MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

<u>Requestor Name</u> <u>Respondent Name</u>

MEMORIAL COMPOUNDING RX STARR INDEMNITY & LIABILITY CO

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-21-1894-01 Box Number 19

MFDR Date Received

June 23, 2021

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The carrier has received the attached bill and has not processed according to Texas Labor Code 408.027."

Amount in Dispute: \$87.52

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The Carrier has denied the Claimant sustained a compensable injury ... As the services are for conditions not found compensable, Memorial is not be entitled to medical fee dispute resolution."

Response Submitted by: Flahive, Ogden & Latson

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
March 11, 2021	Methylprednisolone 4 mg	\$87.52	\$41.52

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240 sets out the procedures for payment or denial of medical bills.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guidelines for pharmaceutical services.
- 4. The submitted documentation does not include explanations of benefits.

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Did Starr Indemnity & Liability Co. take final action on the bill for the service in question prior to the request for medical fee dispute resolution (MFDR)?
- 2. Did Starr Indemnity & Liability Co. raise a new defense in its response?
- 3. Is Memorial Compounding Rx (Memorial) entitled to reimbursement for the drug in question?

Findings

1. Memorial is seeking reimbursement for Methylprednisolone dispensed March 11, 2021.

Memorial argued that it had not received payment or an explanation of denial for medical bills submitted for the examination in question.

The insurance carrier is required to take final action by paying, reducing, or denying the service in question not later than 45 days after receiving the medical bill. This deadline is not extended by a request for additional information.¹

The greater weight of evidence presented to the DWC supports that a complete bill for the services in question was received by the insurance carrier or its agent. No evidence was provided to support that the insurance carrier took final action on the bill for the service in question.

2. In its position statement, Starr Indemnity & Liability Co., on behalf of the insurance carrier, argued that "the services are for conditions not found compensable."

The response from the insurance carrier is required to address only the denial reasons presented to the health care provider before to the request for medical fee dispute resolution (MFDR) was filed with the DWC. Any new denial reasons or defenses raised shall not be considered in this review.²

The submitted documentation does not support that a denial based on compensability was provided to Memorial before this request for MFDR was filed. Therefore, the DWC will not consider this argument in the current dispute review.

3. Because the insurance carrier failed to support its denial of payment for the drug in question, Memorial is entitled to reimbursement.

The reimbursement considered in this dispute is calculated as follows³:

• Methylprednisolone 4 mg: (1.42952 x 21 x 1.25) + \$4.00 = \$41.52

The total allowable reimbursement is \$41.52. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

The outcome of each independent medical fee dispute relies upon the relevant evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all the evidence in this dispute may not have been discussed, it was considered. For the reasons stated above, the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC) finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$41.52.

¹ 28 TAC §133.240 (a)

² 28 TAC §133.307 (d)(2)(F)

³ 28 TAC §134.503 (c)

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the DWC has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The DWC hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$41.52, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

		August 18, 2021
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

Authorized Signature

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the DWC within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed, or personally delivered to the DWC using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings* **and** *Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.