# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION 

## GENERAL INFORMATION

## Requestor Name

COLE, GEORGE MARTIN

## MFDR Tracking Number

M4-21-1015-01

Respondent Name
NEW HAMPSHIRE INSURANCE CO
Carrier's Austin Representative
Box Number 19

## MFDR Date Received

February 23, 2021
REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY
"AN ORIGINAL BILL AND A RECONSIDERATION WERE SUBMITTED. THE CURRENT RULES ALLOW REIMBURSEMENT."

Amount in Dispute: $\$ 500.00$

## RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Submitted documentation does not include a position statement from the respondent. Accordingly, this decision is based on the information available at the time of adjudication.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

| Dates of Service | Disputed Services | Amount In <br> Dispute | Amount Due |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 2, 2020 | Designated Doctor Examination (99456-W6-RE) | $\$ 500.00$ | $\$ 500.00$ |

## FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code $\S 413.031$ and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

## Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code $\S 133.307$ sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code $\S 134.235$ sets out the fee guidelines for examinations to determine extent of the compensable injury.
3. The submitted documentation did not include explanations of benefits.

## Issues

1. Did New Hampshire Insurance Company respond to the medical fee dispute?
2. Did New Hampshire Insurance Company take final action on the bill for the service in question prior to the request for medical fee dispute resolution (MFDR)?
3. Is George Cole, D.O. entitled to reimbursement for the examination in question?

## Findings

1. The Austin carrier representative for New Hampshire Insurance Company is Flahive Ogden \& Latson. The representative was notified of this medical fee dispute on March 2,2021 . If the DWC does not receive the response within 14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then the DWC may base its decision on the available information. ${ }^{1}$

As of today, no response has been received from the insurance carrier or its representative. We will base this decision on the information available.
2. Dr. Cole is seeking reimbursement for a designated doctor examination to determine the extent of the compensable injury. Dr. Cole argued that he had not received payment or an explanation of denial for medical bills submitted for the examination in question.

The insurance carrier is required to take final action by paying, reducing, or denying the service in question not later than 45 days after receiving the medical bill. This deadline is not extended by a request for additional information. ${ }^{2}$

The greater weight of evidence presented to the DWC supports that a complete bill for the services in question was received by the insurance carrier or its agent. No evidence was provided to support that the insurance carrier took final action on the bill for the service in question.
3. Because the insurance carrier failed to support non-payment for the examination in question, Dr. Cole is entitled to reimbursement. The submitted documentation indicates that Dr. Cole performed an examination to determine the extent of the compensable injury, as ordered by the DWC. The MAR for this examination is $\$ 500.00 .^{3}$ This amount is recommended.

## Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute relied upon the evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all the evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered. For the reasons stated above, the DWC finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is $\$ 500.00$.

## ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the DWC has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The DWC hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor $\$ 500.00$, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code $\S 134.130$, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

## Authorized Signature

Signature
$\overline{\text { Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer }} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Mate }\end{aligned}$

[^0]
## YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, 37 Texas Register 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.
A party seeking review must submit a Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (form DWCO45M) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the DWC within twenty days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed, or personally delivered to the DWC using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim. The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.
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