## MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

# **GENERAL INFORMATION**

<u>Requestor Name</u> <u>Respondent Name</u>

MEMORIAL COMPOUNDING RX HARTFORD UNDERWRITERS INSURANCE CO

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-20-0934-01 Box 47

**MFDR Date Received** 

**DECEMBER 16, 2019** 

## **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

"The above claimant received medication and the carrier still has not acknowledged receipt of service."

Amount in Dispute: \$619.33

#### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

January 6, 2020: "We are continuing our review of the above dispute."

January 8, 2020: "The Hartford shows payment issued to Express Scripts (ESI) regarding Lyrica 75mg. We have placed a rush request for the ESI EOB to confirm if payment was processed or denied."

January 9 and 13, 2020: "Please see attached evidence of payment."

Responses Submitted by: The Hartford

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date of Ser	rice	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
August 16, 2	019 C	ompound Medication	\$619.33	\$0.00

### FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

# **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the reimbursement for compound medications

- 3. Explanation of Benefits:
  - 22-M/I dispense as written (DAW) code.
  - 85-Claim not processed.
  - 75-Prior authorization required.
  - 25-Missing/invalid prescriber ID.
  - P12-Workers compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.

### **Findings**

The DWC makes the following conclusions based upon the information and documentation presented to the DWC to date. Even though all the evidence was not discussed, it was considered.

1. Did the carrier reimburse Memorial for the disputed services?

Memorial Compounding Rx (Memorial) asserts that the carrier has not paid for the service in dispute. Review of the explanations of benefits provided finds that the carrier issued a payment in the amount of \$616.39 to Memorial on September 13, 2019.

The DWC concludes that Memorial has received payment for the service in dispute.

2. Is additional reimbursement due?

The carrier reduced the billed amount to a total payment of \$616.39. Rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503(c) applies and states, in pertinent part, that the insurance carrier shall reimburse the lesser of: (1) the fee established by the Division's applicable formula based on the average wholesale price (AWP) as reported by a nationally recognized pharmaceutical price guide or other publication of pharmaceutical pricing data in effect on the day the prescription drug is dispensed; or (2) the amount billed to the insurance carrier.

Memorial is requesting reimbursement in the amount of \$619.33 for the disputed service. Memorial has the burden to support its request for this amount. In its original position statement, Memorial did not demonstrate how it arrived at the requested amount or whether that amount is consistent with the methodology under 28 TAC §134.503(c). After notification by the DWC's medical fee dispute resolution program of the carrier's response and payment, Memorial did not take the opportunity to refute the carrier's payment calculation. For that reason, the DWC moves to resolve this dispute with the information available and concludes that no additional reimbursement can be recommended.

### Conclusion

The DWC concludes that Memorial has already been paid for the service in dispute. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

#### **ORDER**

Rased on the submitted information, and nursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413,031, the DWC has

Authorized Signature  Signature	determined that the request	or is not entitled to additional reimbursement f	or the services in dispute.	
01/23/2020				
	Authorized Signature			
Signature Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer Date			01/23/2020	
incured he dispute he dispute he district he dispute he	Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

# RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the DWC within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the DWC using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the DWC. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.