

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision

General Information

Requestor Name

Texas Regional Medical Center

Respondent Name

Texas Mutual Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-23-0503-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 54

DWC Date Received

October 25, 2022

Summary of Findings

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
June 7, 2022	73654	\$216.40	\$0.00
	Total	\$216.40	\$0.00

Requestor's Position

The requestor did not submit a position statement with this request for medical fee dispute but did submit a copy of their reconsideration that states, "According to TX workers compensation fee schedule the expected reimbursement for DOS 6/07/22 is \$676.39. Please note that CPT code 73564 was disallowed payment due to service being bundled, and service separately payable."

Amount in Dispute: \$216.40

Respondent's Position

The Austin carrier representative for Texas Mutual is Texas Mutual. The representative was notified of this medical fee dispute on November 1, 2022.

Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(1), if the DWC does not receive the response within

14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then the DWC may base its decision on the available information.

As of today, no response has been received from the insurance carrier or its representative. We will base this decision on the information available.

Response submitted by:

Findings and Decision

Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

Statutes and Rules

1. 28 TAC §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 TAC §134.403 sets out the fee guidelines for outpatient hospital services.

Denial Reasons

The insurance carrier reduced or denied the payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:

- P12 – Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment
- 131 - Claim specific negotiated discount
- 193 – Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly
- 97 – The benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated
- DC3 – Additional reimbursement allowed after reconsideration
- 350 – In accordance with TDI-DWC Rule 134.804, this bill has been identified as a request for reconsideration or appeal
- 370 – This hospital outpatient allowance was calculated according to the APC rate, plus a markup
- 616 – This code has a status Q APC indicator and is packaged into other APC codes that have been identified by CMS
- 767 – Paid per O/P FG at 200%: Implants not applicable or separate reimbursement (with cert) not requested per Rule 134.403(G)

Issues

1. What rule is applicable to reimbursement?
2. Is the requester entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

1. The requestor is seeking additional reimbursement for outpatient hospital services rendered in June 2022. The insurance carrier reduced the charges based on workers' compensation fee guidelines and packaging.

DWC Rule 28 TAC §134.403 (d) requires Texas workers' compensation system participants when coding, billing, reporting and reimbursement to apply Medicare payment policies in effect on the date of service.

The Medicare payment policy applicable to the services in dispute is found at www.cms.gov, Claims processing Manual, Chapter 4, Section 10.1.1. Specifically, Payment Status Indicators and Ambulatory Payment Category (APC).

DWC Rule 28 TAC 134.403 (e) states in pertinent part, regardless of billed amount, when no specific fee schedule or contract reimbursement shall be the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount under subsection (f) of this section including any applicable outlier payment amounts and reimbursement for implantables.

DWC Rule 28 TAC 134.403 (f) states in pertinent part the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the *Federal Register*.

The Medicare facility specific amount is calculated when the APC payment rate is multiplied by 60% to determine the labor portion. This amount is multiplied by the facility wage index for the date of service. The non-labor amount is determined when the APC payment rate is multiplied by 40%. The sum of the labor portion multiplied by the facility wage index and the non-labor portion determines the Medicare specific amount. Review of the submitted medical bill and the applicable fee guidelines referenced above is shown below.

- Procedure code 73564 has status indicator Q1, for STV-packaged codes; reimbursement is packaged with payment for any service assigned status indicator S, T or V. This code is packaged into code 99283 which has a status indicator of V.
- Procedure code 99283 has status indicator J2 subject to comprehensive packaging if 8 or more hours observation billed as the criteria for comprehensive observation is not met, this code is assigned APC 5023 with a status indicator of V.

The OPPS Addendum A rate is \$236.35 multiplied by 60% for an unadjusted labor amount of \$141.81, in turn multiplied by facility wage index 0.9552 for an adjusted

labor amount of \$135.46.

The non-labor portion is 40% of the APC rate, or \$94.54.

The sum of the labor and non-labor portions is \$230.00.

The Medicare facility specific amount is \$230.00 multiplied by 200% for a MAR of \$460.00.

2. The total recommended reimbursement for the disputed services is \$460.00. The insurance carrier paid \$459.99. Additional payment is not recommended.

Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

DWC finds the requester has not established that additional reimbursement is due.

Order

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031 and 413.019, DWC has determined the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

_____	_____	February 13, 2023
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

Your Right to Appeal

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html. DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option 3 or email CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** with any other required

information listed in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción 3 o correo electrónico CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.