

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision

General Information

Requestor Name

Providence Memorial
Hospital

Respondent Name

Continental Casualty Co

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-22-1140-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 57

DWC Date Received

February 11, 2022

Summary of Findings

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
August 17, 2021	Inpatient Hospital Service	\$12,849.08	\$0.00
Total		\$12,849.08	\$0.00

Requestor's Position

The requestor did not submit a position statement.

Amount in Dispute: \$12,849.08

Respondent's Position

After receipt of request for reconsideration, the Carrier processed the bill as separate reimbursement for the implantables was requested.

Response Submitted by: Law Office of Brian J. Judis

Findings and Decision

Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

Statutes and Rules

1. 28 TAC §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404 sets out the acute care hospital fee guideline for inpatient services.

Denial Reasons

The insurance carrier reduced the payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:

- P12 – Workers' Compensation Jurisdiction fee schedule adjustment
- 4157 – Outlier payment applied to covered inpatient hospital services
- 4896 – Payment made per Medicare's IPPS methodology, with applicable state markup

Issues

1. Is the respondent's position supported?
2. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
3. Is the requestor entitled to additional payment?

Findings

1. The respondent indicated in their position statement that the health care provider sought separate reimbursement of the implanted surgical items. Review of the submitted medical bill did not support that a separate request was made. The respondent's position is not supported.
2. This dispute regards inpatient hospital facility services with payment subject to 28 TAC §134.404(f), requiring the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) to be the Medicare facility specific amount (including outlier payments) applying Medicare Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS) formulas and factors, as published annually in the Federal Register, with modifications set forth in the rules. Medicare IPPS formulas and factors are available from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services at <http://www.cms.gov>.

The division calculates the Medicare facility specific amount using Medicare's *Inpatient PPS PC Pricer* as a tool to efficiently identify and apply IPPS formulas and factors. This software is freely available from www.cms.gov.

Note: the "VBP adjustment" listed in the *PC Pricer* was removed in calculating the facility amount for this admission. Medicare's Value-Based Purchasing (VBP) program is an initiative to improve quality of care in the Medicare system. However, such programs conflict with Texas Labor Code

sections 413.0511 and 413.0512 regarding review and monitoring of health care quality in the Texas workers' compensation system. Rule §134.404(d)(1) requires that specific Labor Code provisions and division rules take precedence over conflicting CMS provisions for administering Medicare. Consequently, VBP adjustments are not considered in determining the facility reimbursement.

Separate reimbursement for implants was not requested. 28 TAC §134.404(f)(1)(A) requires that the Medicare facility specific amount be multiplied by 143%.

Review of the submitted medical bill and supporting documentation finds the assigned DRG code to be 454. The service location is El Paso, Texas. Based on DRG code, service location, and bill-specific information, the Medicare facility specific amount is \$37,515.00. This amount multiplied by 143% results in a MAR of \$53,646.45.

2. The total recommended payment for the services in dispute is \$53,646.45. The insurance carrier has paid \$53,882.48. No additional payment is recommended.

Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

DWC finds the requester has not established that additional reimbursement is due.

Order

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031 and 413.019, DWC has determined the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

_____	_____	_____ March 15, 2022
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute	Date
Resolution Officer		

Your Right to Appeal

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html. DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field

office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option 3 or email CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** with any other required information listed in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción 3 o correo electrónico CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.