MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name Respondent Name

GULF COAST FUNCTIONAL TESTING TRAVELERS INDEMNITY CO OF CONNECTICUT

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-21-1183-01 Box Number 05

MFDR Date Received

MARCH 11, 2021

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

"We feel that our facility should be paid according to the workers compensation fee schedule guidelines."

Amount in Dispute: \$300.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

"The Carrier reviewed the billing and denied reimbursement on the basis that there have already been the allowed 3 FCEs on this claim."

Response Submitted By: Travelers

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
August 3, 2020	CPT Code 97750-FC-GP (X4) Functional Capacity Evaluation (FCE)	\$300.00	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 (TAC), effective May 31, 2012 sets out the procedures for resolving a medical fee dispute.
- 2. 28 TAC §134.203, effective March 1, 2008, sets out the fee guidelines for reimbursement of

professional medical services provided in the Texas workers' compensation system.

- 3. 28 TAC §134.225, effective July 7, 2016, sets the reimbursement guidelines for FCEs.
- 4. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment code:
 - P12-Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.
 - 296-Service exceeds maximum reimbursement guidelines.
 - W3-Additional payment made on appeal/reconsideration.
 - 193-Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly.

<u>Issues</u>

Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement for CPT code 97750-FC-GP (X4) rendered on August 3, 2020?

Findings

- 1. The requestor is seeking medical fee dispute resolution for CPT code 97750-FC-GP (X4) rendered on August 3, 2020 in the amount of \$300.00.
- 2. CPT code 97750 is described as "Physical performance test or measurement (eg, musculoskeletal, functional capacity), with written report, each 15 minutes." The requestor appended modifier "GP-Services delivered under an outpatient physical therapy plan of care," and "FC-Functional capacity." 28 TAC 134.210(e)(3) states, "The following division modifiers shall be used by health care providers billing professional medical services for correct coding, reporting, billing, and reimbursement of the procedure codes. (3) FC, functional capacity--This modifier shall be added to CPT code 97750 when a functional capacity evaluation is performed." The DWC finds the disputed service is an FCE.
- 3. According to the explanation of benefits, the carrier paid \$0.00 for the disputed FCE based upon the test exceeded the fee guideline.
- 4. The applicable fee guideline for FCEs is found at 28 TAC §134.225.
- 5. 28 TAC §134.225 states:

The following applies to functional capacity evaluations (FCEs). A maximum of three FCEs for each compensable injury shall be billed and reimbursed. FCEs ordered by the division shall not count toward the three FCEs allowed for each compensable injury. FCEs shall be billed using CPT code 97750 with modifier "FC." FCEs shall be reimbursed in accordance with §134.203(c)(1) of this title. Reimbursement shall be for up to a maximum of four hours for the initial test or for a division ordered test; a maximum of two hours for an interim test; and a maximum of three hours for the discharge test, unless it is the initial test. Documentation is required. "

Based upon the submitted documentation, the DWC finds claimant had an FCE on December 9, 2013, April 23, 2020, and May 21, 2020. The disputed FCE is the fourth FCE. The requestor did not support that the disputed FCE was a division ordered test and met exception to number of tests allowed per the fee guideline; therefore, reimbursement is not recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the DWC finds that the requestor has not established that reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the DWC has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

		03/26/2021
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the DWC within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the DWC using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the DWC. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.