



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645

(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

ELITE HEALTHCARE FORT WORTH

Respondent Name

XL INSURANCE AMERICA INC

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-21-1290-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 19

MFDR Date Received

MARCH 26, 2021

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

"These bills were previously submitted in a timely manner. Please review the attached documentation and pay according to the TDI guidelines."

Amount in Dispute: \$134.11

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

The respondent did not submit a response to this request for medical fee dispute resolution.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
January 4, 2021	CPT Code 99213 Office Visit	\$12.27	\$0.00
	CPT Code 97112 Physical Therapy	\$24.34	\$0.00
	CPT Code 97110 Physical Therapy	\$97.50	\$0.00
TOTAL		\$134.11	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) §133.307, effective February 22, 2021, sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 TAC §134.203, effective March 1, 2008, sets out the reimbursement guidelines for professional services.
3. 28 TAC §134.600, effective November 1, 2018, requires preauthorization for specific treatments and services.
4. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - P12-Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.
 - 223-Adjustment code for mandated federal, state or local law/regulation that is not already covered by another code and is mandated before a new code can be created.
 - 309-The charge for this procedure exceeds the fee schedule allowance.
 - 00663-Reimbursement has been calculated according to state fee schedule guidelines.
 - 5403-This bill qualified for the Clinical Validation Program, no reductions applied.
 - 119, 409- Benefit maximum for this time period or occurrence has been reached.
 - 163-Claim/service adjusted because the attachment referenced on the claim was not received.
 - 16-Claim/service lacks information or has submission/billing error(s) which is needed for adjudication.

Issues

Is the requestor due additional reimbursement for physical therapy and evaluation services rendered on January 4, 2021?

Findings

1. The Austin carrier representative for XI Insurance America INC is Flahive, Ogden & Latson. Flahive, Ogden & Latson received a copy of this medical fee dispute on April 6, 2021. Rule §133.307(d)(1) states that if the division does not receive the response within 14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then the division may base its decision on the available information
As of today, no response has been received from the carrier or its representative. We therefore base this decision on the information available as authorized under §133.307(d)(1).
2. The requestor is seeking medical fee dispute resolution in the amount of \$134.11 for CPT codes 99213, 97110, and 97112 rendered on January 4, 2021.
3. The respondent reduced reimbursement for the disputed physical therapy services based upon reason codes "409" and "119." (description listed above)

The EOBs refer to a Medicare payment policy regarding Medically Unlikely Edit (MUE). MUE's were implemented by Medicare in 2007. MUE's set a maximum number of units for a specific service that a provider would report under most circumstances for a single patient on a single date of service. Medicare developed MUE edits to detect potentially medically unnecessary services.

Although the DWC adopts Medicare payment policies by reference in applicable Rule §134.203, paragraph (a)(7) of that rule states that specific provisions contained in the Division of Workers' Compensation rules shall take precedence over any conflicting provision adopted the Medicare program.

The Medicare MUE payment policy is in direct conflict with Texas Labor Code §413.014 which requires that all determinations of medical necessity shall be made prospectively or retrospective through utilization review; and with Rule §134.600 which sets out the procedures for preauthorization and retrospective review of professional services such as those in dispute here. The DWC concludes that Labor Code §413.014 and 28 TAC §134.600 take precedence over Medicare MUE's.

28 TAC §134.600 (p) states,

Non-emergency health care requiring preauthorization includes: (5) physical and occupational therapy services, which includes those services listed in the Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) at the following levels: (A) Level I code

range for Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, but limited to: (i) Modalities, both supervised and constant attendance; (ii) Therapeutic procedures, excluding work hardening and work conditioning.

The DWC finds physical therapy services require preauthorization per rule 134.600.

28 TAC §134.600 (f) states,

The requestor or injured employee shall request and obtain preauthorization from the insurance carrier prior to providing or receiving health care listed in subsection (p) of this section. Concurrent utilization review shall be requested prior to the conclusion of the specific number of treatments or period of time preauthorized and approval must be obtained prior to extending the health care listed in subsection (q) of this section. The request for preauthorization or concurrent utilization review shall be sent to the insurance carrier by telephone, facsimile, or electronic transmission and, include the:

- (2) specific health care listed in subsection (p) or (q) of this section;
- (3) number of specific health care treatments and the specific period of time requested to complete the treatments.

The requestor did not submit the preauthorization reports to support the number of treatments preauthorized; therefore, the request for additional reimbursement is not supported.

4. The respondent paid \$160.18 for CPT code 99213 based upon the fee guidelines.
5. The fee guidelines for disputed services are found in 28 TAC §134.203.
6. 28 TAC §134.203(a)(5) states, "Medicare payment policies" when used in this section, shall mean reimbursement methodologies, models, and values or weights including its coding, billing, and reporting payment policies as set forth in the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) payment policies specific to Medicare."

CPT code 99213 is described as, "Office or other outpatient visit for the evaluation and management of an established patient, which requires at least 2 of these 3 key components: An expanded problem focused history; An expanded problem focused examination; Medical decision making of low complexity. Counseling and coordination of care with other providers or agencies are provided consistent with the nature of the problem(s) and the patient's and/or family's needs. Usually, the presenting problem(s) are of low to moderate severity. Physicians typically spend 15 minutes face-to-face with the patient and/or family."

The division finds the submitted report supports billing code 99213; therefore, reimbursement is recommended per the fee guideline.

7. 28 TAC §134.203(c)(1) states "To determine the MAR for professional services, system participants shall apply the Medicare payment policies with minimal modifications. (1) For service categories of Evaluation & Management, General Medicine, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Radiology, Pathology, Anesthesia, and Surgery when performed in an office setting, the established conversion factor to be applied is \$52.83."

28 TAC §134.203(c)(2) states "The conversion factors listed in paragraph (1) of this subsection shall be the conversion factors for calendar year 2008. Subsequent year's conversion factors shall be determined by applying the annual percentage adjustment of the Medicare Economic Index (MEI) to the previous year's conversion factors, and shall be effective January 1st of the new calendar year. The following hypothetical example illustrates this annual adjustment activity if the Division had been using this MEI annual percentage adjustment: The 2006 Division conversion factor of \$50.83 (with the exception of surgery) would have been multiplied by the 2007 MEI annual percentage increase of 2.1 percent, resulting in the \$51.90 (with the exception of surgery) Division conversion factor in 2007."

To determine the MAR the following formula is used: (DWC Conversion Factor/Medicare Conversion Factor) X Medicare Payment = Maximum Allowable Reimbursement (MAR).

- The DWC conversion factor for 2021 is 61.17.

- The Medicare conversion factor for 2021 is 34.8931.
- Review of Box 32 on the CMS-1500 finds that the services were rendered in zip code 76111 which is located in Fort Worth, Texas; therefore, the Medicare locality is "Fort Worth, Texas."
- The carrier code for Texas is 4412 and the locality code for Fort Worth is 28.
- The Medicare participating amount for CPT code 99213 at this locality is \$91.37.

Using the above formula, the MAR is \$160.18. The respondent paid \$160.18. The difference between MAR and amount paid is \$0.00.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the DWC finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the DWC has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date
		06/04/2021

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.**

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the DWC within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the DWC using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.