MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name Respondent Name

St Joseph Medical Center James Construction Group LLC

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-20-2724-01 Box Number 19

MFDR Date Received

July 13, 2020

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The purpose of this letter is to inform you that payment for services provided to the above referenced patient does not comply with Chapters 134.403 and 34.404 of Texas Administrative Code."

Amount in Dispute: \$87.92

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: The Austin carrier representative for James Construction Group is Flahive Ogden & Latson who was notified of this medical fee dispute on July 21, 2020. Rule §133.307(d)(1) states that if the division does not receive the response within 14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then the division may base its decision on the available information

As of today, no response has been received from the carrier or its representative. We therefore base this decision on the information available as authorized under §133.307(d)(1).

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
April 1, 2020	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$87.92	\$87.70

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403 sets out the reimbursement guidelines for outpatient hospital services
- 3. The insurance carrier reduced or denied the payment for the disputed services with the following claim

adjustment codes:

- 97 Payment adjusted because the benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated
- P12 Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment
- 4097- Paid per fee schedule; charge adjusted because statue dictates allowance is greater than the provider's charge
- 4915 The charge for the services represented by the revenue code are included/bundled into the total facility payment and do not warrant a separate payment or the payment status indicator determines the service is packaged or excluded from payment

Issues

- 1. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
- 2. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

- 1. The requestor is seeking additional reimbursement in the amount \$87.92 for outpatient hospital services rendered on April 1, 2020. The insurance carrier reduced the disputed services based on bundling and workers compensation fee schedule.
 - 28 TAC §134.403 (d) requires Texas workers' compensation system participants when coding, billing, reporting and reimbursement to apply Medicare payment policies in effect on the date of service.
 - The Medicare payment policy applicable to the services in dispute is found at www.cms.gov, Claims processing Manual, Chapter 4, Section 10.1.1. Specifically, Payment Status Indicators and Ambulatory Payment Category (APC).

28 TAC 134.403 (f) states in pertinent part the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the *Federal Register*.. The sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 143 percent when a separate request for implant reimbursement is not made and 108 percent when separate reimbursement for implants is made.

The Medicare facility specific amount is calculated when the APC payment rate is multiplied by 60% to determine the labor portion. This amount is multiplied by the facility wage index for the date of service. The non-labor amount is determined when the APC payment rate is multiplied by 40%. The sum of the labor portion multiplied by the facility wage index and the non-labor portion determines the Medicare specific amount.

Review of the submitted medical bill and the applicable fee guidelines referenced above is shown below.

- Procedure code C1713 has status indicator N, for packaged codes reimbursement is included with payment for the primary services.
- Per Medicare policy, procedure code 11012 is packaged into code 26765.
- Per Medicare policy, procedure code 11760 is packaged into code 26765
- Procedure code 26765 has status indicator J1 and a ranking of (1,893) the highest for the billed date of service. Code 11012 has a status indicator of J1 but a lower ranking (2,329). Per the Medicare payment policy found at www.cms.gov only the highest ranking J1 code is paid.

This code is assigned APC 5113. The OPPS Addendum A rate is \$2,737.45. This is multiplied by 60% for an unadjusted labor amount of \$1,642.47, in turn multiplied by facility wage index 1.0021 for an adjusted labor amount of \$1,645.92.

The non-labor portion is 40% of the APC rate, or \$1,094.98.

The sum of the labor and non-labor portions is \$2,740.90.

The Medicare facility specific amount is \$2,740.90. This is multiplied by 200% for a MAR of \$5,481.80.

- Procedure code J1885 has status indicator N reimbursement is included with payment for the primary services.
- Procedure code J2250 has status indicator N reimbursement is included with payment for the primary services.
- Procedure code J3010 has status indicator N reimbursement is included with payment for the primary services
- 2. The total recommended reimbursement for the disputed services is \$5,481.80. The insurance carrier paid \$5,394.10. The amount due is \$87.70. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

In resolving disputes over reimbursement for medically necessary health care to treat a compensable injury, the role of DWC is to adjudicate payment following Texas laws and DWC rules. The findings in this decision are based on the evidence available at the time of review. Even though not all the evidence was discussed, it was considered.

For the reasons above the requestor has established payment is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$87.70

ORDER

In accordance with Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable) and based on the submitted information, DWC finds the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement. DWC hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$87.70, plus accrued interest per Rule §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

<u>Authorized Signature</u>		
		October 9, 2020
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by DWC within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to DWC using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings* **and** *Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.