## MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Requestor Name Respondent Name

Vibra Specialty Desoto Service Lloyds Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-21-0688-01 Box Number 01

**MFDR Date Received** 

November 23, 2020

## **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

<u>Requestor's Position Summary:</u> "We retained a Letter of Agreement prior to our patient's stay for Service Lloyd to pay at 95% of the state mandated fee/Workers comp fee schedule. ...We received a total payment from Service Lloyd of \$20,901.56, leaving an underpaid amount of \$14,699.51."

**Amount in Dispute:** \$14,699.51

#### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Respondent's Position Summary:** "Prior payment issued 10/3/2018 check #57360 in the amount of \$5,648.31 and no additional allowance is recommended due to reevaluation timely filing."

Response Submitted by: Mitchell International Inc

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
June 8 – 28, 2018	Long Term Care Hospital Services	\$14,699.51	\$0.00

# **FINDINGS AND DECISION**

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

#### **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
  - 18 Exact duplicate claim/service
  - Per Rule 133.250 provider may not submit reconsideration after the carrier has taken final action seek MDR in accordance to Rule 133.307
  - Bill was not submitted timely in accordance with DWC Chapter 133
  - P12 Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment

#### <u>Issue</u>

Did the requestor waive the right to medical fee dispute resolution?

# **Findings**

The requestor is seeking reimbursement for a long term facility stay in June 2018. 28 TAC §133.307(c)(1) states:

"Timeliness. A requestor shall timely file with the Division's MDR Section or waive the right to MDR. The Division shall deem a request to be filed on the date the MDR Section receives the request.

- (A) A request for medical fee dispute resolution that does not involve issues identified in subparagraph (B) of this paragraph shall be filed no later than one year after the date(s) of service in dispute.
- (B) A request may be filed later than one year after the date(s) of service if:
  - (i) a related compensability, extent of injury, or liability dispute under Labor Code Chapter 410 has been filed, the medical fee dispute shall be filed not later than 60 days after the date the requestor receives the final decision, inclusive of all appeals, on compensability, extent of injury, or liability;
  - (ii) a medical dispute regarding medical necessity has been filed, the medical fee dispute must be filed not later than 60 days after the date the requestor received the final decision on medical necessity, inclusive of all appeals, related to the health care in dispute and for which the insurance carrier previously denied payment based on medical necessity; or
  - (iii) the dispute relates to a refund notice issued pursuant to a division audit or review, the medical fee dispute must be filed not later than 60 days after the date of the receipt of a refund notice.

The date of the service in dispute is June 2018. The request for medical dispute resolution was received in the Medical Dispute Resolution (MDR) section on November 23, 2020.

This date is later than one year after the date(s) of service in dispute. Review of the submitted documentation finds that the disputed services do not involve issues identified in §133.307, subparagraph (B). DWC concludes that the requestor has failed to timely file this dispute with DWC's MDR Section; consequently, the requestor has waived the right to medical fee dispute resolution.

## Conclusion

In resolving disputes over reimbursement for medically necessary health care to treat a compensable injury, the role of DWC is to adjudicate payment following Texas laws and DWC rules. The findings in this decision are based on the evidence available at the time of review. Even though not all the evidence was discussed, it was considered.

For the reasons above the requestor has not established payment is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

## <u>ORDER</u>

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, DWC has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

#### **Authorized Signature**

		January 11, 2021
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

#### YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.