



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645
(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

MHHS Hermann Hospital

Respondent Name

Valley Forge Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-21-1272-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box 57

MFDR Date Received

March 25, 2021

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "We are filing a medical fee dispute regarding the above-mentioned claim for patient, (injured worked) due to CNA insurance's TIPA Paradigm underpaying MH Hermann Hospital by \$11,435.88 per CMS IPPS guidelines."

Amount in Dispute: \$11,435.88

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "...the provider is using the incorrect effective date for the Inpatient Hospital Provider Specific File and this is what results in the different amount being calculated."

Response Submitted by: Law Office of Brian Judis

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Table with 4 columns: Dates of Service, Disputed Services, Dispute Amount, Amount Due. Row 1: May 29 - June 26, 2020, Inpatient hospital services, \$11,435.88, \$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404 sets out the acute care hospital fee guideline for inpatient services.
3. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
- 305 - The implant is included in this billing and is reimbursed at the higher percentage calculation
- 468 - Reimbursement is based on the medical hospital inpatient prospective payment system methodology
- P12 - Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment

Issues

1. Is the insurance carrier’s position supported?
2. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
3. Is the requestor entitled to additional payment?

Findings

1. The insurance carrier states, “the provider is using the incorrect effective date for the Inpatient Hospital Provider Specific File.” The CMS IPPS pricer for the date of service in dispute will be used to determine the maximum allowable reimbursement shown below.
2. This dispute regards inpatient hospital facility services with payment subject to 28 TAC §134.404(f), requiring the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) to be the Medicare facility specific amount (including outlier payments) applying Medicare Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS) formulas and factors, as published annually in the Federal Register, with modifications set forth in the rules.

Medicare IPPS formulas and factors are available from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services at <http://www.cms.gov>. Note: the “VBP adjustment” listed in the *PC Pricer* was removed in calculating the facility amount for this admission. Medicare’s Value-Based Purchasing (VBP) program is an initiative to improve quality of care in the Medicare system. However, such programs conflict with Texas Labor Code sections 413.0511 and 413.0512 regarding review and monitoring of health care quality in the Texas workers' compensation system. Rule §134.404(d)(1) requires that specific Labor Code provisions and division rules take precedence over conflicting CMS provisions for administering Medicare. Consequently, VBP adjustments are not considered in determining the facility reimbursement.

Separate reimbursement for implants was not requested. Rule §134.404(f)(1)(A) requires that, for these services, the Medicare facility specific amount, including any outlier payment, be multiplied by 143%.

Review of the submitted medical bill and supporting documentation finds the assigned DRG code to be 003. The service location is Houston, Texas. Based on DRG code, service location, and bill-specific information, the Medicare facility specific amount is \$183,901.54. This amount multiplied by 143% results in a MAR of \$262,288.61.

3. The total recommended payment for the services in dispute is \$262,288.61. The insurance carrier has paid \$262,979.20. No additional payment is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031, the division hereby determines the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 additional reimbursement for the services in dispute.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

April 16, 2021
Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form DWC045M) in accordance with the form's instructions. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division, using the contact information on the form, or to the field office handling the claim.

A party seeking review of this decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. The request must include a copy of this *Medical Fee Dispute Findings and Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.