

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name
UMC PHYSICIANS

Respondent Name
LUBBOCK ISD

MFDR Tracking Number

Carrier's Austin Representative
Box Number 17

M4-20-1634-01

:0-1034-01 BOX NUIII

MFDR Date Received

MARCH 2, 2020

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

"Athens Administrators continued to deny the administration of the injection CPT code 96372 as included to another service/procedure. Patient did not just see provider for injection. Patient came in for evaluation of a knee injury. Based on evaluation it was determined an injection...was needed. Overturn denial by Athens Administrators with supporting documentation."

Amount in Dispute: \$60.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

The respondent did not submit a response to this request for medical fee dispute resolution.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
April 10, 2019	CPT Code 96372	\$60.00	\$26.64

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

Background

- 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012 sets out the procedures for resolving a medical fee dispute.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203, effective March 1, 2008, sets out the fee guidelines for reimbursement of professional medical services provided in the Texas workers' compensation system.
- 3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - 97-Payment adjusted because the benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated.
 - 243-The charge for this procedure was not paid since the value of this procedure is included/bundled within the value of another procedure performed.
 - 1014-The attached billing has been re-evaluated at the request of the provider. Base don't his re-evaluation, we find our original review to be correct. Therefore, no additional allowance appears to be warranted.

- W3-Additional payment made on appeal/reconsideration.
- 193-Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly.

Issues

Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement for CPT codes 96372?

Findings

- 1. The Austin carrier representative for Lubbock ISD is Downs Stanford, PC. Downs Stanford, PC acknowledged receipt of the copy of this medical fee dispute on March 10, 2020. Rule §133.307(d)(1) states that if the division does not receive the response within 14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then the division may base its decision on the available information
 - As of today, no response has been received from the carrier or its representative. We therefore base this decision on the information available as authorized under §133.307(d)(1).
- 2. The requestor is seeking medical fee dispute resolution in the amount of \$60.00 for CPT code 96372 rendered on April 10, 2019.
- 3. The fee guidelines for disputed services is found at 28 TAC §134.203.
- 4. 28 TAC §134.203(a)(5) states, "'Medicare payment policies' when used in this section, shall mean reimbursement methodologies, models, and values or weights including its coding, billing, and reporting payment policies as set forth in the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) payment policies specific to Medicare."
- 5. 28 TAC §134.203(b)(1) states, "For coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of professional medical services, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply the following: (1) Medicare payment policies, including its coding; billing; correct coding initiatives (CCI) edits; modifiers; bonus payments for health professional shortage areas (HPSAs) and physician scarcity areas (PSAs); and other payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided with any additions or exceptions in the rules."
- 6. The respondent denied reimbursement for CPT code 96372 based upon, "243-The charge for this procedure was not paid since the value of this procedure is included/bundled within the value of another procedure performed," and "97-Payment adjusted because the benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated."
- 7. On the disputed date of service, the requestor billed CPT codes 99213-25, J1040 and 96372.
 - Per CCI edits, CPT code 99213 is a component of code 96372; however, a modifier is allowed to differentiate the service. The requestor appended modifier "25" to code 99213 to differentiate service. The respondent paid for code 99213-25 and is not in dispute.
 - Per CCI edits, CPT code 96372 is not a component of code 99213; therefore, the respondent's denial of payment is not supported.
- 8. Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203(c)(1)(2), "To determine the MAR for professional services, system participants shall apply the Medicare payment policies with minimal modifications.
 - (1) For service categories of Evaluation & Management, General Medicine, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Radiology, Pathology, Anesthesia, and Surgery when performed in an office setting, the established conversion factor to be applied is \$52.83. For Surgery when performed in a facility setting, the established conversion factor to be applied is \$66.32.
 - (2) The conversion factors listed in paragraph (1) of this subsection shall be the conversion factors for calendar year 2008. Subsequent year's conversion factors shall be determined by applying the annual percentage adjustment of the Medicare Economic Index (MEI) to the previous year's conversion factors, and shall be effective January 1st of the new calendar year. The following hypothetical example illustrates this annual adjustment activity if the DWC had been using this MEI annual percentage adjustment: The 2006 DWC conversion factor of \$50.83 (with the exception of surgery) would have been multiplied by the 2007 MEI annual percentage increase of 2.1 percent, resulting in the \$51.90 (with the exception of surgery) DWC conversion factor in 2007."

To determine the MAR the following formula is used: (DWC Conversion Factor/Medicare Conversion Factor) X Medicare Payment = Maximum Allowable Reimbursement (MAR).

The 2019 DWC Conversion Factor is 59.19

The 2019 Medicare Conversion Factor is 36.0391

Per the CMs 1500, the services were rendered in Lubbock, TX; therefore, the Medicare locality is "Rest of Texas".

The Medicare participating amount for code 96372 at locality "Rest of Texas" is \$16.22.

Using the above formula, the DWC finds the MAR is \$26.64. The respondent paid \$0.00. the requestor is due the difference between MAR and amount paid of \$26.64.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the DWC finds that the requestor has established that reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$26.64.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$26.64 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature

		5/15/2020
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the DWC within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the DWC using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the DWC. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.