



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645

(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

MEMORIAL COMPOUNDING PHARMACY

Respondent Name

XL SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-21-1782-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 19

MFDR Date Received

June 4, 2021

Response Submitted by:

No response submitted

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

"The above claimant received medication and the carrier still has not acknowledged receipt of service ... Memorial did not receive any correspondence as per rule, so we submitted a Request for Reconsideration."

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

The Austin carrier representative for XL Specialty Insurance Company is Flahive, Ogden & Latson. Flahive, Ogden & Latson was notified of this medical fee dispute on June 8, 2021. Per 28 TAC §133.307(d)(1) if the division does not receive the response within 14-calendar days of the dispute notification, then the division may base its decision on the available information. As of today, no response has been received from the carrier or its representative. We therefore base this decision on the information available as authorized under §133.307(d)(1).

SUMMARY OF DISPUTED SERVICE(S)

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Service(s)	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
March 23, 2021	Prescribed Medications	\$630.90	\$579.97

FINDINGS AND DECISION

Texas Labor Code (TCL) §413.031 (c) In resolving disputes over the amount of payment due for medically necessary services for treatment of the compensable injury, the role of the medical fee dispute resolution program is to adjudicate the payment given the relevant statutory provisions and commissioner rules.

Texas Administrative Code (TAC) §133.307 sets out the process for medical fee dispute resolution for non-network care.

Background

Work Comp Carrier's Obligation to Respond to a Medical Bill

It is the duty of the workers' compensation insurance carrier **or an agent acting on the carrier's behalf** to pay, reduce, or deny a complete medical bill within 45 days from the date of receipt. A carrier's 45-day deadline to make or deny payment is **not extended** as a result of an audit under 28 TAC §133.230, or as a result of a pending request for additional documentation.¹

Further, the insurance carrier **shall** notify the health care provider of its final action² by issuing an explanation of benefits (EOB) and shall include on its EOB any bill reductions, denial reasons, and defenses in the form and manner required by 28 TAC §133.240.³

Carrier's Failure to Present Denial Reasons and Defenses

Under 28 TAC §133.307, the DWC only reviews those denial reasons and defenses presented by the carrier to the health care provider prior to the date the request for MFDR was filed. Any denial reasons or defenses raised by the carrier after the filing of the dispute are not considered in the review of the medical fee dispute.⁴

Findings

The DWC finds that the requestor, MEMORIAL COMPOUNDING PHARMACY, presented sufficient documentation to support that it requested payment from XL Specialty Insurance Company for medications provided to a covered injured employee. XL Specialty Insurance Company did not pay, reduce, or deny the complete medical bill in 45 days. Due to XL Specialty Insurance Company's failure to take final action and timely issue an EOB, the provider then asked for reconsideration and requested an EOB as required.⁵ XL Specialty Insurance Company did not respond to the request for reconsideration. The provider then filed for medical fee dispute resolution (MFDR).

1. *Did XL Specialty Insurance Company timely present denial reasons to the provider before the filing of this fee dispute?*

No evidence was presented by XL Specialty Insurance Company or its agent to support that it responded to the complete medical bill within 45 days; nor did XL Specialty Insurance Company or its agent present any evidence to support that it responded to the request for reconsideration and request for an EOB. XL Specialty Insurance Company therefore failed to present any denial reasons or defenses to the provider before the filing of this medical fee dispute.

XL Specialty Insurance Company failed to present any defenses that conform with the requirements of 28 TAC §133.240 and 133.250 discussed above. Absent any evidence that XL Specialty Insurance Company or an agent acting on XL Specialty Insurance Company's behalf timely presented any defenses to the provider that conform with the requirements of Title 28, Part 2, Chapter 133, Subchapter C, the DWC finds that the medications are eligible for reimbursement.

2. *What is the total reimbursement for the service in dispute?*

Rule 28 TAC §134.503 applies to the reimbursement for medications. The medications in dispute are listed on the bill separately.⁶

The insurance carrier shall reimburse the health care provider or pharmacy processing agent for prescription drugs the lesser of:

(1) the fee established by the following formulas based on the average wholesale price (AWP) as reported by a nationally recognized pharmaceutical price guide or other publication of pharmaceutical pricing data in effect on the day the prescription drug is dispensed:

(A) Generic drugs: $(\text{AWP per unit}) \times (\text{number of units}) \times 1.25 + \4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;

¹ 28 TAC §133.240 (a) An insurance carrier shall take final action after conducting bill review on a complete medical bill or determine to audit the medical bill in accordance with §133.230 of this chapter (relating to Insurance Carrier Audit of a Medical Bill), not later than the 45th day after the date the insurance carrier received a complete medical bill. An insurance carrier's deadline to make or deny payment on a bill is not extended as a result of a pending request for additional documentation

² 28 TAC §133.2 (6) Final action on a medical bill-- (A) sending a payment...(B) denying a charge on the medical bill.

³ 28 TAC §133.240 (e) The insurance carrier shall send the explanation of benefits in accordance with the elements required by §133.500 and §133.501...if the insurance carrier submits the explanation of benefits in the form of an electronic remittance. The insurance carrier shall send an explanation of benefits in accordance with subsection (f) of this section if the insurance carrier submits the explanation of benefits in paper form.

⁴ 28 TAC §133.307 (d)(2)(F) The carrier's response shall address only those denial reasons presented to the requestor prior to the date the request for MFDR was filed with the division and the other party. Any new denial reasons or defenses raised shall not be considered in the review.

⁵ 28 TAC §133.250

⁶ 28 TAC §134.503 (c)

