

# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

## **GENERAL INFORMATION**

<u>Requestor Name</u> <u>Respondent Name</u>

St Joseph Medical Center Texas Mutual Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-20-1358-01 Box Number 54

**MFDR Date Received** 

January 30, 2020

**REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY** 

Requestor's Position Summary: "xxx."

Amount in Dispute: \$6,209.36

## **RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY**

<u>Respondent's Position Summary</u>: "In order to resolve this fee reimbursement dispute Texas Mutual Insurance Company has elected to pay the disputed services."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

| Dates of Service | Disputed Services            | Amount in Dispute | Amount Due |
|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| March 20, 2019   | Outpatient Hospital Services | \$6,209.36        | \$0.00     |

### FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

### **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403 sets out the reimbursement guidelines for outpatient hospital services.

#### Issues

- 1. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
- 2. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

## **Findings**

1. The requestor is seeking reimbursement in the amount of \$6,209.36 for outpatient hospital services rendered in March 2019.

28 TAC §134.403 (d) requires Texas workers' compensation system participants when coding, billing, reporting and reimbursement to apply Medicare payment policies in effect on the date of service.

The Medicare payment policy applicable to the services in dispute is found at <a href="www.cms.gov">www.cms.gov</a>, Claims processing Manual, Chapter 4, Section 10.1.1. Specifically, Payment Status Indicators.

The DWC fee guideline is found at 28 §TAC 134.403 (f) and states the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the *Federal Register* multiplied by 200 per cent.

Review of the submitted medical bill found the Medicare facility specific amount and status indicator of each submitted code is as follows:

- Procedure code A4649 has status indicator N reimbursement is included with payment for the primary services.
- Procedure code 14040 has status indicator T, for procedures subject to multiple-procedure reduction. This code is assigned APC 5054.

The OPPS Addendum A rate is \$1,548.96, multiplied by 60% for an unadjusted labor amount of \$929.38, in turn multiplied by the facility wage index of 0.9754 for an adjusted labor amount of \$906.52.

The non-labor portion is 40% of the APC rate, or \$619.58. The sum of the labor and non-labor portions is \$1,526.10.

The Medicare facility specific amount of \$1,526.10 is multiplied by 200% for a MAR of \$3,052.20.

- Procedure code 20680 has status indicator Q2, for T-packaged codes; reimbursement is packaged with payment for any service with status indicator T.
- Procedure code J1100 has status indicator N reimbursement is included with payment for the primary services.
- Procedure code J1200 has status indicator N reimbursement is included with payment for the primary services.
- Procedure code J2250 has status indicator N reimbursement is included with payment for the primary services.
- Procedure code J3010 has status indicator N reimbursement is included with payment for the primary services.
- 2. The total recommended reimbursement for the disputed services is \$3,052.20. The insurance carrier paid \$3,224.71. Additional payment is not recommended.

# Conclusion

In resolving disputes over reimbursement for medically necessary health care to treat a compensable injury, the role of DWC is to adjudicate payment following Texas laws and DWC rules. The findings in this decision are based on the evidence available at the time of review. Even though not all the evidence was discussed, it was considered.

For the reasons above the requestor has not established payment is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

### **ORDER**

|                             | on, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 4:<br>led to \$0.00 additional reimbursement for t | •            |
|-----------------------------|---|--------------|
| <u>Authorized Signature</u> |   |              |
| Signature                   | Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer  | May 11, 2020 |

#### YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by DWC within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to DWC using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.