MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor NameRespondent NameCrescent Medical CenterZenith Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-21-1573-01 Box Number 47

MFDR Date Received

May 6, 2021

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The expected allowed amount is \$12,240.60."

Amount in Dispute: \$524.35

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Respondent's Position Summary</u>: "Pursuant to §134.403j(f)(B) the original bill should have reimbursed at 130% APC rate \$9,012.56 as Crescent did request separate reimbursement for the implants."

Response submitted by: The Zenith

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
May 29, 2020	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$524.35	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403 sets out the reimbursement guidelines for outpatient hospital services.
- 3. The insurance carrier reduced or denied the payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - 305 The implant is included in this billing and is reimbursed at the higher percentage calculation
 - 370 This hospital outpatient allowance was calculated according to the APC rate, plus a markup
 - 618 The value of this procedure is packaged into the payment of other services performed on the same date of service

P12 – Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment

Issues

- 1. Is the respondents' position statement supported?
- 2. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
- 3. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

- 1. The insurance carrier stated in their response to the request for MFDR that separate reimbursement for the implants was requested. Review of the submitted medical bill found no such request was made. The accompanying invoices had no request for separate reimbursement only the certification of cost. The respondents' position is not supported.
- 2. The requestor is seeking additional reimbursement in the amount \$524.35 for outpatient hospital services rendered on May 29, 2020. The insurance carrier reduced the disputed services based on fee schedule and packaging.
 - 28 TAC §134.403 (d) requires Texas workers' compensation system participants when coding, billing, reporting and reimbursement to apply Medicare payment policies in effect on the date of service.

The Medicare payment policy applicable to the services in dispute is found at www.cms.gov, Claims processing Manual, Chapter 4, Section 10.1.1. Specifically, Payment Status Indicators and Ambulatory Payment Category (APC).

28 TAC 134.403 (f) states in pertinent part the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the *Federal Register*..

The sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 143 percent when a separate request for implant reimbursement is not made and 130 percent when separate reimbursement for implants is made. No request for separate reimbursement for implants was made. The fee calculation will be based on Rule 28 TAC 134.403 (f).

The Medicare facility specific amount is calculated when the APC payment rate is multiplied by 60% to determine the labor portion. This amount is multiplied by the facility wage index for the date of service. The non-labor amount is determined when the APC payment rate is multiplied by 40%. The sum of the labor portion multiplied by the facility wage index and the non-labor portion determines the Medicare specific amount. Review of the submitted medical bill and the applicable fee guidelines referenced above is shown below.

- Procedure code 28485 has a status indicator of J1. The Medicare payment policy states when two J1 procedure codes are billed on the same medical claim, the highest ranking code is paid. The ranking of code 28485 found at www.cms.gov, Addendum J, is 501. The ranking of code 27698 is 494. Code 27698 receives payment.
- Procedure code 27698 has status indicator J1 all covered services on the bill are packaged with the primary "J1" procedure. This code is assigned APC 5114. The OPPS Addendum A rate is \$5,981.95.

This is multiplied by 60% for an unadjusted labor amount of \$3,589.17, in turn multiplied by facility wage index 0.9655 for an adjusted labor amount of \$3,465.34.

The non-labor portion is 40% of the APC rate, or \$2,392.78.

The Medicare facility specific amount is \$5,858.12.

This is multiplied by 200% for a MAR of \$11,716.24.

Procedure code 94762 has status indicator Q3 and is packaged into primary J1 procedure 27698.

3. The total recommended reimbursement for the disputed services is \$11,716.24. The insurance carrier paid \$11,716.25. Additional payment is not recommended.

Conclusion

In resolving disputes over reimbursement for medically necessary health care to treat a compensable injury, the role of DWC is to adjudicate payment following Texas laws and DWC rules. The findings in this decision are based on the evidence available at the time of review. Even though not all the evidence was discussed, it was considered.

For the reasons above the requestor has/has not established payment is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

	ORDER	
	tion, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 4: titled to \$0.00 additional reimbursement for t	•
<u>Authorized Signature</u>		
		June 30, 2021
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by DWC within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to DWC using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings* **and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.