back in 2020. The implants were not paid separately according to the originally paid eob. Additional payment is due."

Amount in Dispute: \$45268.79

Respondent's Position

"Additionally, as the Requestor failed to submit a request for Medical Fee Dispute Resolution within one year, as defined by Division rule 133.307, Corvel further contends the Requestor is not entitled to reimbursement,."

Response submitted by: Corvel

Findings and Decision

Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

Statutes and Rules

1. 28 TAC §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.

Denial Reasons

The insurance carrier denied the payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:

- 16 – Svc lacks info needed or has billing error(s)
- P12 – Workers' Compensation State Fee Schedule Adj
- 252 – Attachment required to adjudicate claim/service

Issues

1. Did the requestor waive the right to medical fee dispute resolution?

Findings

1. The requestor is seeking additional payment for inpatient hospital services rendered in April 2020. The insurance carrier denied the disputed services based on missing documentation.

DWC Rule 28 TAC §133.307(c)(1) states:

"Timeliness. A requestor shall timely file with the Division's MDR Section or waive the right to MDR. The Division shall deem a request to be filed on the date the division receives the request.

(A) A request for medical fee dispute resolution that does not involve issues identified in subparagraph (B) of this paragraph shall be filed no later than one year after the date(s) of service in dispute.

(B) A request may be filed later than one year after the date(s) of service if:

(i) a related compensability, extent of injury, or liability dispute under Labor Code Chapter 410 has been filed, the medical fee dispute shall be filed not later than 60 days after the date the requestor receives the final decision, inclusive of all appeals, on compensability, extent of injury, or liability;

(ii) a medical dispute regarding medical necessity has been filed, the medical fee dispute

must be filed not later than 60 days after the date the requestor received the final decision on medical necessity, inclusive of all appeals, related to the health care in dispute and for which the insurance carrier previously denied payment based on medical necessity; or (iii) the dispute relates to a refund notice issued pursuant to a division audit or review, the medical fee dispute must be filed not later than 60 days after the date of the receipt of a refund notice.

The date of the service in dispute is April 27 – May 2, 2020. The request for medical dispute resolution was received in the Medical Dispute Resolution (MDR) section on January 11, 2023. Insufficient evidence was found to support an exception to filing the request for MFDR within one year from the date of service.

The DWC finds the requestor has waived their right to MFDR.

Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

DWC finds the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due.

Order

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031 and 413.019, DWC has determined the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

January 26, 2023

Date

Your Right to Appeal

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html. DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option 3 or email CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other

parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** with any other required information listed in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción 3 o correo electrónico CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.