



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645

(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Texas Health Plano

Respondent Name

Hartford Casualty Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-21-1504-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box 47

MFDR Date Received

April 27, 2021

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Per the applicable Texas fee schedule the correct Medicare allowable for DRG 482 is \$11,627.00... The correct DWC allowable would be at 143%, making the allowable at \$16,626.51."

Amount in Dispute: \$653.32

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "Payment for the dates of service in dispute were processed in accordance with 28 TAC 134.404. Additional allowance is not recommended."

Response Submitted by: The Hartford

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Dispute Amount	Amount Due
July 29 through 31, 2020	Inpatient Hospital Services	\$653.32	\$605.04

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404 sets out the acute care hospital fee guideline for inpatient services.
- The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - 96 – Non-covered charge(s)
 - 97 – Payment adjusted because the benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated
 - P12 – Workers' compensation jurisdiction fee schedule adjustment
 - 4896 – Payment made per Medicare's IPPS methodology, with the applicable state markup

Issues

1. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
2. Is the requestor entitled to additional payment?

Findings

1. This dispute regards inpatient hospital facility services with payment subject to 28 TAC §134.404(f), requiring the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) to be the Medicare facility specific amount (including outlier payments) applying Medicare Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS) formulas and factors, as published annually in the Federal Register, with modifications set forth in the rules. Medicare IPPS formulas and factors are available from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services at <http://www.cms.gov>.

The division calculates the Medicare facility specific amount using Medicare’s *Inpatient PPS PC Pricer* as a tool to efficiently identify and apply IPPS formulas and factors. This software is freely available from www.cms.gov.

Note: the “VBP adjustment” listed in the *PC Pricer* was removed in calculating the facility amount for this admission. Medicare’s Value-Based Purchasing (VBP) program is an initiative to improve quality of care in the Medicare system. However, such programs conflict with Texas Labor Code sections 413.0511 and 413.0512 regarding review and monitoring of health care quality in the Texas workers' compensation system. Rule §134.404(d)(1) requires that specific Labor Code provisions and division rules take precedence over conflicting CMS provisions for administering Medicare. Consequently, VBP adjustments are not considered in determining the facility reimbursement.

Separate reimbursement for implants was not requested. 28 TAC §134.404(f)(1)(A) requires that the Medicare facility specific amount be multiplied by 143%.

Review of the submitted medical bill and supporting documentation finds the assigned DRG code to be 482. The service location is Plano, Texas. Based on DRG code, service location, and bill-specific information, the Medicare facility specific amount is \$11,593.24. This amount multiplied by 143% results in a MAR of \$16,578.33.

2. The total recommended payment for the services in dispute is \$16,578.33. The insurance carrier has paid \$15,973.29. An additional payment of \$605.04 is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons above the requestor has established payment is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$605.04.

ORDER

In accordance with Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable) and based on the submitted information, DWC finds the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement. DWC hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$605.04, plus accrued interest per Rule §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

May 13, 2021
Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form DWC045M) in accordance with the form's instructions. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division, using the contact information on the form, or to the field office handling the claim.

A party seeking review of this decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. The request must include a copy of this *Medical Fee Dispute Findings and Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.