



# TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

## Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645

(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

### MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION GENERAL INFORMATION

**Requestor Name**

DALLAS TESTING, INC

**Respondent Name**

STARBUCKS CORPORATION

**MFDR Tracking Number**

M4-20-2408-01

**Carrier's Austin Representative**

Box Number 44

**MFDR Date Received**

June 4, 2020

**Response Received by:**

No response was submitted

#### **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

"The above date of service was not paid and has been returned due to reason: 'Services not provided by network/primary care provider.' This is incorrect. The treating doctor referred the patient to the provider to have the EMG/NCV. Please see attached referral. All necessary and supporting documentation is included with this reconsideration to properly justify/support the administered treatment still needing to be paid."

#### **RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY**

The Austin carrier representative for Starbucks Corporation is White Espey, PLLC. White Espey, PLLC acknowledged receipt of the copy of this medical fee dispute on June 9, 2020. 28 TAC §133.307(d)(1) states that if the DWC does not receive the response within 14-calendar days of the dispute notification, then the DWC may base its decision on the available information. As of today, no response has been received from the carrier or its representative. We therefore base this decision on the information available as authorized under 28 TAC §133.307(d)(1).

#### **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
August 20, 2019	95910 ( 1 unit) Nerve Conduction Studies	\$328.87	\$328.87
	95886 ( 1 unit) Needle EMG	\$160.17	\$160.17
TOTAL		\$489.04	\$489.04

#### **FINDINGS AND DECISION**

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

#### **Background**

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 TAC §134.203, effective March 1, 2008, sets the reimbursement guidelines for the disputed service.
3. Texas Insurance Code Chapter 1305 regulates certified workers' compensation health care networks.
4. Texas Labor Code §413.031 entitles health care providers to a review of services if payment is reduced.

5. The services in dispute were reduced / denied by the respondent with the following reason code(s):
  - 245 - DENIED PER CUSTOMER REQUEST
  - PCS - TREATMENT NOT AUTHORIZED.
  - 242 - SERVICES NOT PROVIDED BY NETWORK/PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS.
  - 816 - PAYMENT ADJUSTED BECAUSE THIS PROCEDURE/SERVICE IS NOT PAID SEPARATELY

### **Issues**

1. Does this dispute contain a certified network issue?
2. Was preauthorization required for CPT code 95886 and 95910 rendered on August 20, 2019?
3. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement for the disputed services?

### **Findings**

1. The insurance carrier denied the disputed services with denial reduction codes “242-Services not provided by network/primary care providers.”

The injured employee must be enrolled in the certified workers’ compensation network and the certified workers’ compensation network must be named on the explanation of benefits, per 28 TAC §133.240 (f) (15). Based on information maintained by the division, the injured employee is not enrolled in a worker’s compensation health care network certified in accordance with Insurance Code Chapter 1305. The insurance carrier has never reported to the division that the injured employee was enrolled in certified workers’ compensation health care network and has not provided any information to the DWC to support that the injured employee has been enrolled in such a network.

28 TAC §133.240 (f) (15) requires that the insurance carrier shall include the “workers' compensation health care network name (if applicable)” on the paper form of an explanation of benefits. While the explanation of benefits does contain denial reason 242, it does not mention the health care network name on the paper form EOB, registered with the division as certified Texas workers’ compensation health care networks established in accordance with Insurance Code Chapter 1305.

Accordingly, based on the information presented to MFDR, the division finds that the insurance carrier has failed to meet the requirements of Rule §133.240 (f) (15). The insurance carrier thus failed to give plain language notice to the provider that a network was involved or that any special requirements were applicable and has therefore waived the right to assert that network provisions apply. The division concludes it has authority to review the fee issues in this dispute and will proceed to review them under applicable division rules and fee guidelines

2. The requestor is seeking medical fee dispute resolution in the amount of \$489.04 for CPT codes 95910 and 95886 rendered on August 20, 2019.

The respondent denied reimbursement for CPT code 95910 and 95886 based upon reason code “PCS-Treatment not authorized.”

28 TAC §134.600(p)(8)(A-B) states that non-emergency healthcare that requires preauthorization includes: “(8) unless otherwise specified in this subsection, a repeat individual diagnostic study: (A) with a reimbursement rate of greater than \$350 as established in the current Medical Fee Guideline; or (B) without a reimbursement rate established in the current Medical Fee Guideline.”

The insurance carrier did not submit a response to this dispute, due to insufficient documentation to support that these services were “repeat individual diagnostic studies”, the DWC finds that the insurance carrier’s denial reason of “PCS” is not supported and the requestor is therefore entitled to reimbursement.

3. The fee guidelines for disputed services are found in 28 TAC §134.203.

28 TAC §134.203(a)(5) states “(c) To determine the MAR for professional services, system participants shall apply the Medicare payment policies with minimal modifications. (1) For service categories of Evaluation & Management, General Medicine, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Radiology,

Pathology, Anesthesia, and Surgery when performed in an office setting, the established conversion factor to be applied is \$52.83. For Surgery when performed in a facility setting, the established conversion factor to be applied is \$66.32. (2) The conversion factors listed in paragraph (1) of this subsection shall be the conversion factors for calendar year 2008. Subsequent year's conversion factors shall be determined by applying the annual percentage adjustment of the Medicare Economic Index (MEI) to the previous year's conversion factors, and shall be effective January 1st of the new calendar year..."

To determine the MAR the following formula is used: (DWC Conversion Factor/Medicare Conversion Factor) X Participating Amount = Maximum Allowable Reimbursement (MAR).

Review of Box 32 on the CMS-1500 the services were rendered in zip code 75006, which is in Dallas, Texas; therefore, the Medicare participating amount is based on locality "Dallas, Texas".

- The 2019 DWC conversion factor for this service is 59.19.
- The Medicare conversion factor is 36.0391.
- The Medicare participating amount for code 95910 in Dallas, TX is \$200.24.
- The Medicare participating amount for code 95886 in Dallas, TX is \$97.52.

Using the above formula, the Division finds the MAR is:

- CPT Code 95910 is \$328.87
- CPT Code 95886 is \$160.17

The respondent paid \$0.00. The requestor is therefore entitled to a total recommended amount of \$489.04.

**Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the DWC finds that the requestor has established that reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$489.04.

**ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of TLC Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the DWC has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The DWC hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$489.04 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 TAC §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

**Authorized Signature**

		August 21, 2020
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

**YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL**

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the DWC within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed, or personally delivered to the DWC using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim. The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**