



## Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision

### General Information

**Requestor Name**

Dr. Michael Lopez

**Respondent Name**

XL Insurance America Inc.

**MFDR Tracking Number**

M4-21-2455-01

**Carrier's Austin Representative**

Box Number 19

**DWC Date Received**

August 30, 2021

### Summary of Findings

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
April 22, 2021	CPT Code 97110 (X6)	\$85.80	\$85.80
<b>Total</b>		\$85.80	\$85.80

### Requestor's Position

"These bills were previously submitted in a timely manner. Please review the attached documentation any pay according to the TDI guidelines."

**Amount in Dispute:** \$85.80

### Respondent's Position

The Austin carrier representative for XL Insurance America Inc. is Flahive, Ogden & Latson. Flahive, Ogden & Latson received a copy of this medical fee dispute on September 8, 2021. Rule §133.307(d)(1) states that if the division does not receive the response within 14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then the division may base its decision on the available information

As of today, no response has been received from the carrier or its representative. We therefore base this decision on the information available as authorized under §133.307(d)(1).

## Findings and Decision

### Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

### Statutes and Rules

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 TAC §134.203 sets out the fee guidelines for professional services.

### Denial Reasons

The insurance carrier reduced the payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:

- 00409, 119-Benefit maximum for this time period or occurrence has been reached.
- 163-The charge for this procedure exceeds the unit value and/or the multiple procedure rules.
- 90202, B13-Previously paid. Payment for this claim/service may have been provided in a previous payment.
- 247-A payment or denial has already been recommended for this service.

### Issues

1. Is Dallas Area Rapid Transit's denial based on reason codes "00409," "119," and "163" supported?
2. Is Dr. Michael Lopez entitled to reimbursement?

### Findings

1. The requestor is seeking medical fee dispute resolution in the amount of \$85.80 for CPT code 97110 (X6) rendered on April 22, 2021.

According to the explanation of benefits, the carrier reduced payment for the disputed service based upon reason codes "00409," "119," and "163".

The fee guideline for CPT code 97110 is found at 28 TAC §134.203.

28 TAC §134.203 (b) states, "For coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of professional medical services, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply the following:

- (1) Medicare payment policies, including its coding; billing; correct coding initiatives (CCI) edits; modifiers; bonus payments for health professional shortage areas (HPSAs) and

physician scarcity areas (PSAs); and other payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided with any additions or exceptions in the rules.”

The requestor contends that payment is due because the services did not exceed the preauthorization. In support of their position, the requestor submitted a copy of a report from Meinsights that supports preauthorization approval for six (6) units of CPT code 97110.

The DWC finds the EOBs refer to a Medicare payment policy regarding Medically Unlikely Edit (MUE). MUE’s were implemented by Medicare in 2007. MUE’s set a maximum number of units for a specific service that a provider would report under most circumstances for a single patient on a single date of service. Medicare developed MUE edits to detect potentially medically unnecessary services.

Although the DWC adopts Medicare payment policies by reference in applicable Rule §134.203, paragraph (a)(7) of that rule states that specific provisions contained in the Division of Workers' Compensation rules shall take precedence over any conflicting provision adopted the Medicare program.

The Medicare MUE payment policy is in direct conflict with Texas Labor Code §413.014 which requires that all determinations of medical necessity shall be made prospectively or retrospective through utilization review; and with Rule §134.600 which sets out the procedures for preauthorization and retrospective review of professional services such as those in dispute here. The DWC concludes that Labor Code §413.014 and 28 TAC §134.600 take precedence over Medicare MUE’s; therefore, the respondent’s denial reasons are not supported.

2. 28 TAC §134.203(c)(1) states “To determine the MAR for professional services, system participants shall apply the Medicare payment policies with minimal modifications. (1) For service categories of Evaluation & Management, General Medicine, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Radiology, Pathology, Anesthesia, and Surgery when performed in an office setting, the established conversion factor to be applied is \$52.83.”

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203(c)(2) states “The conversion factors listed in paragraph (1) of this subsection shall be the conversion factors for calendar year 2008. Subsequent year’s conversion factors shall be determined by applying the annual percentage adjustment of the Medicare Economic Index (MEI) to the previous year’s conversion factors, and shall be effective January 1st of the new calendar year. The following hypothetical example illustrates this annual adjustment activity if the Division had been using this MEI annual percentage adjustment: The 2006 Division conversion factor of \$50.83 (with the exception of surgery) would have been multiplied by the 2007 MEI annual percentage increase of 2.1 percent, resulting in the \$51.90 (with the exception of surgery) Division conversion factor in 2007.”

On the disputed dates of service, the requestor billed CPT code 97110 (X6). The multiple procedure rule discounting applies to the disputed service.

*Medicare Claims Processing Manual Chapter 5, 10.3.7-effective June 6, 2016, titled Multiple Procedure Payment Reductions for Outpatient Rehabilitation Services, states:*

Full payment is made for the unit or procedure with the highest PE payment.

For subsequent units and procedures with dates of service prior to April 1, 2013, furnished to the same patient on the same day, full payment is made for work and malpractice and 80 percent payment is made for the PE for services submitted on professional claims (any claim submitted using the ASC X12 837 professional claim format or the CMS-1500 paper claim form) and 75 percent payment is made for the PE for services submitted on institutional claims (ASC X12 837 institutional claim format or Form CMS-1450).

For subsequent units and procedures with dates of service on or after April 1, 2013, furnished to the same patient on the same day, full payment is made for work and malpractice and 50 percent payment is made for the PE for services submitted on either professional or institutional claims.

To determine which services will receive the MPPR, contractors shall rank services according to the applicable PE relative value units (RVU) and price the service with the highest PE RVU at 100% and apply the appropriate MPPR to the remaining services.

When the highest PE RVU applies to more than one of the identified services, contractors shall additionally sort and rank these services according to highest total fee schedule amount, and price the service with the highest total fee schedule amount at 100% and apply the appropriate MPPR to the remaining services.

Review of the Medicare policies finds that the multiple procedure payment reduction (MPPR) applies to the Practice Expense (PE) of certain time-based physical therapy codes when more than one unit or procedure is provided to the same patient on the same day. Medicare publishes a list of the codes subject to MPPR annually.

For 2021 the codes subject to MPPR are found in *CMS CY 2021 PFS Final Rule Multiple Procedure Payment Reduction Files*. Review of that list find that code 97110 and 97112 are subject to MPPR policy.

Here is a chart ranking the PE payment for each of the codes billed by the health care provider on the disputed dates.

CODE	PRACTICE EXPENSE	MEDICARE POLICY
97110	0.4	MPPR applies
97112	<b>0.49</b>	<b>Highest rank, no MPPR</b>

As shown above, code 97112 has the highest PE payment among the services billed by the provider that day, therefore, the reduced PE payment applies to all other services.

The *MPPR Rate File* that contains the payments for 2021 services is found at <https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Billing/TherapyServices/index.html>.

- MPPR rates are published by carrier and locality.
- Review of Box 32 on the CMS-1500 finds that the services were rendered in zip code 76111 which is located in Fort Worth, Texas; therefore, the Medicare locality is "Fort Worth, Texas."
- The carrier code for Texas is 4412 and the locality code for Fort Worth is 28.
- The Medicare participating amount for CPT code 97110 at this locality is \$23.27 based upon MPPR.
- The DWC conversion factor for 2021 is 61.17
- The Medicare conversion factor for 2021 is 34.8931.

To determine the MAR the following formula is used: (DWC Conversion Factor/Medicare Conversion Factor) X Medicare Payment = Maximum Allowable Reimbursement (MAR).

Using the above formula, the MAR is  $\$40.79 \times 6 = \$244.76$ . The respondent paid \$158.94. The difference between MAR and amount paid is \$85.82. The requestor is seeking \$85.80; this amount is recommended for reimbursement.

### Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

DWC finds the requestor has established that additional reimbursement of \$85.80 is due.

## **Order**

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031 and 413.019, DWC has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. It is ordered that XL Insurance America Inc. must remit to Dr. Michael Lopez \$85.80 plus applicable accrued interest within 30 days of receiving this order in accordance with 28 TAC §134.130.

### **Authorized Signature**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

11/17/2021

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

## Your Right to Appeal

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at [www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html](http://www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html). DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option 3 or email [CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov](mailto:CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov).

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** with any other required information listed in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción 3 o correo electrónico [CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov](mailto:CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov).