

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

<u>Requestor Name</u> <u>Respondent Name</u>

Seton Medical Center Harker Heights Texas Mutual Insurance

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-20-0776-01 Box Number 54

MFDR Date Received

November 25, 2019

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Requestor's Position Summary</u>: "CPTs 96365, 96366, 96375, 93306 and 99406 all have a Status Indicator of S which is paid under OPPS as a separate APC payment."

Amount in Dispute: \$4,072.66

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Respondent's Position Summary:</u> "In order to resolve this fee reimbursement dispute Texas Mutual Insurance Company has elected to reprocess the disputed date of service."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
December 2 – 3, 2018	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$1,508.05	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403 sets out the reimbursement guidelines for outpatient hospital services.
- 3. The insurance carrier reduced or denied the payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - P12 Workers' compensation jurisdiction fee schedule adjustment
 - 193 Original payment decision is being maintained
 - 630 This service is packaged with other services performed on the same date and reimbursement is based on a single composite APC rate

<u>Issues</u>

1. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

- 1. The requestor is seeking additional reimbursement in the amount of \$1,508.05 for outpatient hospital services rendered on December 2 3, 2018. The insurance carrier reduced the disputed services based on workers' compensation fee schedule. The insurance carrier made an additional payment after receipt of the request for MFDR. The respondent maintains and additional payment of \$1,508.05.
 - 28 TAC §134.403 (d) requires Texas workers' compensation system participants when coding, billing, reporting and reimbursement to apply Medicare payment policies in effect on the date of service.

The Medicare payment policy applicable to the services in dispute is found at www.cms.gov, Claims processing Manual, Chapter 4, Section 10.1.1. Specifically, Payment Status Indicators.

The status indicator identifies whether the service described by the HCPCS code is paid under the OPPS and if so, whether payment is made separately or packaged.

The maximum allowable reimbursement per the above is calculated as follows:

• Procedure code 99285 has status indicator J2 as the criteria for comprehensive observation APC of 8011 was met. The OPPS Addendum A rate is \$2,349.82, multiplied by 60% for an unadjusted labor amount of \$1,409.89, in turn multiplied by the facility wage index of 0.9701 for an adjusted labor amount of \$1,367.73. The non-labor portion is 40% of the APC rate, or \$939.93. The sum of the labor and non-labor portions is \$2,307.66. The Medicare facility specific amount of \$2,307.66 is multiplied by 200% for a MAR of \$4,615.32.

The requestor lists codes with Status Indicator "S" as separately payable. The definition of J2 is "Payment for all covered Part B services on the claim is packaged into a single payment for specific combinations of services, except services with OPPS SI=F,G, H, L and U; ambulance services; diagnostic and screening mammography; all preventive services; and certain Part B inpatient services."

Based on the above, Codes 96365, 96366, 96375, 93306 and 99406 with Status Indicator "S" is not an exception shown above. The requestor's position is not supported.

The total recommended reimbursement for the disputed services is \$4,615.32. The insurance carrier paid \$4,808.51. Additional payment is not recommended.

Conclusion

In resolving disputes over reimbursement for medically necessary health care to treat a compensable injury, the role of DWC is to adjudicate payment following Texas laws and DWC rules. The findings in this decision are based on the evidence available at the time of review. Even though not all the evidence was discussed, it was considered.

For the reasons above the requestor has not established payment is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031, the division hereby determines the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 additional reimbursement for the services in dispute

		February 6, 2020	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	_

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, 37 Texas Register 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by DWC within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to DWC using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.