



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645
(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

EMERGENCHEALTH LLC

Respondent Name

FEDERATED MUTUAL INSURANCE CO

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-21-1928-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 01

MFDR Date Received

JUNE 29,2021

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

"The face sheet -SEE ATTACHMENT A- we received indicated this patient had no insurance and was a selfpay claim. We billed the patient for our services...The statement was returned to our office as undeliverable, we contacted the facility where our services were rendered and learned this service should have been billed to the patient's workers compensation carrier. WE billed our claim to Federated Insurance on the same day we learned of our billing error."

Amount in Dispute: \$1,001.60

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

"The bill for services was denied based on untimely submission. The date of service was July 20, 2020 and the date first received by the carrier was November 24, 2020, as stated by the Requestor. This is a period over 125 days. The explanation by the Requestor for the late submission is that they believed that the injured worker had no insurance, and so they billed him. They billed Federated Mutual Insurance Company after learning that in fact there was coverage through Federated."

Response Submitted by: Parker & Associates, LLC

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
July 20, 2020	CPT Code 00192-QZ	\$957.30	\$0.00
	CPT Code 43753	\$44.30	\$0.00
TOTAL		\$1,001.60	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. Texas Labor Code (TLC) §408.027, effective September 1, 2007, sets out the rules for timely submission of a claim by a health care provider.
3. TLC §408.0272, effective September 1, 2007, provides for exceptions for timely submission of a claim by a health care provider.
4. 28 TAC §102.4(h), effective May 1, 2005, sets out rules to determine when written documentation was sent.
5. 28 TAC §133.20, effective January 29, 2009, sets out the health care providers billing procedures.
6. The services in dispute were reduced / denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - 29-The time limit for filing has expired.
 - 200-Per 133.20, a medical bill shall not be submitted later than the 1st day of the 11th month (.08/31/05) or 95 days (.09/01/05) after DOS.
 - 350-Bill has been identified as a request for reconsideration or appeal.
 - W3-In accordance with TDI-DWC rule 134.804, this bill has been identified as a request for reconsideration or appeal.

Issues

Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement for services rendered on July 20, 2020?

Findings

1. The requestor is seeking payment of \$1,001.60 for CPT codes 00192-QZ and 43753 rendered July 20, 2020.
2. The respondent denied reimbursement for the disputed services based upon "29-The time limit for filing has expired," and "200-Per 133.20, a medical bill shall not be submitted later than the 1st day of the 11th month (.08/31/05) or 95 days (.09/01/05) after DOS."
3. To determine if the disputed services are eligible for reimbursement the DWC refers to the following statute:
 - Texas Labor Code §408.027(a) states, "A health care provider shall submit a claim for payment to the insurance carrier not later than the 95th day after the date on which the health care services are provided to the injured employee. Failure by the health care provider to timely submit a claim for payment constitutes a forfeiture of the provider's right to reimbursement for that claim for payment."
 - TLC §408.0272(b)(1)(A-C) states, "Notwithstanding Section 408.027, a health care provider who fails to timely submit a claim for payment to the insurance carrier under Section 408.027(a) does not forfeit the provider's right to reimbursement for that claim for payment solely for failure to submit a timely claim if: (1) the provider submits proof satisfactory to the commissioner that the provider, within the period prescribed by Section 408.027(a), erroneously filed for reimbursement with: (A) an insurer that issues a policy of group accident and health insurance under which the injured employee is a covered insured; (B) a health maintenance organization that issues an evidence of coverage under which the injured employee is a covered enrollee; or (C) a workers' compensation insurance carrier other than the insurance carrier liable for the payment of benefits under this title"
 - TLC §408.0272(c)(1) states, "Notwithstanding Subsection (b), a health care provider who erroneously submits a claim for payment to an entity described by Subdivision (1) of that subsection forfeits the provider's right to reimbursement for that claim if the provider fails to submit the claim to the correct workers' compensation insurance carrier within 95 days after the date the provider is notified of the provider's erroneous submission of the claim."
 - 28 TAC §133.20(b) states, "Except as provided in Labor Code §408.0272(b), (c) or (d), a health care provider shall not submit a medical bill later than the 95th day after the date the services are provided. In accordance with subsection (c) of the statute, the health care provider shall submit the medical bill to the correct workers' compensation insurance carrier not later than the 95th day after the date the health care provider is notified of the health care provider's erroneous submission of the medical bill. A health care provider who submits a medical bill to the correct workers' compensation insurance carrier shall include a copy of the original medical bill submitted, a copy of the explanation of benefits (EOB) if available, and sufficient documentation to support why one or more of the exceptions for untimely submission of a medical bill under §408.0272 should be applied. The medical bill submitted by the health care provider to the correct workers' compensation insurance carrier is subject to the billing, review, and dispute processes established

by Chapter 133, including §133.307(c)(2)(A) - (H) of this title (relating to MDR of Fee Disputes), which establishes the generally acceptable standards for documentation.”

- 28 TAC §102.4(h), states, “Unless the great weight of evidence indicates otherwise, written communications shall be deemed to have been sent on: (1) the date received, if sent by fax, personal delivery or electronic transmission or, (2) the date postmarked if sent by mail via United States Postal Service regular mail, or, if the postmark date is unavailable, the later of the signature date on the written communication or the date it was received minus five days. If the date received minus five days is a Sunday or legal holiday, the date deemed sent shall be the next previous day which is not a Sunday or legal holiday.”
4. Both parties to this dispute submitted documentation for consideration in support of their position. The DWC reviewed all the documentation and finds:
- The date of service in dispute is July 20, 2020
 - The requestor originally billed the injured employee because the face sheet of the facility noted that claimant was uninsured.
 - Billing the claimant is not one of the exceptions for untimely filing outlined in TLC §408.0272(b)(1)(A-C).
 - The respondent and CMS-1500 indicate bill was sent on November 24, 2020. This date is past the 95 day filing deadline.
 - The documentation does not contain any evidence such as a fax, personal delivery, electronic transmission, or certified green cards to support the bill was sent to the respondent within the 95 day deadline.
 - The respondent’s denial of payment based upon timely filing is supported.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the DWC finds that the requestor has not established that reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the DWC has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

07/22/2021
Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.**

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the DWC within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the DWC using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.