

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision

General Information

Requestor Name

Doctors Hospital at Renaissance

Respondent Name

Markel Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-23-0282-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 17

DWC Date Received

September 27, 2022

Summary of Findings

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
April 12, 2022	N40014392501DML	\$0.00	\$0.00
April 12, 2022	DRSG SPLINT PLASTER 5" GR	\$0.00	\$0.00
April 12, 2022	A4622	\$0.00	\$0.00
April 12, 2022	DRESSING GAUZE 4" X 4" ST	\$0.00	\$0.00
April 12, 2022	C1713	\$0.00	\$0.00
April 11, 2022	0202U	\$0.00	\$0.00
April 11, 2022	36415	\$0.00	\$0.00
April 11, 2022	80048	\$0.00	\$0.00
April 11, 2022	85027	\$0.00	\$0.00
April 11, 2022	26756	\$0.00	\$0.00
April 12, 2022	26418	\$2546.12	\$0.00
April 12, 2022	ANESTHESIA GEN LEVEL-1	\$0.00	\$0.00
April 12, 2022	J0690	\$0.00	\$0.00
April 12, 2022	J3010	\$0.00	\$0.00
April 12, 2022	J2405	\$0.00	\$0.00
April 12, 2022	J2250	\$0.00	\$0.00
April 12, 2022	J2001	\$0.00	\$0.00
April 12, 2022	J2704	\$0.00	\$0.00
April 12, 2022	A9270	\$0.00	\$0.00

April 12, 2022	RECOVERY ROOM 1 ST HOUR	\$0.00	\$0.00
April 12, 2022	96374	\$373.96	\$0.00
April 12, 2022	Total	\$2,399.11	\$0.00

Requestor's Position

The requestor did not include a position statement with this request for MFDR.

Amount in Dispute: \$2,399.11

Respondent's Position

"...The Carrier has paid a total of \$5,697.81. This amount was inclusive of the entire surgical procedure, the APC rate plus the markup."

Response submitted by: Downs Stanford, P.C.

Findings and Decision

Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

Statutes and Rules

1. 28 TAC §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 TAC §134.403 sets out the fee guidelines for outpatient hospital services.

Denial Reasons

The insurance carrier reduced or denied the payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:

- 305 – The implant is included in this billing and is reimbursed at the higher percentage calculation
- 617 – This item or service is not covered or payable under the Medicare Outpatient fee schedule
- 618 – The value of this procedure is packaged into the payment of other services performed on the same date of service
- 790 – This charge was reimbursed in accordance to the Texas Medical Fee Guidelines
- 97 – The benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated
- P12 – Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment

Issues

1. What rule applies for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
2. Is the requester entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

1. The requestor is seeking additional reimbursement of outpatient hospital services rendered in April 2022. The insurance company denied/reduced the disputed service(s) based on packaging and the workers' compensation fee schedule.

DWC Rule 28 TAC §134.403 (d) requires Texas workers' compensation system participants when coding, billing, reporting and reimbursement to apply Medicare payment policies in effect on the date of service.

The Medicare payment policy applicable to the services in dispute is found at www.cms.gov, Claims processing Manual, Chapter 4, Section 10.1.1. Specifically, Payment Status Indicators and Ambulatory Payment Category (APC).

DWC Rule 28 TAC 134.403 (e) states in pertinent part, regardless of billed amount, when no specific fee schedule or contract reimbursement shall be the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount under subsection (f) of this section including any applicable outlier payment amounts and reimbursement for implatables.

DWC Rule 28 TAC 134.403 (f) states in pertinent part the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the *Federal Register*.

The Medicare facility specific amount is calculated when the APC payment rate is multiplied by 60% to determine the labor portion. This amount is multiplied by the facility wage index for the date of service. The non-labor amount is determined when the APC payment rate is multiplied by 40%. The sum of the labor portion multiplied by the facility wage index and the non-labor portion determines the Medicare specific amount. Review of the submitted medical bill and the applicable fee guidelines referenced above is shown below.

- Procedure code C1713 has status indicator N, reimbursement is included with payment for the primary services.
- Procedure code 0202U has status indicator A, for services paid by fee schedule or payment system other than OPPS. If Medicare pays using other systems, Rule §134.403(h) requires use of the DWC fee guideline applicable to the code on the date provided. Per DWC Professional Fee Guideline, Rule §134.203(e)(1), the facility fee is based on Medicare's Clinical Laboratory fee for this code of \$416.78. 125% of this amount is \$520.98. Reimbursement is the lesser of the MAR or the provider's usual and customary charge of \$472.77. The lesser amount is \$472.77.

- Procedure code 36415 has status indicator Q4, for packaged labs; reimbursement is included with payment for the primary services.
- Procedure code 80048 has status indicator Q4, for packaged labs; reimbursement is included with payment for the primary services.
- Procedure code 85027 has status indicator Q4, for packaged labs; reimbursement is included with payment for the primary services.
- Procedure code 26746 has status indicator J1, for procedures paid at a comprehensive rate. All covered services on the bill are packaged with the primary "J1" procedure. This code is assigned APC 5113. The OPSS Addendum A rate is \$2,892.28 multiplied by 60% for an unadjusted labor amount of \$1,735.37, in turn multiplied by facility wage index 0.8249 for an adjusted labor amount of \$1,431.51.

The non-labor portion is 40% of the APC rate, or \$1,156.91.

The sum of the labor and non-labor portions is \$2,588.42.

The Medicare facility specific amount is \$2,588.42 multiplied by 200% for a MAR of \$5,176.84.

- Procedure code 26418 has a status indicator of J1. The Medicare Claims Processing Manual at www.cms.gov, Chapter 4, Section 10.2.3 states, "When multiple J1 services are reported on the same claim, the single payment is based on the rate associated with the highest ranking J1 service." The ranking of Code 26418 is 2,909. The ranking of Code 26746 is 1,815. Code 26746 is the only J1 Code eligible for payment.
- Procedure code J0690 has status indicator N reimbursement is included with payment for the primary services.
- Procedure code J3010 has status indicator N reimbursement is included with payment for the primary services.
- Procedure code J2405 has status indicator N reimbursement is included with payment for the primary services.
- Procedure code J2250 has status indicator N reimbursement is included with payment for the primary services.
- Procedure code J2001 has status indicator N reimbursement is included with payment for the primary services.
- Procedure code J2704 has status indicator N reimbursement is included with payment for the primary services.
- Procedure code A9270 has status indicator E1, for excluded or non-covered codes not payable on an outpatient bill. Payment is not recommended.

- Per Medicare policy, procedure code 96374 is included in the composite payment for Code 26746. No additional payment is recommended.
2. The total recommended reimbursement for the disputed services is \$5,649.61. The insurance carrier paid \$5,697.81. Additional payment is not recommended.

Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

DWC finds the requester has not established that additional reimbursement is due.

Order

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031 and 413.019, DWC has determined the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature



 Signature

Peggy Miller

 Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

December 8, 2022

 Date

Your Right to Appeal

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html. DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option 3 or email CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** with any other required information listed in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción 3 o correo electrónico CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.