PO Box 12050 | Austin, TX 78711 | 800-252-7031 | tdi.texas.gov/wc

# Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision

### **General Information**

**Requestor Name** 

Baylor Orthopedic & Spine Hospital

**Respondent Name** 

Texas Mutual Insurance Co

**MFDR Tracking Number** 

M4-22-1972-01

**Carrier's Austin Representative** 

Box Number 54

**DWC Date Received** 

May 10, 2022

## **Summary of Findings**

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
December 27, 2021	C9399	\$6,600.00	\$0.00
December 27, 2021	26410	\$9.66	\$0.00
	Total	\$6,609.66	\$0.00

## **Requestor's Position**

The requestor did not submit a position statement but did submit a copy of their reconsideration that states, "According to TX workers compensation fee schedule the expected reimbursement for DOS 12/27/2021 is \$10,014.33. Please note that implants should be reimbursed at manual cost plus 10% which implant invoices are enclosed for review."

Amount in Dispute: \$6,609.66

# **Respondent's Position**

"Texas Mutual currently has a bill on file that was received from the provider on 5/11/2022 and is pending audit. The provider submitted DWC60 prematurely for DOS 12/27/2021."

## **Findings and Decision**

### <u>Authority</u>

This medical fee dispute is decided according to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

### Statutes and Rules

- 1. 28 TAC §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 TAC §134.403 sets out the fee guidelines for outpatient hospital services.

#### **Denial Reasons**

The insurance carrier reduced or denied the payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:

- 45 Charge exceeds fee schedule/maximm allowable or contracted/legislated fee arrangement
- P12 Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.

#### Issues

- 1. What rule applies for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
- 2. Is the requester entitled to additional reimbursement?

### **Findings**

- 1. The requestor is seeking additional reimbursement for outpatient hospital services rendered in December 2021. The insurance carrier reduced the billed charges based on workers' compensation fee guidelines. The maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) based on applicable fee guideline is calculated below.
  - DWC Rule 28 TAC §134.403 (d) requires Texas workers' compensation system participants when coding, billing, reporting and reimbursement to apply Medicare payment policies in effect on the date of service.

The Medicare payment policy applicable to the services in dispute is found at <a href="www.cms.gov">www.cms.gov</a>, Claims processing Manual, Chapter 4, Section 10.1.1. Specifically, Payment Status Indicators and Ambulatory Payment Category (APC).

DWC Rule 28 TAC 134.403 (e) states in pertinent part, regardless of billed amount, when no specific fee schedule or contract reimbursement shall be the maximum allowable

reimbursement (MAR) amount under subsection (f) of this section including any applicable outlier payment amounts and reimbursement for implantables.

DWC Rule 28 TAC 134.403 (f) states in pertinent part the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the *Federal Register*.

The Medicare facility specific amount is calculated when the APC payment rate is multiplied by 60% to determine the labor portion. This amount is multiplied by the facility wage index for the date of service. The non-labor amount is determined when the APC payment rate is multiplied by 40%. The sum of the labor portion multiplied by the facility wage index and the non-labor portion determines the Medicare specific amount. Review of the submitted medical bill and the applicable fee guidelines referenced above is shown below.

• Procedure code 26410 has status indicator J1, for procedures paid at a comprehensive rate. All covered services on the bill are packaged with the primary "J1" procedure.

This code is assigned APC 5112. The OPPS Addendum A rate is \$1,355.39 multiplied by 60% for an unadjusted labor amount of \$813.23, in turn multiplied by facility wage index 0.9552 for an adjusted labor amount of \$776.80.

The non-labor portion is 40% of the APC rate, or \$542.16.

The sum of the labor and non-labor portions is \$1,318.96.

The Medicare facility specific amount is \$1,318.96 multiplied by 130% for a MAR of \$1,714.65.

• The requestor seeks additional reimbursement of implantable billed under Code C9399. DWC Rule §134.403(g) states in pertinent part, implantables, when billed separately by the facility or a surgical implant provider in accordance with subsection (f)(1)(B) of this section, shall be reimbursed at the lesser of the manufacturer's invoice amount or the net amount (exclusive of rebates and discounts) plus 10 percent or \$1,000 per billed item add-on, whichever is less, but not to exceed \$2,000 in add-on's per admission.

"Versawrap Tendon Protect" as identified in the itemized statement and labeled on the invoice as "Versawrap tendon protector VTP2201" with a cost per unit of \$1,500.00.

The total add-on amount of 10% or \$1,000 per billed item add-on, whichever is less, but not to exceed \$2,000 in add-on's per admission is \$150.00. The total recommended reimbursement amount for the implantable items is \$1,650.00.

2. The total recommended reimbursement for the disputed services is \$3,364.65. The insurance carrier paid \$3,404.67. Additional payment is not recommended.

### Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

DWC finds the requester has not established that additional reimbursement is due.

#### **Order**

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031 and 413.019, DWC has determined the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services.

## **Authorized Signature**

		August 22, 2022	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

## **Your Right to Appeal**

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD) and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at <a href="www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html">www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html</a>. DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option 3 or email CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* with any other required information listed in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción 3 o correo electronico CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.