

# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

## **GENERAL INFORMATION**

<u>Requestor Name</u> <u>Respondent Name</u>

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy Norguard Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-20-1345-01 Box Number 6

**MFDR Date Received** 

January 28, 2020

### **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

**Requestor's Position Summary:** "Bill for date of service 11/07/2019 was denied indicating lack of preauthorization. These medications do not require preauthorization..."

Amount in Dispute: \$614.24

#### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: None submitted.

## **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
November 7, 2019	Oral medication	\$614.24	\$597.37

#### FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

#### **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guidelines for pharmaceutical services.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.530 sets out the requirements of prior authorization for medication.
- 4. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
  - 197 Precertification/authorization/notification/pre-treatment absent
  - 18 Exact duplicate claim/service
  - 193 Original payment decision is being maintained.

#### <u>Issues</u>

- 1. Is the insurance carrier's denial supported?
- 2. What rule(s) apply to disputed services?

### **Findings**

- 1. The requestor is seeking reimbursement for oral medication dispensed November 7, 2019. The insurance carrier's previous denial based on lack of preauthorization.
  - Review of the 28 §TAC 530 (b) states in pertinent part that prior authorization is required for drugs identified as a "N" drug in the ODG/Appendix A, a compounded medication or a medication considered investigational and experimental.
  - Review of Appendix A found none of the oral medication are identified as a "N" drug. The insurance carrier's denial is not supported. The service in dispute will be reviewed per applicable fee guideline.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 (c) states the insurance carrier shall reimburse the health care provider or pharmacy processing agent for prescription drugs the lesser of the fee established by the following formulas based on the average wholesale price (AWP) as reported by a nationally recognized pharmaceutical price guide or other publication of pharmaceutical pricing data in effect on the day the prescription drug is dispensed:
  - Generic drugs: ((AWP per unit) x (number of units) x 1.25) + \$4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
  - Brand name drugs: ((AWP per unit) x (number of units) x 1.09) + \$4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;

Drug	NDC	Generic(G) /Brand(B)	Price /Unit	Units Billed	AWP Formula	Billed Amt	Lesser of AWP and Billed
Meloxicam	29300012410	G	3.17	60	\$237.65	\$247.62	\$237.65
Omeprazole	62175011843	G	3.37	60	\$253.00	\$259.90	\$253.00
Cyclobenzaprine	52817033050	G	1.64	30	\$123.04	\$106.72	\$106.72
						Total	\$597.37

The total reimbursement is \$597.37. This amount is recommended.

## Conclusion

The outcome of each independent medical fee dispute relies upon the relevant evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all the evidence in this dispute may not have been discussed, it was considered.

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$597.37.

## **ORDER**

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$597.37, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

### **Authorized Signature**

		March 23, 2020
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

#### YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings* **and** *Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.