MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name Respondent Name

Knapp Medical Center Travelers Indemnity Co

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-21-1733-01 Box Number 05

MFDR Date Received

June 1, 2021

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Requestor's Position Summary:</u> "Per EOB expected reimbursement for CPT 99214 was not paid. That CPT has a status indicator of "B" and should not be bundled into another payment allowance. In accordance with the TX WC fee schedule services should be paid in accordance with the physician FS."

Amount in Dispute: \$217.93

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The Provider contends they are entitled to separate reimbursement for the office visit. In support of their position, the Provider submits that CPT code 99214 has a status indicator of B and is therefore separately reimbursable under the physician fee schedule. Given that these services were rendered in an out-patient setting at a medical center and billed on a UB-04, however, these services are reimbursed under the outpatient fee schedule. Under the Outpatient Prospective Payment System edits, services with status indicator B are included in the primary OPPS service billed on the same date."

Response Submitted by: Travelers

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

	Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
S	eptember 14, 2020	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$217.93	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403 sets out the reimbursement guidelines for outpatient hospital services.
- 3. The insurance carrier reduced or denied the payment for the disputed services with the following claim

adjustment codes:

- 193 Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly.
- 97 Payment adjust4ed because the benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated.
- 96 Non-covered charge(s).
- P12 Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.

Issues

- 1. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
- 2. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

- 1. The requestor is seeking additional reimbursement in the amount \$217.93 for outpatient hospital services rendered on September 14, 2020. The insurance carrier reduced the disputed services based on workers' compensation fee schedule and non-covered service.
 - 28 TAC §134.403 (d) requires Texas workers' compensation system participants when coding, billing, reporting and reimbursement to apply Medicare payment policies in effect on the date of service.

The Medicare payment policy applicable to the services in dispute is found at www.cms.gov, Claims processing Manual, Chapter 4, Section 10.1.1. Specifically, Payment Status Indicators and Ambulatory Payment Category (APC).

28 TAC 134.403 (f) states in pertinent part the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the *Federal Register...*

The sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 143 percent when a separate request for implant reimbursement is not made and 130 percent when separate reimbursement for implants is made.

The Medicare facility specific amount is calculated when the APC payment rate is multiplied by 60% to determine the labor portion. This amount is multiplied by the facility wage index for the date of service. The non-labor amount is determined when the APC payment rate is multiplied by 40%. The sum of the labor portion multiplied by the facility wage index and the non-labor portion determines the Medicare specific amount. Review of the submitted medical bill and the applicable fee guidelines referenced above is shown below.

- Procedure code 99214 has status indicator B, for codes not paid under OPPS—these codes may not be reported on an outpatient hospital bill (type 12x and 13x). Payment is not recommended.
- Procedure code G0277 has status indicator S, for procedures not subject to reduction. This code is assigned APC 5061. The OPPS Addendum A rate is \$115.06. This is multiplied by 60% for an unadjusted labor amount of \$69.04, in turn multiplied by facility wage index 0.8433 for an adjusted labor amount of \$58.22.

The non-labor portion is 40% of the APC rate, or \$46.02.

The sum of the labor and non-labor portions is \$104.24 multiplied by 4 units is \$416.96.

The Medicare facility specific amount is \$416.96.

This is multiplied by 200% for a MAR of \$833.92.

2. The total recommended reimbursement for the disputed services is \$833.92. The insurance carrier paid \$832.56. No additional payment is recommended.

Conclusion

In resolving disputes over reimbursement for medically necessary health care to treat a compensable injury, the role of DWC is to adjudicate payment following Texas laws and DWC rules. The findings in this decision are based on the evidence available at the time of review. Even though not all the evidence was discussed, it was considered.

For the reasons above the requestor has/has not established payment is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, p determines the requestor is entitled t	•	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	June 30, 2021 Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by DWC within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to DWC using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.