# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION 

## GENERAL INFORMATION

## Requestor Name

BAYLOR SURGICARE OF PLANO

## MFDR Tracking Number

M4-21-0135-01

Respondent Name
EMPLOYERS PREFERRED INS CO
Carrier's Austin Representative
Box Number 4

## MFDR Date Received

SEPTEMBER 28, 2020

## REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

"At this time we are requesting that this claim paid in accordance with the 2020 Texas Workers Compensation Fee Schedule and Guidelines for Ambulatory Surgical Centers."

Amount in Dispute: \$1,329.25

## RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

"The billing was reviewed and they were subsequently paid $\$ 33,201.33$. This discounted amount was paid according to fee schedule and no additional allowance is owed."

Response Submitted by: EIG Services, Inc

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

| Dates of Service | Disputed Services | Amount In <br> Dispute | Amount Due |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 7, 2020 | Ambulatory Surgical Care Services (ASC) <br> CPT Code 63685 | $\$ 1,120.35$ | $\$ 1,120.35$ |
|  | ASC Services for CPT Code 63650 (X2) | $\$ 238.90$ | $\$ 238.90$ |
|  | $\$ 1,329.25$ | $\$ 1,329.25$ |  |

## FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code $\S 413.031$ and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

## Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code $\S 133.307$, effective May 31, 2012, sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 TAC $\S 134.402$, effective August 31,2008 , sets out the reimbursement guidelines for ambulatory surgical care services.
3. The insurance carrier reduced/denied payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:

- P12-Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.
- 943-Charge for this procedure exceeds Medicare ASC schedule allowance.
- 4123-Allowance is based on Texas ASC device intensive procedure calculation and guidelines.
- W3-Additional payment made on appeal/reconsideration.


## Issues

Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement for ASC services rendered on July 7, 2020?

## Findings

1. The requestor is seeking medical fee dispute resolution in the amount of $\$ 1,329.25$ for ASC services rendered on July 7, 2020.
2. The respondent contends that reimbursement of $\$ 33,201.33$ was made per the fee guideline.
3. The disputed services are described as:

- 63650- "Percutaneous implantation of neurostimulator electrode array, epidural."
- 63685 - "Insertion or replacement of spinal neurostimulator pulse generator or receiver, direct or inductive coupling."

4. To determine the appropriate reimbursement for CPT codes 63685 and 63650 the DWC refers to 28 TAC §134.402(f).

Per ADDENDUM AA, CPT codes 63685 and 3650 are device intensive procedures.
28 TAC §134.402(f)(2)(A)(i)(ii) states,
The reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare ASC reimbursement amount determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Payment System Policies for Services Furnished in Ambulatory Surgical Centers and Outpatient Prospective Payment System reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register. Reimbursement shall be based on the fully implemented payment amount as in ADDENDUM AA, ASC COVERED SURGICAL PROCEDURES FOR CY 2008, published in the November 27, 2007 publication of the Federal Register, or its successor. The following minimal modifications apply:
(2) Reimbursement for device intensive procedures shall be: (A) the sum of: (i) the ASC device portion; and (ii) the ASC service portion multiplied by 235 percent."
A. 63685

The following formula was used to calculate the MAR:

- Step 1 calculating the device portion of the procedure:

The national reimbursement is found in the Addendum B for National Hospital Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) code 63685 for CY $2020=\$ 29,118.74$

The device dependent APC offset percentage for National Hospital OPPS found in Addendum P for code 63685 for CY 2020 is $82.61 \%$

Multiply these two $=\mathbf{\$ 2 4 , 0 5 4 . 9 9}$

- Step 2 calculating the service portion of the procedure:

Per Addendum AA, the Medicare ASC reimbursement rate for code 63685 for CY 2020 is $\$ 23,468.24$.
This number is divided by $2=\$ 11,734.12$.
This number multiplied by the City Wage Index for Plano, Texas of $0.9747=\$ 11,437.25$.

The sum of these two is the geographically adjusted Medicare ASC reimbursement $=\$ 23,171.37$. The service portion is found by taking the geographically adjusted rate minus the device portion = -\$883.62.

Multiply the service portion by the DWC payment adjustment of $235 \%=-\$ 2,076.51$.

- Step 3 calculating the MAR:

The MAR is determined by adding the sum of the reimbursement for the device portion and the service portion $=\$ 21,978.48$.

The DWC finds the MAR for CPT code 63685 is $\$ 21,978.48$. The respondent paid $\$ 20,858.13$. The requestor is due the difference of $\$ 1,120.35$.

## B. 63650

The following formula was used to calculate the MAR:

- Step 1 calculating the device portion of the procedure:

The national reimbursement is found in the Addendum B for National Hospital Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) code 63650 for CY $2020=\$ 6,187.13$

The device dependent APC offset percentage for National Hospital OPPS found in Addendum P for code 63685 for CY 2020 is $50.10 \%$

Multiply these two $=\$ 3,099.75$

- $\quad$ Step 2 calculating the service portion of the procedure:

Per Addendum AA, the Medicare ASC reimbursement rate for code 63650 for CY 2020 is $\$ 4,514.86$. This number is divided by $2=\$ 2,257.43$.
This number multiplied by the City Wage Index for Plano, Texas of $0.9747=\$ 2,200.32$.
The sum of these two is the geographically adjusted Medicare ASC reimbursement $=\$ 4,457.75$.
The service portion is found by taking the geographically adjusted rate minus the device portion = \$1,358.00.

Multiply the service portion by the DWC payment adjustment of $235 \%=\$ 3,191.29$.

- Step 3 calculating the MAR:

The MAR is determined by adding the sum of the reimbursement for the device portion and the service portion $=\$ 6,291.04$.

The DWC finds the MAR for CPT code 63650 is $\$ 6,291.04$. The respondent paid $\$ 6,171.50$. The requestor is seeking a lesser amount of the difference of $\$ 119.45$. This number X 2 units billed $=\$ 238.90$.

## Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the DWC finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is $\$ 1,329.25$.

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the DWC has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The DWC hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor $\$ 1,329.25$, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 TAC $\S 134.130$ due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

## Authorized Signature

## YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, 37 Texas Register 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.
A party seeking review must submit a Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (form DWCO45M) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the DWC within twenty days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the DWC using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.
The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision together with any other required information specified in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.

