



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645

(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Doctors Hospital at Renaissance

Respondent Name

Service Lloyds Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-21-1184-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 1

MFDR Date Received

March 12, 2021

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "According to TWCC guidelines, Rule §134.403 states that reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be by applying the Medicare facility specific amount."

Amount in Dispute: \$2,465.89

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "...the additional reduction \$1,943.95 is in accordance with their Coventry Contract."

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

| Dates of Service | Disputed Services | Amount in Dispute | Amount Due |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| November 3 – 9, 2020 | Outpatient Hospital Services | \$2,465.89 | \$1,988.35 |

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403 sets out the reimbursement guidelines for outpatient hospital services.
- The insurance carrier reduced or denied the payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - 131 – Claim specific negotiated discount
 - 305 – The implant is included in this billing and reimbursed at the higher percentage calculation
 - 370 – The hospital outpatient allowance was calculated according to the APC rate, plus a markup
 - FDC – This bill was review in accordance with your Coventry contract

Issues

1. Is the insurance carrier's reduction based on a contract supported?
2. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
3. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

1. The requestor is seeking additional reimbursement in the amount \$2,465.89 for outpatient hospital services rendered in October 2020. The insurance carrier reduced the disputed services based on contracted rate. Review of the submitted documentation found no convincing evidence that the injured worker was enrolled in a healthcare network on the disputed date of service. The insurance carrier's reduction is not supported.

The insurance carrier denied the test for COV-19 based on extent of injury. The requestor did not seek review at MFDR for this denied charge.

2. 28 TAC §134.403 (d) requires Texas workers' compensation system participants when coding, billing, reporting and reimbursement to apply Medicare payment policies in effect on the date of service.

The Medicare payment policy applicable to the services in dispute is found at, Claims processing Manual, Chapter 4, Section 10.1.1. Specifically, Payment Status Indicators and Ambulatory Payment Category (APC).

28 TAC 134.403 (f) states in pertinent part the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the *Federal Register*.. The sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 143 percent when a separate request for implant reimbursement is not made and 130 percent when separate reimbursement for implants is made.

3. The Medicare facility specific amount is calculated when the APC payment rate is multiplied by 60% to determine the labor portion. This amount is multiplied by the facility wage index for the date of service. The non-labor amount is determined when the APC payment rate is multiplied by 40%. The sum of the labor portion multiplied by the facility wage index and the non-labor portion determines the Medicare specific amount. Review of the submitted medical bill and the applicable fee guidelines referenced above is shown below.

- Procedure code 49505 has status indicator J1, for procedures paid at a comprehensive rate. All covered services on the bill are packaged with the primary "J1" procedure. This code is assigned APC 5341. The OPPS Addendum A rate is \$3,109.34. This is multiplied by 60% for an unadjusted labor amount of \$1,865.60, in turn multiplied by facility wage index 0.8433 for an adjusted labor amount of \$1,573.26.

The non-labor portion is 40% of the APC rate, or \$1,243.74.

The sum of the labor and non-labor portions is \$2,817.00.

The Medicare facility specific amount is \$2,817.00.

This is multiplied by 200% for a MAR of \$5,634.00.

- Procedure code 96374 is packaged into the primary comprehensive procedure described above. No separate payment is recommended.

The total recommended reimbursement for the disputed services is \$5,634.00. The insurance carrier paid \$3,645.65. The amount due is \$1,988.35. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

In resolving disputes over reimbursement for medically necessary health care to treat a compensable injury, the role of DWC is to adjudicate payment following Texas laws and DWC rules. The findings in this decision are based on the evidence available at the time of review. Even though not all the evidence was discussed, it was considered.

For the reasons above the requestor has established payment is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$1,988.35.

ORDER

In accordance with Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable) and based on the submitted information, DWC finds the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement. DWC hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$1,988.35, plus accrued interest per Rule §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Authorized Signature

| | | |
|-----------|--|------------------------|
| Signature | Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer | March 29, 2021 Date |
|-----------|--|------------------------|

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.**

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by DWC within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to DWC using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.