MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Respondent Name

Odessa Regional Hospital LT

New Hampshire Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number

Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-20-2070-01

Box Number 19

MFDR Date Received

April 24, 2020

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Requestor's Position Summary</u>: "The purpose of this letter is to inform you that payment for services provided to the above reference patient does not comply with Chapters 134.403 and 134.404 of Texas Administrative Code."

Amount in Dispute: \$337.32

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Respondent's Position Summary</u>: "It is the carrier's position that the provider was reimbursed in accordance with the Division's Medical Fee Guidelines. The provider is not entitled to any additional reimbursment."

Response Submitted by: Flahive, Ogden & Latson

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
December 29, 2019 through January 3, 2020	Inpatient Hospital Services	\$337.32	\$64.12

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404 sets out the acute care hospital fee guideline for inpatient services.
- 3. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment
 - Your billing has been paid in accordance with the Inpatient Hospital Fee Schedule

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement of the disputed services?
- 2. What is the recommended payment for the services in dispute?
- 3. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

1. This dispute regards inpatient hospital facility services with payment subject to 28 TAC §134.404(f), requiring the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) to be the Medicare facility specific amount (including outlier payments) applying Medicare Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS) formulas and factors, as published annually in the Federal Register, with modifications set forth in the rules. Medicare IPPS formulas and factors are available from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services at http://www.cms.gov.

Separate reimbursement for implants was not requested the Medicare facility specific amount, including any outlier payment, be multiplied by 143%.

The division calculates the Medicare facility specific amount using Medicare's *Inpatient PPS PC Pricer* as a tool to efficiently identify and apply IPPS formulas and factors. This software is freely available from www.cms.gov.

Note: the "VBP adjustment" listed in the *PC Pricer* was removed in calculating the facility amount for this admission. Medicare's Value-Based Purchasing (VBP) program is an initiative to improve quality of care in the Medicare system. However, such programs conflict with Texas Labor Code sections 413.0511 and 413.0512 regarding review and monitoring of health care quality in the Texas workers' compensation system. Rule §134.404(d)(1) requires that specific Labor Code provisions and division rules take precedence over conflicting CMS provisions for administering Medicare. Consequently, VBP adjustments are not considered in determining the facility reimbursement.

- 2. Review of the submitted medical bill and supporting documentation finds the assigned DRG code to be 493. The service location is Odessa, Texas. Based on DRG code, service location, and bill-specific information, the Medicare facility specific amount is \$15,893.29. This amount multiplied by 143% results in a MAR of \$22,727.40.
- 3. The total recommended payment for the services in dispute is \$22,727.40. The insurance carrier has paid \$22,663.28. The amount due to the requestor is \$64.12. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, DWC finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due in the amount of \$64.12.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), DWC has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. DWC hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$64.12 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 TAC §134.130 due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature

		May 19, 2020
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 TAC §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by DWC within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to DWC using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute* **Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.